2008 University of the Virgin Islands Research Plan of Work

I. Plan Overview

1. Brief Summary about Plan Of Work

The Agricultural Experiment Station (AES) is located on the St. Croix Campus of the University of the Virgin Islands. AES is part of the Research and Public Service Component. The U.S. Virgin Islands are semiarid, subtropical islands in the Lesser Antilles. The islands are marked by easterly trade winds which provide a nearly constant breeze and alternating periods of drought and heavy rain. A long tradition of agriculture in St. Croix provides an ideal location for our research mission. AES conducts basic and applied research to meet the needs of the local agricultural community in increasing production, improving efficiency, developing new enterprises, preserving and propagating germplasm unique to the Virgin Islands, and protecting the natural resource base. AES has research programs in animal science, aquaculture, biotechnology, forage agronomy, and fruit, ornamental and vegetable crops. Our vision is to generate information that leads to improved agricultural practices in the Virgin Islands and the Caribbean Region by conducting scientific research. Our research programs will be increasingly influenced by the needs of the public and the farming community and by research conducted by other agricultural research institutions. Using new technologies, the results of our research will be disseminated more widely to farmers and the international scientific community.

| | Exter | Extension | | Research | |
|------|-------|-----------|------|----------|--|
| Year | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 | |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 0.0 | |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 0.0 | |

Estimated Number of Professional FTEs/SYs total in the State.

II. Merit Review Process

1. The Merit Review Process that will be Employed during the 5-Year POW Cycle

• Combined External and Internal University External Non-University Panel

2. Brief Explanation

A merit review process is followed. Scientists submit a copy of their proposal to the Director to ensure that the projects are in alignment with the university's strategic plan (in house document titled "Vision 2012"). The Director then attaches evaluation forms and sends the proposal to three people who are qualified to judge the proposal. At least one of the reviewers is selected from CES and others may be from the community. The reviewers are asked to rate the proposals on a scale of 1 to 5, 5 being the highest score, as to relevance of the proposed project to the agricultural sector (justification). The evaluated proposals are then returned to the Director who gives the reviews to the scientist for any needed revisions. The revised proposal is then returned to the Director who verifies the improvements in writing and gives final approval.

III. Evaluation of Multis & Joint Activities

1. How will the planned programs address the critical issues of strategic importance, including those identified by the stakeholders?

AES faculty are participating in five multi-state research projects: 1) Plant Genetic Resource Conservation and Utilization (S-009), 2) Irrigation Management for Humid and Sub-Humid Areas (S-1018), 3) Enhancing Production and Reproductive Performance of Heat-Stressed Dairy Cattle (S-1023), 4) Genetic (Co)Variance of Parasite Resistance, Temperament, and Production Traits of Traditional and Non-Bos indicus Tropically Adapted Breeds (S-1013), and 5) Reducing Barriers to Adoption of Microirrigation

(W1128). In addition, AES continues to work closely with the University of Puerto Rico and the University of Florida in the Tropical and Subtropical Agricultural Research Program (TSTAR). All of these projects address issues that are of concern to USVI farmers as evidenced by input obtained from our Advisory Council as well as our informal contacts with producers.

2. How will the planned programs address the needs of under-served and under-represented populations of the State(s)?

The population of the USVI is over 90% African-American and our programs address the needs of the local population.

3. How will the planned programs describe the expected outcomes and impacts?

Faculty involved in each program establish a set of outcomes for the program. The outcome may consist of the number of local farmers who adopt a new technology or farming practice or how many use a new variety of plant or breed of livestock. The impacts are reported as how these new technologies, varieties or breeds improve the overall operation of individual stakeholders.

4. How will the planned programs result in improved program effectiveness and/or efficiency?

Effectiveness will be enhanced by targeting issues that are highly relevant to tropical agriculture. The programs will address local needs identified by stakeholders through various forms of input. The information generated by the research projects will be disseminated to the appropriate audience in the appropriate format so that it can have the most impact.

Efficiency of programs will be improved by using stakeholder input to develop research projects that are relevant to the local community and can eventually have an impact. Issues that are relevant to the USVI, as well as other locations, will also be included in research projects. Encouraging faculty to develop cooperative efforts with scientists outside the region will provide access to technology or assistance that is not available locally.

IV. Stakeholder Input

1. Actions taken to seek stakeholder input that encourages their participation

- Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder individuals
- Use of media to announce public meetings and listening sessions
- Targeted invitation to non-traditional stakeholder individuals
- Targeted invitation to selected individuals from general public
- Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder groups
- Targeted invitation to non-traditional stakeholder groups

Brief explanation.

Due to the small geographic area of the Virgin Islands, AES scientists work in close contact with the local agricultural community, which fosters considerable communication and responsiveness to farmers' needs. AES programs hold field days that are advertised in the local media (print, TV, radio). Virgin Islands farmers and interested citizens tour current projects and have an opportunity to comment on the work that is being performed. Selected farmers are invited to AES seminars when the topic is relevant to their operations.

2(A). A brief statement of the process that will be used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups stakeholders and to collect input from them

1. Method to identify individuals and groups

Use Advisory Committees

Brief explanation.

The Agricultural Experiment Station's Advisory Council consists of farmers that represent a cross-section of the Virgin Islands farming community (plants and livestock). All AES Program Leaders sit in on the meetings as well as a representative from the Cooperative Extension Service. The farmers are given the opportunity to raise their concerns. AES scientists try to incorporate researchable issues into their research programs. Non-researchable concerns are referred to CES or appropriate federal or state agencies for action.

2(B). A brief statement of the process that will be used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups who are stakeholders and to collect input from them

1. Methods for collecting Stakeholder Input

- Other (Clients contact AES with specific requests)
- Meeting with traditional Stakeholder groups
- Meeting with traditional Stakeholder individuals
- Meeting with the general public (open meeting advertised to all)

Brief explanation

AES programs hold field days that are advertised in the local newspapers. Virgin Islands farmers and interested citizens tour current projects and have an opportunity to comment on the work that is being performed. Selected farmers are invited to AES seminars when the topic is relevant to their operations. Individuals conatct AES with requests for information or assistance that is specific to their operation and discipline. In many cases the individials comes to AES before going to CES.

3. A statement of how the input will be considered

- To Identify Emerging Issues
- Redirect Research Programs

Brief explanation.

AES scientists try to incorporate researchable issues into their research programs. Non-researchable concerns are referred to CES or appropriate federal or state agencies for action.

V. Planned Program Table of Content

| S. NO. | PROGRAM NAME |
|--------|--|
| 1 | Agronomy - Tropical Hay Production |
| 2 | Animal Science - Beef Cattle |
| 3 | Animal Science - Dairy Cattle |
| 4 | Animal Science - Small Ruminants |
| 5 | Aquaculture - Aquaponic Systems |
| 6 | Aquaculture - Biofloc Systems |
| 7 | Horticulture |
| 8 | Irrigation |
| 9 | Plant Biotechnology |
| 10 | Plant Germplasm Conservation and Enhancement |
| 11 | Whole Farm Systems Research |

1. Name of the Planned Program

Agronomy - Tropical Hay Production

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Tropical legumes will be analyzed for their ability to produce high quality hay in the U.S. Virgin Islands. One perennial (Clitoria ternatea) and two annual (Vigna unguiculata and Lablab purpureus) legumes will be examined in small plot clipping studies for establishment, curing time, cutting height, cutting interval, and pasture longevity. Results from small plot experiments will be applied to large plot hay production to ascertain the best management techniques for producing high quality legume hay square bales. An economic/market analysis will be compiled with data resulting from the production and sale of legume hay. Tropical legumes and improved tropical grass cultivars will be evaluated for their ability to produce high quality hay in the U.S. Virgin Islands on production scale parameters. Tropical legumes to be targeted for this research phase will include blue pea and lablab (Clitoria ternatea and Lablab purpureus) which have previously been evaluated under small plot trial conditions, and velvet bean (Macuna pruriens) which has performed well under initial trials and will now be evaluated for hay production. In addition to the tropical legumes, tropical grasses will be evaluated in monoculture plantings and in polycultures with the tropical legumes. Grasses to be evaluated under these conditions include guinea grass, bracharia, and sorghum sudan (Panicum maximum cv. Mombassa, Bracharia bracharia cv. Mulato, and Sorghum bicolor, respectively). Through separate cover crop research it has been observed that certain tropical legumes and grasses have natural genetic and allelopathic characteristics. By selecting specific legumes and grasses that have been characterized as having allelopathy, the production of high quality hay may benefit through the natural suppression of pest pressure, specifically from weed competition, detrimental nematodes, plant pathogens, and harmful insects. In addition, by planting combinations of legumes and grasses it may be possible to maximize the allelopathy effect and take advantage of additional benefits of legume incorporation into improved hay production systems. This could in turn reduce or eliminate the need for dangerous and costly herbicides and pesticides, increase production yield, and increase forage quality.

- 3. Program existence : Intermediate (One to five years)
- **4. Program duration :** Short-Term (One year or less)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 204 40% Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 60% Plant Management Systems

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

In the Virgin Islands, the dominant pasture forage for livestock production is guinea grass (Panicum maximum). During cyclic dry periods on St. Croix, nutritive value and forage availability are greatly reduced. In response to harvest intervals from 6 to 12 months, crude protein (CP) concentration of guinea grass averaged 4.6%, and seasonal CP ranged from 4.2 to 6.5%. Lower CP and increased fiber levels are characteristically observed during the dry season. These factors can place nutritional stress on livestock, thus the need for high quality supplemental feed is apparent. However, in the tropics, conventional systems of forage conservation in the form of hay and silage have not been widely adopted for various reasons, the main reason being the fibrous nature of tropical grasses.Research is needed to study the mechanisms and factors affecting the production of leguminous hay in the tropics. Factors such as establishment, persistence, cutting height, and level of maturity at cutting need further assessment. In addition, knowledge of which legume has the potential to provide the best hay source in regards to leaf retention, guality, yield, stand persistence, and post harvest quality characteristics is needed to make further tropical hay production decisions. The effects of growing season, moisture requirements, and pasture re-growth need clarification for proper hay pasture management. Understanding these effects and parameters is essential for legume hay production to be successful in the Virgin Islands. In addition, it is imperative that we search out innovative production models and methods that will reduce the need for costly imported production inputs. This can be done by utilizing specific forages and multi-specie combinations that have the ability to persist in our environment and naturally resist harmful pest pressures. This will be accomplished by evaluating forages planted in a monoculture, collecting data, make evaluations, and then combine forages for hay production and transversely for direct grazing in

multi-cultures as improved pasture or hay production fields.

2. Scope of the Program

In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Legumes are an important forage component in any livestock production operation. Legumes have been shown to increase forage yield and the nutritive component of pasture systems. Increases in forage quality as a direct result of legume incorporation into grazing management translates to increased stocking rates and live weight gains. Improved pastures utilizing legumes have been shown to increase live weight gains and average daily gains for pasture finished lambs and goats.

During periods of low rainfall, as occurs from February through August in the Virgin Islands, increased levels of nutrition are needed to meet livestock demands. The lack of adequate moisture results in pasture quality deterioration. Legume hay provides an excellent source of protein when quality pasture deteriorates.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

This program will assess management techniques (establishment, curing time, cutting height, cutting interval, and pasture longevity) needed to produce the greatest quantities of high quality blue pea hay in a tropical environment. Throughout each phase of the experiment we will quantify yield, nutritive value, persistence, and production efficiency of blue pea, cowpea, and lablab through small plot performance for the purpose of commercial hay production. Finally, we will determine the economic viability of locally produced legume hay, and to evaluate the market potential for square bale production as an alternative to round bale production in the Virgin Islands. This program will continue to asses the production efficiency of blue pea hay. In addition, it will evaluate other tropical legumes (lablab and velvet bean) and grasses (improved guinea grass, bracharia, and sorghum sudan) for high quality hay production. These forage crops will be tested in monoculture and will then be planted as legume/grass combinations to ascertain the additive effects of multiculture hay production utilizing the combined allelopathic characteristics and other specific benefits such as nitrogen fixation by the legumes to benefit the selected grass species.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Martin | Extension | | Research | |
|--------|-----------|------|----------|------|
| Year | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

- 1. Activity for the Program
- Conduct research project
- Sell hay to local framers
- Present data at conferences
- Publish results in scientific journals
- Conduct local and regional seminars

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Direct Methods | Indirect Methods | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | Indirect Contacts Adults | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008:0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Abstracts presented at conferences

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :1 | 2010 :1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

• Journal articles

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | | | | |

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Number of local farmers utilizing legume hay and adoption of legume hay production techniques by local area farmers and the USVI Department of Agriculture.

| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Knowledge Outo | ome Measure | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 2008 : 1 | 2009 : 1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 : 1 |
| 3. Associated Knowl | ledge Area(s) | | | |

- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Natural Disasters (drought,weather extremes,etc.)
- Appropriations changes
- Economy

Description

Due to our location the USVI is subject to hurricanes which can hinder research projects. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

• Retrospective (post program)

Description

Feedback will be obtained from discussions with local farmers either as part of informal meetings or formal meetings (Advisory Board, Field Days, Ag Fair).

2. Data Collection Methods

• Other (informal discussions)

Description

Information obtained through informal discussions with farmers, as well as sales of legume hay square bales to farmers will be used.

1. Name of the Planned Program

Animal Science - Beef Cattle

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Temperament is one of the major economic constraints of livestock production. Excitable temperaments of livestock lead to lower economic returns for producers. This project will evaluate the temperament of Senepol cattle and relate it to production traits that are economically important to the producer such as weaning weight of calves and fertility of cows and bulls.

- 3. Program existence : New (One year or less)
- **4. Program duration :** Medium Term (One to five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 301 20% Reproductive Performance of Animals
- 305 10% Animal Physiological Processes
- 306 70% Environmental Stress in Animals

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Data show that cattle with excitable temperament ratings produced a higher incidence of borderline low quality carcasses than cattle with calm temperament ratings. Studies on temperament of cattle indicate that lower growth rate and reduced meat quality are associated with greater reactivity of animals during handling as indicated by their chute score. Studies to determine the amount of stress on farm animals during routine handling often have shown variable results and are difficult to interpret as related to animal welfare.

2. Scope of the Program

- Multistate Research
- In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

It is important to breed animals with a calm disposition to reduce stress and to improve both productivity and welfare. Increasing public concern about animal welfare is a major reason why major restaurant companies and supermarkets are auditing handling and stunning practices in the United States and abroad. Selecting cattle with mild temperaments could lead to higher quality product and increased efficiency of production.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Determine if the relationship between temperament and production and reproduction traits of Senepol cattle is significant. Selecting for calmer animals would decrease the incidence of diseases and the use of drugs and increase productivity and efficiency.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| N | Exte | Extension | | Research | |
|------|------|-----------|------|----------|--|
| Year | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 | |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Conduct experiments• Work with collaborators

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Direct Methods Indirect Methods | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | |
| | | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | Contacts Adults Indirect Contacts Adults Direct Contacts Youth | | Indirect Contacts Youth | |
|------|------------------------|--|--------|-------------------------|--|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target | |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 1 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 1 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

| Abstracts | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2008 :0 | 2009 :1 | 2010 :1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
| Journal articles | | | | |
| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :1 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :1 |

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

of farmers using temperament to select cattle

| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Condition Outcome Measure |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|

| 2008 : 1 2009 : 2 2010 : 1 2011 : 1 2012 : 1 |
|---|
|---|

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 301 Reproductive Performance of Animals
- 306 Environmental Stress in Animals

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Economy
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Appropriations changes

Description

Due to our location the USVI is subject to hurricanes which can hinder research projects. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

• {NO DATA ENTERED}

Description

2. Data Collection Methods

• {NO DATA ENTERED}

Description {NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Name of the Planned Program

Animal Science - Dairy Cattle

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Dairy cattle in the southeast U.S. are exposed to heat stress throughout much of the year which results in low fertility and decreased milk production. This project will evaluate the impact of coat color on heat stress in dairy cattle as part of a multistate research project. Digital images of dairy cows will be analyzed for percentage of black hair coat and this information will be used by collaborators in studies on heat stress effects on reproduction, milk production and nutrition of dairy cattle in the southeast U.S. and Puerto Rico

- **3. Program existence :** Intermediate (One to five years)
- 4. Program duration : Medium Term (One to five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 305 20% Animal Physiological Processes
- 306 80% Environmental Stress in Animals

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Dairy cattle in the southeast U.S. and the Caribbean are exposed to heat stress throughout most of the year which results in low fertility and decreased milk production. Methods to alleviate heat stress have included environmental modifications, hormonal supplementation, nutrition and genetic selection. Dairy farmers need information on methods to relieve heat stress that are inexpensive and effective. Genetic selection for milk and reproduction has been successful and there is potential for selection for tolerance of hot, humid environments. Selecting for coat color, in addition to production and reproduction traits, may be a way to achieve this.

2. Scope of the Program

Multistate Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Previous data has shown that coat color can have an influence on reproduction and milk production. Dairy cows with less black hair coat had higher milk production than cows with high percentage of black hair. Body temperature of dark cows was also higher than that of light colored cows. By selecting for cows with light colored coats, while maintaining acceptable levels of reproduction and milk production, farmers would be able to mitigate the impact of heat stress even further.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Use of coat color as a part of the selection process, along with fertility and milk production, in dairy operations in hot, humid environments.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Year | Extension | | Research | |
|------|-----------|------|----------|------|
| Tear | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

- Conduct research project
- Participate with collaborators

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Direct Methods | Indirect Methods | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | irect Contacts Adults Indirect Contacts Adults Direct Contacts Yo | | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------|------------------------|---|--------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2009 | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| V(H). State Defined (| Outputs | | | | | | |
| 1. Output Target | | | | | | | |
| Abstracts presented | | | | | | | |
| 2008 :1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 :1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 | | | |
| V(I). State Defined Outcome | | | | | | | |
| 1. Outcome Target | | | | | | | |
| Number of collaboratrs | on the project using coat color | in their study design | | | | | |
| 2. Outcome Type : | 2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure | | | | | | |
| 2008 :5 | 2009 :6 | 2010 : 6 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 | | | |
| 3. Associated Knowle | dge Area(s) | | | | | | |
| 305 - Animal Physiological Processes | | | | | | | |
| 306 - Environme | 306 - Environmental Stress in Animals | | | | | | |
| V(J). Planned Progra | V(J). Planned Program (External Factors) | | | | | | |

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Appropriations changes
- Natural Disasters (drought,weather extremes,etc.)
- Economy

Description

Due to our location hurricanes are always a potential factor in our research activities. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

• Other ()

Description {NO DATA ENTERED}

2. Data Collection Methods

• Other ()

Description {NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Name of the Planned Program

Animal Science - Small Ruminants

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Hair sheep are very common in the USVI and the use of Dorper in crossbreeding programs is becoming more popular with producers. Previous work has evaluated the performance of Dorper crossbred lambs and ewes, in comparison to the indigenous breeds, under the conditions found in the USVI. This program will continue to evaluate the Dorper crossbreds, but will focus on the rams and the attainment of puberty under tropical conditions. Under the accelerated lambing system used in the USVI the ram lambs need to reach sexual maturity at an early age so that they can be incorporated into the breeding program. Rams of three breed types (St Croix White, Barbados Blackbelly and Dorper X St Croix White) will be compared for age of puberty, testis growth and semen quality. Sexual behavior (libido) will also be evaluated. Comparisons will be made among breed types and season of birth.

No

- 3. Program existence : New (One year or less)
- **4. Program duration :** Medium Term (One to five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds :

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 301 40% Reproductive Performance of Animals
- 303 10% Genetic Improvement of Animals
- 305 50% Animal Physiological Processes

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Farmers in the US Virgin Islands have purchased Dorper sheep to incorporate into their hair sheep flocks and interest about the performance of the breed under local conditions is increasing. By crossbreeding hair sheep with a large frame breed, such as the Dorper, it has been possible to increase the rate of gain of lambs produced. This has resulted in lambs that can be slaughtered at a younger age and require less resource input by the farmer. The impact of extensive management practices (pasture based) and an accelerated lambing system on the performance of the crossbred rams has not been evaluated. The use of locally produced rams will decrease costs associated with importing animals. Because the photoperiod in the USVI does not vary much (2 hr) sheep can breed all year round. Using an accelerated lambing system puts more pressure on the animals to reach puberty earlier so that they can be used in the breeding program as soon as possible. By evaluating the time to reach puberty in the three breed types it will be possible to make recommendations on how to manage the animals to best utilize the enhanced genetics of the crossbreed sheep.

2. Scope of the Program

In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

The Dorper x St. Croix White crossbred ewes can adapt to the accelerated lambing system, but little information is available on the rams. Because the crossbred rams have genetic traits from the indigenous sheep they should be able to adapt to the environment and management system. By evaluating pubertal development of these rams more specific information will be obtained to evaluate their use in the management system.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

This program will demonstrate the ability of the crossbred rams to reach puberty at a young enough age to be useful under the local conditions. The high level of interest in the Dorper breed by stakeholders indicates that it will be readily accepted and incorporated into existing farms. One caution is that not all of the indigenous sheep be bred to the Dorper sheep so as not to loose

the genetic resource of the local hair sheep breeds. Educating local producers on how to manage their flocks to have both crossbred and purebred sheep will be critical.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Veer | Extension | | Research | |
|------|-----------|------|----------|------|
| Year | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

- Conduct research project
- Sell breeding stock to local farmers
- Present data at conferences
- Publish results in scientific journals

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Direct Methods Indirect Methods | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | Indirect Contacts Adults | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Abstracts presented at conferences

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Journal articles | | | | |
| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
| V(I). State Defined | Outcome | | | |
| 1. Outcome Target Number of local farm | ers using crossbred sheep | | | |
| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Condition Outco | me Measure | | |
| 2008 :10 | 2009 : 10 | 2010 : 10 | 2011 :10 | 2012 : 10 |
| 3. Associated Know | ledge Area(s) | | | |
| a 201 Depredu | ative Derfermence of Animale | | | |

• 301 - Reproductive Performance of Animals

303 - Genetic Improvement of Animals •

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Economy
- Appropriations changes
- Natural Disasters (drought,weather extremes,etc.)

Description

Due to our location the USVI is subject to hurricanes which can hinder research projects. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

• Other (Informal discussions)

Description

Feedback will be obtained from discussions with local farmers either as part of informal meetings or formal meetings (Advisory Board, Field Days, Ag Fair).

2. Data Collection Methods

• Other (informal discussions)

Description

Information obtained through informal discussions with farmers, as well as sales of crossbred animals from the research flock to farmers will be used.

1. Name of the Planned Program

Aquaculture - Aquaponic Systems

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

The UVI Aquaculture Program has been the vanguard for the development of aquaponic technology in the U.S. The impetus for this area of research has been the dry conditions in the Virgin Islands and the need to produce fish intensively and reuse the culture water. However, the accumulation of nutrients in the culture water requires a greater water exchange (5%) rate than is feasible with limited water supplies. Incorporating hydroponic vegetables into the system reduces the exchange rate to 1.5%, recovers nutrients that would otherwise be wasted, and produces a valuable crop of vegetables. More aquaponic research is needed to develop enterprise budgets for different plant crops, to find cheaper and easier construction methods, and to evaluate component options. The facilities currently available are six replicated experimental raft aquaponic systems with a 14.3-m2 plant growing area and one commercial-scale aquaponic system with a 214-m2 plant growing area. The systems will be stocked with advanced tilapia fingerlings (~50 g), which will be fed three times daily ad libitum with a complete diet of floating pellets. After 24 weeks of culture, the fish will be harvested, weighed and counted to determine daily growth rate, average body weight, final rearing tank biomass, feed conversion ratio and survival. Vegetables will be seeded in trays to produce seedlings, which will be transplanted into net pots supported by floating polystyrene rafts. Biological methods will be used to control pests and diseases. At harvest the vegetables will be sorted into marketable and non-marketable categories and weighed. Production results for each type of vegetable along with fish production results will be used to develop enterprise budgets for the commercial-scale aquaponic system. Alternatives to current construction materials are needed to simplify logistics and reduce system cost. Ferrocement will be evaluated as an alternative to fiberglass and high density polyethylene liners. The UVI system currently employs clarifiers for solids removal and raft hydroponic tanks. To reduce the space requirements of clarifiers and their cost, compact swirl separators will be evaluated. Nutrient film technique (NFT) is a viable option to rafts for the hydroponic component. Experiments will be conducted to determine NFT aquaponic design criteria and the advantages and disadvantages of NFT over raft culture.

No

- **3. Program existence :** Mature (More then five years)
- 4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds :

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 205 40% Plant Management Systems
- 307 30% Animal Management Systems
- 403 30% Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

The Virgins Islands are semi-arid due to the relatively even the distribution of rainfall, constant trade winds, warm temperatures and high solar radiation. There are no rivers or streams and a very limited supply of fresh ground water. Vegetables are under water stress most months of the year, and there is a shortage of irrigation water available for producing them. Consequently, more than 95% of vegetables are imported. Likewise, the majority of seafood is imported. Local fish stocks have declined due to the overfishing of fragile reef ecosystems with traps. Consuming reef fishes poses another problem – fish poisoning. Large predator reef fish often bioaccumulate a naturally occurring toxin known as ciguatera. Many people who consume large quantities of locally caught seafood have experienced fish poisoning, which often deters them from further seafood consumption and thus eliminates an important dietary source of nutrients and the health benefits associated with them. Imported frozen fish lack the quality of fresh fish and are not as appealing to consumers. Importation of most food items drains money from the local economy, which relies precariously on tourism. Agricultural development, including aquaculture, would help diversify and stabilize the economy and provide healthy food options. The Virgin Islands government is interested in expanding the agricultural sector and has increased funding. Health care providers are encouraging Virgin Islanders to adopt better diets, including the consumption of more fresh vegetables and fish, to stem the crisis of obesity, high blood pressure and adult onset diabetes. To overcome environmental constraints and increase local food supplies new production technology such as aquaponics is needed. The UVI Aquaculture

Program has developed small and commercial aquaponic systems that are reliable, productive and well suited for the Virgin Islands. The UVI aquaponic commercial-scale system is capable of producing more than 22,000 lbs. of fish and vegetables on 1/8th acre of land with water supplied solely through rainwater harvesting. Adoption of aquaponic technology in the Virgin Islands would increase the local supply of fish and vegetables, improve the economy and provide health benefits to consumers.

2. Scope of the Program

In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

The facilities for this program are in place. In addition to the present experimental and commercial aquaponic systems, all ancillary support systems are in place such as electrical systems, water supply, storage areas, feed supply, plant nursery supplies, chemical supply, water quality laboratory, computers and office space. The program is capable of breeding tilapia and producing fry and advanced fingerlings. The program is also capable of producing vegetable seedlings in planting trays in a greenhouse. There is capable staff of four trained and experienced aquaculturists, of which one has a Ph.D. degree, two have M.S. degrees and one has a B.S. degree. There is a UVI farm store where products from the research program will be sold to the public and generate interest in the farming community. The Aquaculture Program has a successful history of conducting research and disseminating the results through publications, conference presentations, seminars, workshops and an annual short course. There is and 30 countries. The Aquaculture Program has offered half day workshops on aquaponics, which are attended by local farmers and the general public. The program leader has written a quarterly question and answer column for 8 years for Aquaponics Journal, a trade publication. The Aquaculture Program has been inundated with requests for information about aquaponic systems and has received several requests to speak at conferences about aquaponic systems.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

The ultimate goal of this program is to develop profitable aquaponic systems that are adopted by Virgin Islands farmers and used to increase the local supply of fresh fish and vegetables.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

| Year | Extension | | Research | |
|------|-----------|------|----------|------|
| | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

- Conduct research project
- Provide training
- Present data at conferences
- Publish results in scientific journals

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Direct Methods | Indirect Methods | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults Indirect Contacts Adults | | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth | |
|------|---|--------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target | |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

Abstracts presented at conferences

| 2008 :1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 :1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|

• Journal articles

| 2008:1 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :1 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :1 |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | | | | |

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Number of new farmers anywhere adopting aquaponic technology

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

| 2008:1 2009:1 2010:1 2011:1 2012:1 | 2008:1 | 2009 : 1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 : 1 |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 307 Animal Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Appropriations changes
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy

Description

Due to our location the Virgin Islands is subject to hurricanes which can hinder research projects. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

• During (during program)

Description

An evaluation form will be filled out by all participants of training programs. A follow-up e-mail survey will be conducted 2 years after training has been received to determine if all or any part of the technology has been adopted. Observations will be made of commercial development anywhere using aquaponic technology developed at UVI.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Observation
- On-Site
- Whole population
- Mail

Description {NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Name of the Planned Program

Aquaculture - Biofloc Systems

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

The UVI Aquaculture Program has distinguished itself in the area of biofloc aquaculture systems, which represent a significant advance over traditional pond culture. Therefore, work is planned to improve initial positive results and develop a technology package that involves different uses, scales, species and byproducts. The facilities for this work consist of three 11.8-m3 biofloc systems, six 30-m3 systems and one 200-m3 system. These systems will be used for the production of tilapia and Pacific White shrimp. All systems will be equipped to aerate and mix the culture water and remove solid waste. The 200-m3 system will also include external channels to remove inorganic nitrogenous waste and recycle nutrients into flowering aquatic plants. Conditioned water from previous experiments will be reused to expedite the development of an active biofloc (biofilter) at the start of subsequent experiments. Fish and/or shrimp will be stocked at varying rates and fed a complete diet two or three times daily until they reach harvestable size. Water quality will be monitored and base will be added to maintain a suitable pH. At harvest the fish and/or shrimp will be weighed and counted to determine growth rate, average body weight, total production level, survival and feed conversion ratio. Power consumption, water use and sludge production will be measured. A number of experiments will address the development of polyculture systems that integrate tilapia and shrimp production. Biofloc systems will be developed for nursery and growout operations. When a system has reached a satisfactory level of development, an enterprise budget will be prepared.

- **3. Program existence :** Mature (More then five years)
- **4. Program duration :** Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 307 80% Animal Management Systems
- 403 20% Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

The U.S. has a multibillion deficit in seafood and fishery products. Most of the major fisheries in the world are in a perilous state. Some have collapsed, many are in danger of collapsing, and one dire prediction states that all marine fisheries will collapse by 2050. Another major problem with wild fish stocks is contamination with PCBs, mercury and other heavy metals. Aquaculture is increasingly called upon to meet the growing shortfall in wild fish stocks and produce a safer product. However, aquaculture has been criticized for its use of environmentally delicate coastal land and its pollution of natural water bodies with nutrients and organic waste. Nearly a third of the feed given to cultured species goes toward the formation of sludge on a dry weight basis. However, on a wet weight basis the volume of sludge is 50 times higher than its dry weight volume. Sludge can blanket the bottom sediments of water bodies, killing natural organisms that sustain wild fish populations and depleting dissolved oxygen. The waste nutrients from aquaculture operations cause the excessive growth of algae (eutrophication), which can drastically alter ecosystems and even precipitate total collapse. While the supply of seafood is under assault due to overfishing, environmental degradation and population growth, the need for a low-fat diet that seafood provides has never been greater, as attested by the rampant obesity in American and proliferation diet-induced diseases such as high blood pressure and adult onset diabetes. Therefore, methods need to be developed for producing more seafood while reducing environmental impact. Preliminary results of the UVI biofloc system show that it is 30 times more productive than ponds culture. This means that the production of fish through biofloc technology reduces land and water use by nearly 97%. Adoption of this technology will lead to greater availability of seafood, utilize fewer resources than current pond technology and minimize environmental impact. Solid and nutrient wastes, which are recovered from biofloc systems, can be used to fertilize and irrigate field crops and improve soil structure.

2. Scope of the Program

In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

The facilities for this program are in place. In addition to the present experimental biofloc systems, all ancillary support systems are in place such as electrical systems, water supply, storage areas, feed supply, chemical supply, water quality laboratory, computers and office space. The program is capable of breeding tilapia and producing fry and advanced fingerlings. There is a regional supplier of Pacific White shrimp post larvae. There is capable staff of four trained and experienced aquaculturists, of which one has a Ph.D. degree, two have M.S. degrees and one has a B.S. degree. There is a UVI farm store where products from research program will be sold to the public and generate interest in the farming community. The Aquaculture Program has a successful history of conducting research and disseminating the results through publications, conference presentations, seminars, workshops and an annual short course. There is considerable interest in biofloc technology. In 8 years the short course has attracted 208 participants form 33 states, four U.S. territories and 30 countries. The Aquaculture Program has been inundated with requests for information about biofloc systems and has received several requests to speak at conferences about these systems, which are seen by many in the aquaculture industry as a significant advance.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

The ultimate goal of this program is to develop a highly productive, cost effect biofloc production system that is widely adopted by the aquaculture industry, which in turn leads to an increased supply of fish, a reduction in the seafood trade deficit, increased seafood consumption and improved health.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Year | Exte | Extension | | search |
|------|------|-----------|------|--------|
| | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

- Conduct research project
- Conduct training
- Present data at conferences
- Publish results in scientific journals

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Direct Methods Indirect Methods | | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | Indirect Contacts Adults | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year Research Target | | Extension Target |
|----------------------|---|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

•

• Abstracts presented at conferences

| | 2008:1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
|---|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| • | Journal articles | | | | |
| | 2008:1 | 2009 :0 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :1 |

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Number of new farmers anywhere adopting aquaponic technology

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

| 2008 :1 | 2009 : 1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 : 1 |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|
| | | | | |

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 307 Animal Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Appropriations changes
- Economy

Description

Due to our location the Virgin Islands is subject to hurricanes which can hinder research projects. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

• During (during program)

Description

An evaluation form will be filled out by all participants of training programs. A follow-up e-mail survey will be conducted 2 years after training has been received to determine if all or any part of the technology has been adopted. Observations will be made of commercial development anywhere using biofloc technology developed at UVI.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Observation
- On-Site
- Whole population

Description

{NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Name of the Planned Program

Horticulture

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Vegetable crops are grouped according to priority and economic importance. Grouping is based on market and consumer demands. Vegetable groups consist of: I) high-demand crops (tomato, cucumber, okra, bell pepper, and eggplant); and 2) low demand crops (collard greens, beans, cantaloupes, watermelons, onions, squash, and yard long bean). Cultivars for each vegetable crop will be evaluated for two or three seasons each year using sustainable vegetable production methods including organic fertilizers and mulches, and rotation with cover crops (lablab) as green manure. Sustainable production systems will be compared to conventional production systems. Cultivar selection will be based on disease resistance characteristics according to the prevailing disease incidence in the USVI.

- **3. Program existence :** Mature (More then five years)
- 4. Program duration : Medium Term (One to five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 102 30% Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 133 10% Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
- 202 20% Plant Genetic Resources
- 205 30% Plant Management Systems
- 216 10% Integrated Pest Management Systems

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

The horticulture industry in the U.S. Virgin Islands (USVI) is in the state of continuous decline attributed to a decreasing number of farmers over the years. Presently, 95% of the agriculture commodities consumed in the territory is imported. Crop production is constrained by poor soil and water characteristics, high incidence of pests and diseases, poor crop management practices including the use of old and low yielding crop cultivars, and high costs of imported inputs. Vegetables can be produced all year round and there is high market demand for them, but farmers fail to meet this demand due to inefficient cropping system and management method that restrict crop performance. High quality produce demands good market prices, which can be achieved only if farmers use improved crop management practices. This program focuses on the selection of pest and disease resistant cultivars integrated with crop rotation and cover crops to improve soil quality and fertility for year-round production of high quality fruits and vegetables. Development of sustainable production systems for vegetable crops is important to reduce production costs and increase profitability of USVI farmers, and to reduce the dependency of imported agricultural commodities.

2. Scope of the Program

In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Disease resistant varieties have been reported to perform successfully in reducing the incidence of a particular disease. These varieties, however, have been bred under intensive input management systems and their performance under low input conditions is unknown. Consequently, there is a need to identify and evaluate new vegetable cultivars for the best fit under low input sustainable production systems in the USVI. Many studies have reported the benefits of cover crops in reducing weeds, fixing nitrogen, recycling nutrients, and adding organic matter to the soil. In addition, cover crops and crop rotations offer potential in integrated pest management of vegetables crops that may reduce the use of expensive pesticides. The use of disease resistant varieties and sustainable management practices are expected to reduce disease incidence and production cost of vegetable crops in the USVI.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

This program will develop low-cost and profitable production systems for year-round vegetable production in the USVI. Varieties with reduced pesticide and fertilizer requirements will be readily adopted by farmers with limited resources. Increasing production of vegetable crops will impact positively in the local economy by reducing imports from the mainland and other Caribbean countries.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Year | Exte | nsion | Re | search |
|------|------|-------|------|--------|
| | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Conduct research Present data at conferences Publish results in scientific journals

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Direct Methods Indirect Methods | | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | Indirect Contacts Adults | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Research publications

| | 2008 :1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 :1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| • | Abstracts presented at con | ferences | | | |
| | 2008 :1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Selection of pest and disease resistant cultivars and effect of cover crops on soil characteristics

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

| 2008 :1 | 2009 : 1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 : 1 |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|
| | | | | |

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• {NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Outcome Target

of farmers using selected cultivars

| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Action Outcome | Measure | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 2008 :3 | 2009 : 10 | 2010 : 10 | 2011 :10 | 2012 :10 | | |
| 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s) | | | | | | |
| • {NO DATA EN | TERED} | | | | | |
| 1. Outcome Target # farmers adopting su | ustainable production systems | | | | | |
| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Condition Outcom | ne Measure | | | | |
| 2008 :1 | 2009 : 5 | 2010 : 5 | 2011 :5 | 2012 :5 | | |
| 3. Associated Knowl | edge Area(s) | | | | | |
| • {NO DATA EN | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | | | |

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriations changes

Description

The occurring storms and hurricanes in the USVI can affect results of research projects. The local economy may have negative effect on state appropriations to the University.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- During (during program)
- Retrospective (post program)

Description

Feedback from extension educators and farmers will be obtained during and after program through formal and informal meetings.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Whole population
- Observation
- On-Site

Description {NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Name of the Planned Program Irrigation

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Field experiments will be conducted in the US Virgin Islands (USVI) to improve micro-irrigation strategies and water use efficiency in horticultural crops. Soil moisture monitoring devices (capacitance based sensors) will be integrated with control systems to provide feedback for irrigation scheduling and optimization of water use. The strategies will be based on soil moisture levels of 10, and 30 kPa in comparison to fixed irrigation scheduling and rain-fed control for vegetables and fruit trees, respectively. In addition, horticultural crops will be grown in a shade-house under micro-irrigation to reduce water requirements. Water use under 30%, 50% and 70% shade will be measured to determine evapo-transpiration coefficients and water use efficiency.

- **3. Program existence :** Intermediate (One to five years)
- **4. Program duration :** Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 102 30% Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 30% Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 132 10% Weather and Climate
- 205 10% Plant Management Systems
- 405 20% Drainage and Irrigation Systems and Facilities

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Water is the most limiting constraint to agricultural production in the USVI. Existing ponds and dams are not sufficient to effectively store water for agricultural purposes. Underground water is used primarily for urban consumption together with desalinized seawater which makes it very expensive for the horticultural industry. Growers are aware of the benefits of micro-irrigation technology. However, water costs and availability as well as irrigation strategies have shown to be the limiting factor for crop production and hence for adoption. Knowledge about automatic control systems and water management strategies as well as water requirements (crop coefficients) will contribute to improve irrigation efficiency and water use efficiency. In addition, promoting production of shade loving crops will also increase water use efficiency and reduce water requirements for crop production in the USVI.

- 2. Scope of the Program
 - In-State Research
 - Multistate Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Irrigation strategies based on soil water availability and plant requirement will improve irrigation efficiency. Soil moisture levels maintained slightly below field capacity and amount of water applied according to evapo-transpiration will reduce losses by percolation and run off. In the case of shade crops, less water will be needed to dissipate the reduced solar energy reaching the plant canopy. In addition, ground and air temperature as well as wind will decrease and relative humidity will increase under shade reducing evapo-transpiration. Excess of shade, however, may reduce photosynthesis affecting yield and crop quality. **2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program**

The ultimate goal of this program is adoption of irrigation strategies based on soil moisture and water requirements (evapo-transpiration) to increase irrigation efficiency in the USVI. A second long term goal is to increase production of shade crops to increase water use efficiency and, consequently, reduce water requirements and the dependency on expensive off farm irrigation water.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Year | Exte | nsion | Re | search |
|------|------|-------|------|--------|
| rear | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Conduct research projects Present data at conferences

Publish results in scientific journals

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Direct Methods | Indirect Methods | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| Direct Contacts Adults | | Indirect Contacts Adults | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

.

•

| • | Abstract presented at conference | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| | 2008:1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 | | |
| • | Research publications | | | | | | |
| | 2008 :1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 | | |

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Knowledge of evapo-transpiration crop coefficients and water use efficiency in crop production

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

| 2008 :0 | 2009 : 0 | 2010 : 0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 : 0 |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• {NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Outcome Target

Knowledge of water requirements in shade crops production

| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Action Outcome | Measure | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 2008 : 1 | 2009 : 1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 : 1 | | |
| 3. Associated Know | 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s) | | | | | |
| • {NO DATA EN | TERED} | | | | | |
| 1. Outcome Target | | | | | | |
| # of farmers grow | ing shade crops | | | | | |
| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Condition Outco | me Measure | | | | |
| 2008 : 1 | 2009 : 5 | 2010 : 5 | 2011 :5 | 2012 :5 | | |
| 3. Associated Know | ledge Area(s) | | | | | |
| • {NO DATA EN | TERED} | | | | | |
| 1. Outcome Target | | | | | | |
| # farmers adoptin | g irrigation strategies ba | sed on soil moisture | | | | |
| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Condition Outco | me Measure | | | | |
| 2008 :2 | 2009 : 10 | 2010 : 10 | 2011 :10 | 2012 : 10 | | |
| 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s) | | | | | | |
| • {NO DATA EN | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Economy
- Appropriations changes
- Natural Disasters (drought,weather extremes,etc.)

Description

The occurring storms and hurricanes in the USVI can affect research projects and adoption of new technology. Costs of the technology may affect adoption also.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Retrospective (post program)
- During (during program)

Description

Feedback from extension educators and farmers will be obtained during and after program through formal and informal meetings.

2. Data Collection Methods

- On-Site
- Whole population
- Observation

Description {NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Name of the Planned Program

Plant Biotechnology

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Plant biotechnology will involve the use of molecular biology, tissue culture and conventional propagation for the development of tropical crop plants with enhanced characteristics. The characteristics to be focused on are disease resistance in papaya and grapes, starch modification of cassava and micropropagation systems for pineapple. Transgenic virus resistant inbred papaya lines will be used in breeding to transfer resistance to early bearing large fruited papaya varieties. Genetically enhanced cassava will be developed and evaluated for the starch quality. Transgenic grapes have been developed for disease resistance; however they have not been grown under field conditions. Trials in a vineyard will be undertaken to determine the level and consistency of the imparted disease resistance. An efficient low tech system will be developed for the micropropagation of pineapple that can be utilized throughout the Caribbean and developing countries.

No

- **3. Program existence :** Mature (More then five years)
- **4. Program duration :** Long-Term (More than five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds :

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 201 20% Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 204 40% Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 206 40% Basic Plant Biology

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Papaya ringspot virus is a devastating disease plaguing papaya production throughout the tropical growing regions. Resistance is not available through simple plant breeding. Transgenic plants have been developed by inserting the virus coat protein gene to suppress infection and create resistance. Cassava starch production has potential as a value added commodity as well as use in biofuels. Cassava is drought tolerant and productive on poor soils. Both situations are found in the U.S. Virgin Islands. Table as well as wine-making grapes are beset upon by three major diseases which limit their growth and production in the Caribbean. These diseases include powdery mildew, downy mildew and Pierce's disease. To curb the total import of table grape for local consumption disease resistant varieties need to be developed. Pineapple is a slow growing plant that produces limited propagules for increase in plant numbers. Limited shoot production makes it difficult to obtain the number of plants needed for large planting. A few cultivars are available through tissue culture companies. However, the common cultivars and newest varieties are not commercially available. Micropropagation has the potential to quickly increase the number of plants in a short amount of time.

2. Scope of the Program

In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

A major assumption is that genes, inserted through genetic engineering will result in plants with the characteristics desired that are stable over multiple generations. Our results have indicated that genetic stability can be maintained for at least three generations. Transgenic plants have not been a concern to farmers and consumers that have seen the benefits that disease resistance, obtained through molecular techniques, can have on production and fruit quality. They have been supportive of this work and have seen the potential benefits that result from it. The public will be kept informed of the research being conducted, and their questions will be answered regarding any concerns they may have. Pineapple has been grown in tissue culture and found to produce multiple shoots on a limited number of varieties. It is expected that new varieties and old cultivars will respond similarly with slight modifications to the plant tissue culture medium.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Papaya – To develop virus resistant transgenic early bearing lines with high fruit quality on a compact plant and demonstrate that transgenic fruits are safe to grow. Cassava – Use molecular genetics to isolate and develop genetically enhanced plants with modified starch quality that can be used for industrial purposes. Pineapple - To develop a micropropagation system for the in vitro multiplication of pineapple that can be achieved with minimal technical equipment and applicable to the Caribbean region. Grape – To apply genetic engineering for the development of disease resistant table grapes that can be grown in tropical environments.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Year | Extension | | Research | |
|------|-----------|------|----------|------|
| | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

- Conduct research project
- Develop genetically enhanced plants
- Develop efficient micropropagation systems
- Present data at conferences
- Develop fact sheets for the local population
- Publish results in scientific journals

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Direct Methods Indirect Methods | | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | Indirect Contacts Adults | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Number of publications

| 2008 :1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 :1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| V(I). State Defined | Outcome | | | |
| 1. Outcome Target Number of local farm | ers growing micropropagated | l pineapple | | |
| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Condition Outco | ome Measure | | |
| 2008 :2 | 2009 : 3 | 2010 : 4 | 2011 :5 | 2012 : 0 |
| 3. Associated Know | ledge Area(s) | | | |
| • {NO DATA EN | TERED} | | | |
| | | | | |
| V/I) Diannad Brag | rom (External Eastern) | | | |

$V(\mbox{J}).$ Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Economy
- Other (Fed certification of transgenics)
- Natural Disasters (drought,weather extremes,etc.)
- Appropriations changes

Description

Due to our location the USVI is subject to hurricanes which can hinder research projects. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university. Federal certification for public release requires multiple years of field trials and documentation to obtain approval and approval is not guaranteed.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Retrospective (post program)
- Other (Informal discussions)

Description

Feedback will be obtained from discussions with local farmers either as part of informal meetings or formal meetings (Advisory Board, Workshops, Field Days, Ag Fair).

2. Data Collection Methods

- Whole population
- On-Site
- Observation

Description {NO DATA ENTERED}

1. Name of the Planned Program

Plant Germplasm Conservation and Enhancement

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

The use and maintenance of diverse germplasm are the key elements of successful plant breeding programs. The conservation and use of a comprehensive collection of genetically varied cultivated plants and their relatives are the biological foundation for the long term success of U.S. agricultural producers. Different selection and evaluation methods are used to develop cultivars within and among different tropical crop species, but elite germplasm is necessary in all instances to develop new superior cultivars. Evaluation, development and enhancement require long term commitments (20 to 40 years) to produce superior germplasm sources. Today the goal for project completion involves a 5 to 10 year time horizon. To ensure future genetic advances, a long term commitment is needed to increase genetic diversity of cultivated crops and to develop and enhance elite germplasm.

- **3. Program existence :** Intermediate (One to five years)
- **4. Program duration :** Long-Term (More than five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 202 80% Plant Genetic Resources
- 205 20% Plant Management Systems

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

The Caribbean basin is a source of multiple tropical fruit and root crop species used in the local diet. Some of the established varieties still commonly grown by farmers are over 100 years old as found in cassava and pineapple. These old varieties need to be conserved for their genetic integrity. However, some of these varieties may not be the most productive of have the quality of some of the newer selected varieties. Import and quarantine restrictions limit the farmer's choice of plant material. The University of the Virgin Islands plays a key role in bringing in new varieties, evaluating them for their fruit quality and production potential and using them as breeding stock to improve existing material. In the USVI a preference is for larger, 1.2-kg fruit in papaya. However, most commercial export varieties develop in Hawaii produce 0.25 to 0.5 kg fruit. Breeding and selection is needed to incorporate the sweeter and firmer fruit characteristics into the larger fruited Caribbean varieties.

2. Scope of the Program

In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Early bearing papaya varieties have been developed and are being utilized by farmers. However, pests and diseases continue to plague the crop. Breeding and selection is needed to continue to make improvements to the crop. Pineapples have been gaining interest on the island. Farmers are looking for a productive and high quality fruit producing plant. The established Caribbean varieties have spines and lack the sweetness and low acid content of the newer hybrid.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

• Papaya: Develop and enhance germplasm to broaden the genetic base for disease and pest resistance through breeding and selection. Improve the yield and fruit quality with the development of early bearing cultivars. • Pineapple: Identify plant varieties with improved fruit quality and high productivity in sustainable, integrated cropping systems. • Cassava: Evaluate germplasm with modified characteristics to improve the production and quality of the starch. • Orchids: Develop tissue culture protocols for the seed germination of the remaining two native species.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Veen | Extension | | Research | |
|------|-----------|------|----------|------|
| Year | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

- Conduct research project
- Sell papaya seeds to local framers
- Present data at conferences
- Develop fact sheets for local growers
- Publish results in scientific journals

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Direct Methods Indirect Methods | | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | Indirect Contacts Adults | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Number of publications

| | 2008:1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 ;1 | 2012 :1 |
|--|--------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|
|--|--------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Number of local farmers growing selected plant varieties

| 2008 :8 | 2009 : 10 | 2010 : 15 | 2011 :20 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | | | |

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• {NO DATA ENTERED}

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriations changes

Description

Due to our location the USVI is subject to hurricanes which can hinder research projects. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

• During (during program)

Description

Feedback will be obtained from farmers that grow and evaluate new varieties released under their growing environment (Workshops, Field Days, Ag Fair).

2. Data Collection Methods

• Sampling

Description

On farm interviews with farmers growing new varieties.

1. Name of the Planned Program

Whole Farm Systems Research

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

A small integrated model farm has been established at UVI to conduct whole farm systems research. The 5-acre farm consists of the following components: 1-acre rainwater catchment, 500-m3 water storage pond, seven 80-m3 fish tanks, 150-m3 sludge storage pond, 24-m3 mixing pond, 3.2-acres of crop production. The farm is completely enclosed by an 8-ft high fence. Rainwater from the catchment will collect in the storage pond and be pumped to the fish rearing tanks. Nile tilapia will be cultivated using a biofloc system and continuous aeration in the fish rearing tanks, which will be stocked with advanced fingerlings (~50 g) at a rate of 25 fish/m3 and fed ad libitum twice a day with a complete floating diet containing 32% protein for 6 months. Production will be staggered so that one tank will be harvested each month. The fish will be weighed and counted at stocking and harvest to determine growth rate, average body weight, total production level, survival and feed conversion ratio. The bottom of the fish tank slopes to a central cone where sludge will collect and be removed daily by opening a valve in the drain line. Sludge from all the tanks will flow to a sludge storage pond. Solid waste from the sludge pond will be composted along with plant residues and incorporated into the soil before planting. Clarified and filtered water from the sludge ponds will be blended with rainwater from the storage pond or municipal water and used to drip irrigate the field crops. The farm will produce approximately 30 vegetable and herb crops and one fruit crop (bananas) on a continuous basis. The optimum crop mix, planting schedules, irrigation rates, pest control and fallow periods will be determined based on previous research at UVI, on-site experience, and innovative research done elsewhere in the field of sustainable farming systems. A shade house will be provided to start certain crops in trays before transplanting to field areas. All harvested fruit and vegetables will be sorted into marketable and unmarketable product and weighed. Records of all inputs and outputs of the farm will be kept. The fish, vegetables and fruit will be sold at the UVI Farm Store. Enterprise budgets for the whole farm will be prepared using data collected on the farm and average retail prices for the product in St. Croix. Water budgets will be determined. Nutrient budgets and soil composition will be determined over time for small sub samples of the farm. The benefits of integration will be documented.

- 3. Program existence : Intermediate (One to five years)
- 4. Program duration : Medium Term (One to five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 205 40% Plant Management Systems
- 307 10% Animal Management Systems
- 403 20% Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 601 30% Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Most farmers in the Virgin Islands have small holdings and pursue farming on a part-time basis to supplement income from other economic activities. This scale of farming contributes minimally to the supply of vegetables and fruits, most of which are imported, and does not provide youth with an example of farming as a full-time profitable profession. The small integrated model farm will be operated intensively with a large mix of products to determine if farming at a larger scale in the Virgin Islands than currently practiced is economically feasible. If the results show that farming at this scale is profitable, youth might be encouraged to consider agriculture as a profession. More than 25 years of tilapia, vegetable and fruit research has been conducted at the UVI experiment station. The results of this research appear in numerous publications, many of which are no longer available or have been written for the scientific community. Often this research focuses on one particular aspect of a farming system and not the system as a whole. Research in the field of agriculture has in recent years shifted to an integrated approach, incorporating the many aspects of the farm system as a whole. In this way, researchers are better able to communicate useful results to farmers in the field. As economic and social paradigm shifts occur, agriculture systems need to shift to accommodate the ever changing needs of the consumer. The model integrated farm will provide an atmosphere for this kind of integrated research as well as a

continuous living example of best farm practices for the Virgin Islands.

2. Scope of the Program

In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

The small integrated model farm is in continuous production as a number of systems are being developed to optimize production. The major systems are fish production, solids and waste nutrient utilization in compost and irrigation water, and crop rotations. Within these major systems, technologies are being developed to maximize output and minimize input. Application of fish waste for crop fertilization will be achieved by using a waste filter system originally designed to hold cattle waste for dairy farms. This system, known as the Geotube®, will separate solid from liquid waste in an efficient manner so that both solid and liquid fish waste may be easily incorporated into the cropping system. The farm is being operated commercially to determine if integration of water harvesting, fish production and crop production is economically feasible on a 5-acre scale in the Virgin Islands. The program operations are run by the farm manager, who holds a B.S. in Horticulture and a M.S. in Agroforestry Systems. The manager supervises the daily operations of the farm staff of three employees while collecting all data and researching methods of sustainable farming practices and integrating them into the system. The farm manager utilizes past research and personal input from UVI's research staff as well as input from the USDA and Virgin Islands Department of Agriculture. The manager also draws on experience operating an integrated farming system in the tropics as well as research on integrated farm systems operating all across the world. A farmer's advisory committee is being developed. It will meet twice annually. An enterprise budget for the farm will show whether it is feasible to reduce costs or increase revenues. The budget will continuously evolve as new crop combinations are tried. Field days, tours, fact sheets, bulletins and an Internet site will expose farmers, students and the public to the results of this project. Farmers may not be able to duplicate the entire model farm but could adopt portions of the project. The budgets could be used to aid farmers in getting agricultural loans for similar operations in the private sector. If good profitability can be clearly demonstrated, youth may be encouraged to enter farming. Widespread application of this integrated farming system could increase availability of local fresh fish, vegetables and fruit. Increased activity in the farming sector could help diversify and stabilize the Virgin Islands economy.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

The ultimate goal of this project is to determine whether or not an integrated 5-acre model farm involving rainwater harvesting, fish production and crop production is economically feasible in the Virgin Islands. If it is economically feasible, farmers will be encouraged to adopt this model in an effort to increase income and the availability of fresh local produce.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

| Year | Exte | nsion | Research | | |
|------|------|-------|----------|------|--|
| | 1862 | 1890 | 1862 | 1890 | |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | |

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

- Conduct research project
- Present data at conferences
- Publish results in scientific journals, farmers bulletins and fact sheets

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

| Extension | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Direct Methods | Indirect Methods | | | |
| • {NO DATA ENTERED} | • {NO DATA ENTERED} | | | |

3. Description of targeted audience

{NO DATA ENTERED}

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

| | Direct Contacts Adults | Indirect Contacts Adults | Direct Contacts Youth | Indirect Contacts Youth |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Year | Target | Target | Target | Target |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

| 2008 :0 | 2009 :0 | 2010 :0 | 2011 :0 | 2012 :0 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

| Year | Research Target | Extension Target |
|------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2008 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 |

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

Abstracts presented at conferences

| | 2008 :1 | 2009 :1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 :1 |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|

| Journal article | s, farmers bulletins, fac | t sheets | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 2008 :1 | 2009 :2 | 2010 : 2 | 2011 :2 | 2012 :1 |
| V(I). State Defined | Outcome | | | |
| 1. Outcome Target | | | | |
| Number of local fa | armers who adopt some | portion of model farm | | |
| 2. Outcome Type : | Change in Action Outcome | e Measure | | |
| 2008 :1 | 2009 : 1 | 2010 : 1 | 2011 :1 | 2012 : 1 |
| 3. Associated Knowl | edge Area(s) | | | |
| 205 - Plant Ma | nagement Systems | | | |
| • 403 - Waste Di | sposal, Recycling, and Reus | e | | |
| • 601 - Economi | cs of Agricultural Production | and Farm Management | | |

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Economy
- Appropriations changes
- Natural Disasters (drought,weather extremes,etc.)

Description

Due to our location the Virgin Islands is subject to hurricanes which can hinder research projects. The local economy can also have a negative influence on state appropriations to the university.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

• Retrospective (post program)

Description

Feedback will be obtained from discussions with local farmers either as part of informal meetings or formal meetings (Framers Advisory Board, Special Listening Sessions)

2. Data Collection Methods

• Other (informal discussions)

Description

Feedback will be obtained from discussions with local farmers either as part of informal meetings or formal meetings (Framers Advisory Board, Special Listening Sessions)