2008 University of Nevada Extension Plan of Work

I. Plan Overview

1. Brief Summary about Plan Of Work

All programs outlined in this plan of work are being developed and implemented based on local or statewide formal and informal needs assessments. Additionally, specific efforts have been taken to address the needs of under-served/under-represented populations of the state, as well as activities/programs specific to the needs of these audiences. It should be noted that just about all Cooperative Extension programs in Nevada have some type of applied "research" component. Cooperative Extension faculty are expected to research needs, program impacts, and may use applied research projects as a teaching tool as well as to learn new information for use in programs. All Cooperative Extension faculty must have at least these minimum research components in their programs, and research is a major consideration in annual evaluations for both field faculty and campus based faculty (many of whom also have joint Nevada Agricultural Experiment Station appointments as well). Finally, a number of Cooperative Extension faculty also participate on NAES research projects related to their program areas.

Year	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimated Number of Professional FTEs/SYs total in the State.

II. Merit Review Process

1. The Merit Review Process that will be Employed during the 5-Year POW Cycle

• Combined External and Internal University External Non-University Panel

2. Brief Explanation

The merit review process is actually several review processes at different times. First, all Extension faculty are required to prepare a "Role Statement" detailing their plans and activities for the coming calendar year. They review this plan with their Area Director or Department Chair who insures the guality and relevance of planned work efforts to the identified program goals. Both the Area Director and the Dean sign off on the plan. Second, Extension in Nevada uses a peer review process whereby Extension faculty provide evaluation and input on their peers concerning their program quality, its importance to stakeholders and relevance. In these peer reviews, the needs assessments are also examined as well as program impacts. These peer reviews are used by Area Directors and Department Chairs to not only evaluate faculty, but are also used in reviewing "Role Statements" and focusing faculty efforts in the future. These are also reviewed by the Dean/Director. At each of these steps, the Strategic Plan is used to evaluate program priorities and need. Third, programs and their impacts are reviewed with the State Extension Advisory Committee to get their input and evaluation as well. Fourth, all Extension publications and curriculum are peer reviewed from either internal experts, external experts or both. Not only does this produce better publications but provides some feedback on the "need" or relevance to stakeholders of the topic. Finally, those efforts organized as Western Coordinating Committee projects through the Western Regional Coordinating Implementation Committee (RCIC) are reviewed by RCIC (which is represented by both Extension and Research) for progress during the course of the project/program and at project termination. The reviews are documented and housed at the executive director's office in the western region. Additionally, those portions of programs which are part of the eXtenison effort are reviewed in the eXtension selection process.

III. Evaluation of Multis & Joint Activities

1. How will the planned programs address the critical issues of strategic importance, including those identified by the stakeholders?

All activities/programs of UNCE and NAES match needs/issues identified in the stakeholder input processes. Additionally, these activities/programs also address needs common to under-served/under-represented populations of the state, as well as activities/programs specific to the needs of these audiences.

Scholarship has long been recognized as an expectation of UNCE community-based faculty. All major programs are grounded in research theory and deliberate attempts are made to include campus-based faculty who hold joint UNCE and NAES appointments in their overall design. Programs are rigorously evaluated so as to contribute to the knowledge base of theory in practice. Not only are campus-based faculty expected to be involved in the evaluation design, but UNCE faculty are expected to take a scholarly approach to their work. Finally, integrated and multistate programs have generally realized the outcomes/impacts expected. The multi-state research program and Western Coordinating Committee projects are reviewed by RCIC (which is represented by both Extension and Research) for progress during the course of the project/program and at project termination. The reviews are documented and housed at the executive director's office in the western region. This process will continue to be used. Additionally, UNCE faculty and campus faculty on UNCE appointments are expected to demonstrate program results/impacts as part of their annual evaluations. Therefore, peers and administration both have an opportunity to review impacts/results of all UNCE programs.

2. How will the planned programs address the needs of under-served and under-represented populations of the State(s)?

All activities/programs of UNCE and NAES match needs/issues identified in the stakeholder input processes. Efforts are made to insure inclusion of under-served/under represented populations in the needs assessment processes. As a result, activities/programs are developed to address needs common to under-served/under-represented populations of the state, as well as activities/programs specific to the needs of these audiences.

3. How will the planned programs describe the expected outcomes and impacts?

The four primary programs are: * Health & Nutrition * Human & Family Development * Agriculture & Natural Resources * Community Development In "Health & Nutrition, the primary goal is "better individual health, better quality of life and lower health costs throughout their lifespan." Adopting a healthy lifestyle and food choices are necessary for individuals to maintain optimal health throughout their lifespan. This various activities of this program are designed to provide health & nutrition educational programs where individuals, families and care givers can learn the benefits of a healthy lifestyle and proper food habits which promote health and wellbeing. Learning and adoption of more healthful practices are the primary outcomes being sought. Additionally, there are special efforts focused on needs of children, minorities and low income families. Human & Family Development programming focuses on the interrelated areas of children, youth and families at risk, positive youth development, parenting education, literacy and child care provider training. Ultimate outcomes of this program include: * Reduced risk and increased protective assets for youth and families at risk * Decrease in numbers of serious arguments in the family. * Improve literacy skills of children and parents, including ESL (English as Second Language) parents & children. * Improve the guality of care provided by child care providers. * Improve the positive youth development opportunities for all youth. * Expand opportunities for parenting education for parents of infants through eXtension. There are primarily four major inter-related and interconnected areas of emphasis in agriculture and natural resources programming: * vegetation management * watershed health management * urban/community horticulture * alternative and sustainable agriculture practices or opportunities Primary outcomes in agriculture & natural resource programming are: * For land managers to learn and apply sound principles to both maintain and restore rangeland health, reduce weeds and impacts of fires. * For stakeholders (individuals, land owners, land managers, community leaders, business/industry, etc.) in water management issues to learn sound principles for the effective and efficient management and utilization of Nevada's riparian areas and to work together in apply these principles at all levels to maximize benefit. * For individual home owners and businesses in urban areas to learn and apply landscaping and horticulture practices which suit the climate and limited water resources of Nevada. * To identify sustainable agriculture alternative practices and opportunities for Nevada, for producers to learn and apply these in their agriculture operations. "Community Development" is a broad program area focusing on "capacity building" for communities, public issues education programs, leadership skills development (both youth and adults) and economic modeling or other community asset assessments for planning and development. Both "community" and "development" are defined very broadly. The primary outcomes are: * To enhance the capacity of individuals to function as effective leaders in their organizations and communities, and to become more involved in community or organizational issues. * To help individuals and community leaders learn about pubic policy issues, community assets and economic models so they can make appropriate development plans for their communities. * For communities and organizations to proactively plan and influence the future develop of their communities in positive ways to make it a desirable and positive environment for individuals, families and businesses.

4. How will the planned programs result in improved program effectiveness and/or efficiency?

Many of the State Specialists with UNCE appointments also have NAES appointments so that their research is closely related to their educational programming. Additionally, many UNCE faculty are participants with NAES faculty on research projects. Integrated and multistate programming is increasingly the result of more proactive processes, and has helped to identify ways for cooperation even outside of specific programs. For example, UNCE has continued an arrangement with Utah State University Extension for their Dairy Specialists to provide dairy programming in Nevada. Also there is a great deal of collaboration along the border states especially UT, CA, ID and AZ. For example, NV and CA work very closely along the border areas in both natural resources, 4-H and other activities especially along the Lake Tahoe region. The program priorities established by UNCE from needs assessments conducted by UNCE faculty have been provided to Agriculture Experiment Station (AES) for their information and use as they allocate research funds. Collaboration with community-based faculty and developing research components to Extension programs has been openly endorsed by AES and there are a number of AES funded projects with UNCE field faculty involved.

IV. Stakeholder Input

1. Actions taken to seek stakeholder input that encourages their participation

- Survey specifically with non-traditional groups
- Targeted invitation to non-traditional stakeholder individuals
- Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder individuals
- Survey of traditional stakeholder individuals
- Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder groups
- Survey of traditional stakeholder groups

Brief explanation.

In 2000, UNCE established a statewide Advisory Committee that represents a diverse cross section of stakeholders from both rural and urban communities, including minorities. This Advisory Committee has met at least twice a year since 2001 and continues to review UNCE programs and provide suggestions on additional program opportunities. It provides broad guidance on UNCE programming and policies, serves as a sounding board for setting program priorities, and has helped obtain support for UNCE from key state and county elected officials. CARET representatives also serve as members of this UNCE Advisory Committee. Within their first year of being hired, UNCE funded faculty are expected to conduct a formal needs assessment in order to identify critical issues in their subject matter area. For County Extension Educators, a very broad, community-based assessment is expected. For Area Specialists, a broad, issue-based assessment is expected. State Extension Specialists are charged with compiling local needs assessments and adding statewide data and impacts. Indeed, one of the criteria for annual performance evaluation is effective assessment of need. Following their initial needs assessment, faculty are required to continually assess needs through contact with stakeholders and periodically conduct a needs assessment in as expected of newly hired faculty. Information on the "community stakeholder meetings" and some of the other statewide needs assessments can be found at: http://www.unce.unr.edu/Gateway/gateway.htm. A recent example is the needs assessment survey of agriculture producers completed in 2006 which can be found at

http://www.unce.unr.edu/publications/EBPubs/EB0602.pdf.

Some needs assessments are also turned into Extension publications. Needs assessments may take many forms and use a variety of methods across the state. No one method is required or always appropriate. As a result of the above processes for stakeholder input, all of UNCE's major educational programs are based on one or more needs assessments. UNCE has also used this information in ongoing strategic planning for the future. The data collected by UNCE is also shared with the Nevada Agricultural Experiment Station for their information, and also shared with other university faculty for their use and information.

2(A). A brief statement of the process that will be used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups stakeholders and to collect input from them

1. Method to identify individuals and groups

- Open Listening Sessions
- Use Advisory Committees
- Use Surveys
- Use External Focus Groups
- Use Internal Focus Groups
- Needs Assessments

Brief explanation.

A variety of methods are used across the state to identify individuals/groups who are stakeholders and to get their input. No one method is required or always appropriate. In fact, one method may be used in one county and a different method in another

county. Even within counties, one method might be used in one situation and another method used in a different situation.

2(B). A brief statement of the process that will be used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups who are stakeholders and to collect input from them

1. Methods for collecting Stakeholder Input

- Meeting with traditional Stakeholder groups
- Meeting with traditional Stakeholder individuals
- Meeting specifically with non-traditional groups
- Survey specifically with non-traditional groups
- Survey of traditional Stakeholder groups
- Survey of traditional Stakeholder individuals
- Meeting specifically with non-traditional individuals
- Meeting with invited selected individuals from the general public
- Survey of selected individuals from the general public

Brief explanation

A variety of methods are used across the state to identify individuals/groups who are stakeholders and to get their input. No one method is required or always appropriate. In fact, one method may be used in one county and a different method in another county. Even within counties, one method might be used in one situation and another method used in a different situation.

3. A statement of how the input will be considered

- Redirect Extension Programs
- In the Staff Hiring Process
- To Identify Emerging Issues
- In the Action Plans
- In the Budget Process
- To Set Priorities

Brief explanation.

Stakeholder input is routinely used to identify emerging issues, to redirect Extension programs and also in the hiring process. Where stakeholder input and needs assessments show the need for different staffing, it has also been used to make changes in qualifications of those hired in empty or new positions. Additionally, it is used in setting program priorities. Finally, it is used in making request for additional funding.

V. Planned Program Table of Content

S. NO.	PROGRAM NAME
1	Agriculture & Natural Resources
2	Community Development
3	Health & Nutrition (Healthy Lifestyle & Food Choices)
4	Human & Family Development

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Agriculture & Natural Resources

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

There are primarily four major inter-related and interconnected areas of emphasis in agriculture and natural resources programming: 1) vegetation management; 2) watershed health management; 3) urban/community horticulture and 4) alternative and sustainable agriculture practices or opportunities.

- 3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)
- **4. Program duration :** Long-Term (More than five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 111 10% Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 121 25% Management of Range Resources
- 122 5% Management and Control of Forest and Range Fires
- 205 20% Plant Management Systems
- 216 20% Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 307 5% Animal Management Systems
- 601 5% Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 605 5% Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 806 5% Youth Development

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Nevada land is 87% publicly owned land - mostly Federal. In Nevada, rangeland health, weeds and fire are areas of emphasis and are all heavily related. Water (Nevada is the most arid state in the nation) and rangeland are also critical for agriculture as well as for public use and recreation. Urban/community horticulture is a huge demand because of both water concerns and the large number of people moving to Nevada from less arid places. (Nevada is the fastest growing state by % of population and the most urban in the nation.) Given the limited water resources and climate, there is a need to identify and promote alternative agriculture practices and opportunities to sustain the agriculture industry and the rural communities of Nevada dependent on the agriculture industry. Therefore, there are four interrelated and interconnected primary areas of program emphasis in Nevada. First, a continuation of the vegetation management emphasis that includes systems thinking about rangeland health, productivity, biodiversity, state and transition thresholds. This focuses on the high priority management needed to address rangeland vegetation at risk. This integrated effort address topics such as weeds, fire and fuels management, livestock grazing management, and rangeland monitoring. The second major emphasis addresses integrated watershed health management. It focuses on such topics as riparian proper functioning condition; urban growth and development in relation to floodplains and river corridors; vegetation management and thresholds, how transportation systems affect watershed functions; water uses; water quantity and quality connectivity; water cycles, flow, and storage; invasive weeds (Tamarisk, Tall White Top, Russian Knap Weed, etc.) public policy; best management practices; etc. Additional programming can work with communities in collaborative planning, fact finding, and cooperative learning.

The third emphasis is on urban/community horticulture. As Nevada's population is growing at an unprecedented rate and water restrictions are becoming common place, public demand is increasing for high quality, low water using landscapes. The fourth and final emphasis is on sustainable alternative agriculture practices and opportunities. Agriculture is a significant contributor to rural economies and lifestyles. Competition for water and rising input costs remain the primary overriding challenges to agriculture sustainability. As producers face the reality of less water available for future production, they are seeking viable alternative crops that can produce equivalent incomes with substantially less water.

2. Scope of the Program

- Multistate Extension
- Integrated Research and Extension
- In-State Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Funding will remain constant or increase. Urban growth and expansion will continue along with rapid population growth. Changes in the natural environment are possible, but very long term. Water is critical to Nevada's future in both urban and rural areas of the state.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

A. For land managers (public and private) to learn and apply sound principles to both maintain and restore rangeland health, reduce weeds and impacts of fires. B. For stakeholders (individuals, land owners, land managers, community leaders, business/industry, etc.) in water management issues to learn sound principles for the effective and efficient management and utilization of Nevada's riparian areas and to work together in apply these principles at all levels to maximize benefit. C. For individual home owners and businesses in urban areas to learn and apply landscaping and horticulture practices which suit the climate and limited water resources of Nevada. D. To identify sustainable agriculture alternative practices and opportunities for Nevada, for producers to learn and apply these in their agriculture operations.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Year	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	20.2	0.0	0.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

A variety of activities both educational outreach and applied research will be undertaken. Applied research will focus on both social and "best management practices" for Nevada as it relates to the areas of emphasis.

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension				
Direct Methods Indirect Methods				
 Workshop One-on-One Intervention Group Discussion 	 Other 2 (UNCE Publications) TV Media Programs Web sites Other 1 (Newspapers/Magazines/Print Media) Newsletters Public Service Announcement 			

3. Description of targeted audience

Target audience is multiple and varied. First, Nevada land managers both public and private. Second, stakeholders in water related issues (individuals, land owners, land managers, community leaders, business/industry, etc.). Third, home and business owners for horticulture and landscaping practices. Fourth, ag producers interested in sustainable and alternative agricultural practices. Youth in 4-H program are also a target audience although not the primarily focus for most of these programs.

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	3800	9200	950	100
2009	3800	10000	1050	100
2010	3800	10000	1200	100
2011	3800	10000	1200	100
2012	3800	10000	1200	100

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008 :0	2009 :0	2010 :0	2011 :0	2012 :0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	15
2009	0	15
2010	0	15
2011	0	15
2012	0	20

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Number of journal articles or UNCE publications related to agriculture & natural resources (including horticulture) produced.

2008:15	2009 : 15	2010 : 15	2011 :15	2012 :20

• Number of individuals reached directly with agriculture & natural resource information (including water and horticulture) through workshops, trainings & one on one or other direct method including phone and e-mail consultations.

2008:3800 **2009**:3800 **2010**:3800 **2011**:3800 **2012**:3800

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Number of target audiences who will learn best management practices for agriculture, natural resources, horticulture or water quality/quantity.

2. Outcome Type :	Change in Action Outcome M	easure		
2008 :10000	2009 : 10000	2010 : 10000	2011 :10000	2012 : 10000
3. Associated Knowl	ledge Area(s)			
 111 - Conserva 	ation and Efficient Use of Water			
 121 - Managen 	ment of Range Resources			
 122 - Manager 	ment and Control of Forest and I	Range Fires		
• 205 - Plant Ma	nagement Systems			
 216 - Integrate 	d Pest Management Systems			
 307 - Animal M 	lanagement Systems			
601 - Economi	cs of Agricultural Production and	d Farm Management		
 605 - Natural F 	Resource and Environmental Ec	onomics		
806 - Youth De	evelopment			
1. Outcome Target Number of target aud horticulture or water of	liences who apply or use best m quality/quantity.	anagement practices learned	l for agriculture, natural resourc	æs,
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Action Outcome M	easure		
2008 :5000	2009 : 5000	2010 : 5000	2011 :5000	2012 : 5000
3. Associated Knowl	ledge Area(s)			
 111 - Conserva 	ation and Efficient Use of Water			
 121 - Managen 	ment of Range Resources			
 122 - Managen 	ment and Control of Forest and I	Range Fires		
• 205 - Plant Ma	nagement Systems			
• 216 - Integrate	d Pest Management Systems			
• 307 - Animal M	lanagement Systems			
• 601 - Economi	cs of Agricultural Production and	d Farm Management		
 605 - Natural F 	Resource and Environmental Ec	onomics		
• 806 - Youth De	evelopment			
V(J). Planned Prog	ram (External Factors)			

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Public Policy changes
- Natural Disasters (drought,weather extremes,etc.)

Description

Natural disasters, especially weather related and fire, are always significant factors in natural resource related programming. Such may cause a significant change in focus and resources (up or down). Additionally, public policy changes at both the Federal and State levels may impact not only what can be done but what will be done in natural resource management.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Case Study
- Time series (multiple points before and after program)
- Before-After (before and after program)

Description

Measures of learning will be determined through pre and post test of participants in training, workshops, etc. Additionally, case studies or follow ups will be used over time to determine any practice changes by participants and results of practice change.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Whole population
- Observation
- Structured
- Other (Development of management plans)
- Unstructured

Description

No sampling used. Will use all of any group participants. A variety of data collection methods will be used.

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Community Development

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

"Community Development" is a broad program area focusing on "capacity building" for communities, public issues education programs, leadership skills development (both youth and adults) and economic modeling or other community asset assessments for planning and development. Both "community" and "development" are defined very broadly.

3. Program existence : Intermediate (One to five years)

4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 608 60% Community Resource Planning and Development
- 803 10% Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 805 20% Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 10% Youth Development

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Although Arizona became the fastest growing state this year, Nevada has been the fastest growing state in the nation for the past 15 years by % of population as well as the most urban. The need for "community development" programming is great in both urban and the many small rural communities across the state. Many of Nevada's rural economies which are natural resource based and have been historically classified as "boom-bust" economies. Given the economic variability in these natural resource economics, unique community and economic development extension programs have been developed for rural leaders and economic development practitioners to understand and address issues of mitigate economic variability and enhance economic stability. The University of Nevada Cooperative Extension works with the University Center for Economic Development to enhance delivery of these economic analysis and development programs to rural economies within the state of Nevada. Given the limited "community development" related resources in Cooperative Extension, most efforts will be limited in focus and in target audiences. A number of organizations and groups have indicated and interest in "leadership development" programming and a "needs assessment" is ongoing. Areas of emphasis will be on "capacity building" for communities, public issues education programs, leadership skills development (both youth and adults) and economic modeling or other community asset assessments for planning and development.

2. Scope of the Program

- Integrated Research and Extension
- In-State Extension
- Multistate Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Funding will remain constant.

Nevada will continue to be one of the fastest growing states in the nation, if not the fastest growing.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

To enhance the capacity of individuals to function as effective leaders in their organizations and communities, and to become more involved in community or organizational issues.

To help individuals and community leaders learn about pubic policy issues, community assets and economic models so they can make appropriate development plans for their communities.

For communities and organizations to proactively plan and influence the future develop of their communities in positive ways to make it a desirable and positive environment for individuals, families and businesses.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Year	Extension		Research	
rear	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

A needs assessment on "leadership development" is ongoing and will be completed. Information from this will be used shaping leadership skills or "capacity building" training for organizations or groups who are interested. Also, pubic issues education programs will be conducted through publications, media, group discussions and meetings on key issues facing selected communities or groups. Additionally, assistance will be provided to a limited number of communities in economic modeling and/or conducting community asset assessments for planning and development. Faculty are working with the national extension program "Rural Health Works", and will continue work on rural health care sector issues in rural Nevada. Additionally, Cooperative Extension will continue to assist the Nevada Rural Development Council as requested in a variety of areas including small business development, health issues, economic development, etc.

Cooperative Extension is actively working to develop a "Nevada Center for Excellence in Leadership and Civic Engagement" to support expanded leadership and community development programming.

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension				
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods			
 Group Discussion One-on-One Intervention Workshop 	 Other 1 (Newspaper/magazine/print media) Web sites Other 2 (Other publications) 			

3. Description of targeted audience

Targeted audiences will be individuals who are interested in "leadership development" programming, as well as community and/or organizational leaders involved in economic development or community planning, the National Rural Health Works program and the Nevada Rural Development Council.

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	765	1400	3400	0
2009	800	1450	3800	0
2010	800	1500	4200	0
2011	800	1500	4200	0
2012	800	1500	4200	0

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008 :0	2009 :0	2010 :0	2011 :0	2012 :0
----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	7
2009	0	7
2010	0	7
2011	0	7
2012	0	7

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Number of publications produced related to "community development" for use by community leaders and/or organizations in economic or development planning.

2008:4	2009 :4	2010:4	2011 :4	2012:4	
Number individuals	s (adults & youth) receiving leade	ership or economic development t	raining or information.		
2008 :150	2009 :200	2010 : 200	2011 :200	2012 :200	
V(I). State Defined	Outcome				
1. Outcome Target Number of individuals (a	adults & youth) learning new lead	lership or economic development	skills/knowledge.		
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Knowledge Outc	ome Measure			
2008 : 100	2009 : 100	2010 : 150	2011 :150	2012 : 150	
3. Associated Knowl	edge Area(s)				
 608 - Commun 	ity Resource Planning and De	evelopment			
803 - Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities					
• 805 - Commun	805 - Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services				

1. Outcome Target

Number of individuals (adults & youth) applying or using new leadership or economic development skills/knowledge in their organizations or communities.

2. Outcome Type :	Change in Action Outcome I	Measure		
2008 :50	2009 : 50	2010 : 100	2011 :100	2012 : 100
3. Associated Knowl	edge Area(s)			
 608 - Commun 	ity Resource Planning and De	velopment		
 803 - Sociologi 	cal and Technological Change	e Affecting Individuals, Familie	es and Communities	
• 805 - Commun	ity Institutions, Health, and So	cial Services		
1. Outcome Target Number of communities	completing Rural Health Works	program.		
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Action Outcome I	Measure		
2008 :1	2009 : 1	2010 : 1	2011 :1	2012 : 1
3. Associated Knowl	edge Area(s)			
 608 - Commun 	ity Resource Planning and De	velopment		
 803 - Sociologi 	cal and Technological Change	Affecting Individuals, Familie	es and Communities	

805 - Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Economy
- Appropriations changes
- Public Policy changes

Description

The volitile nature of today's economy, especially in rural areas is such that it can have a major impact on communities and individuals willingness and resources for "community development" activities. However, these can also provide motivation to take action and make changes too.Communities and their infrastructure are always subject to changes in public policy, especially those from the Federal or State level.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Before-After (before and after program)
- During (during program)
- Case Study

Description

Pre and post test will be used in leadership training to determine learning and knowledge gained. Observations or case studies will be used in other settings to determine changes and use of modeling or asset assessments by communities or organizations.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Case Study
- Structured
- Whole population
- Unstructured
- On-Site
- Observation

Description

No sampling used. Will use all of any group participants.

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Health & Nutrition (Healthy Lifestyle & Food Choices)

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Adopting a healthy lifestyle and food choices are necessary for individuals to maintain optimal health throughout their lifespan. The various activities of this program are designed to provide health & nutrition educational programming where individuals (adults and youth), families and care givers can learn the benefits of a healthy lifestyle and proper food habits which promote health and wellbeing. Additionally, there is programming focused on the special needs of children, minorities and low income families. An emphasis on educational programs about youth obesity and the benefits of physical activity as part of a healthy life style are being included as well.

No

- **3. Program existence :** Mature (More then five years)
- **4. Program duration :** Long-Term (More than five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds :

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 703 60% Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 724 20% Healthy Lifestyle
- 802 15% Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 806 5% Youth Development

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Much of the health related problems in Nevada are directly related to lack of proper nutrition, over eating or lifestyle . Over 2/3rds of adults and 1/3rd of youth are overweight. The result is poor health and increasing health care cost.

2. Scope of the Program

- Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- In-State Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Funding will remain constant or increase. People can learn and will make better food and lifestyle choices for better health.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Better individual health, better quality of life and lower health costs throughout their lifespan.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Veer	Exte	nsion	Re	search
Year	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

A variety of activities will be undertaken to reach at risk individuals, especially minorities, with appropriate information. For example, nutrition education programs will be provided in school classes, 4-H after school settings, new parents, low income and other audiences as well as the general public. Newsletters, publications and other informational materials will be distributed through senior centers and to professional health educators as well as to the general public through the UNCE website.

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension				
Direct Methods Indirect Methods				
 Workshop Group Discussion One-on-One Intervention 	 Web sites Newsletters Other 2 (UNCE Publications) Other 1 (Newspapers/Magazines/Print Media) Public Service Announcement TV Media Programs 			

3. Description of targeted audience

Targeted audiences are varied. One target audience is those who train or education others about health lifestyles and food choices (medical professionals, professional care givers) as well as individual adults and youth of all ages. A second target audience is children, youth and familes at risk as well as minorities. A third target audience is youth in school settings.

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	1790	2800	2300	17700
2009	2200	2800	2500	17700
2010	2200	2800	2700	17700
2011	2200	2800	2900	17700
2012	2200	2800	2900	17700

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008 :0	2009 :0	2010 :0	2011 :0	2012 :0
2000.0	2003.0	2010.0	2011.0	4

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	10
2009	0	10
2010	0	10
2011	0	10
2012	0	10

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

 Number of in school classes taught to students about healthy food choices. 				
2008 :50	2009 :50	2010 : 50	2011 :50	2012 :50
• Number of health	& nutrition publications public	shed or placed on web.		
2008 :10	2009 :10	2010 : 10	2011 :10	2012 :10
V(I). State Defined C	Outcome			

()

1. Outcome Target

Number of individuals (adults & youth) who will learn knowledge or skills necessary to make healthy lifestyle and food choices for themselves or those they care for (knowledge learned).

2. Outcome Type :	Change in Knowledge Outcor	ne Measure		
2008 :4000	2009 : 4000	2010 : 5000	2011 :8000	2012 : 8000
3. Associated Know	ledge Area(s)			
• 703 - Nutrition	Education and Behavior			
 724 - Healthy L 	ifestyle			
• 802 - Human D	Development and Family Well-B	eing		
 806 - Youth De 	evelopment			
1. Outcome Target				
Number of individuals	s (adults & youth) who will adop	t healthy lifestyle practices of	r food choices (behavior).	
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Action Outcome M	easure		
2008 :400	2009 : 400	2010 : 500	2011 :800	2012 : 800
3. Associated Know	ledge Area(s)			
• 703 - Nutrition	Education and Behavior			

• 724 - Healthy Lifestyle

- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 806 Youth Development

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

Economy

Description

A downturn in the economy would directly impact individual's ability and motivation to adopt healthy lifestyle and food choices.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Case Study
- Before-After (before and after program)
- During (during program)

Description

A variety of techniques may be used to measure both knowledge change and behavior change. Before and After measures are routinely used in all educational programs. Additionally, some case studies may be used with selected individuals or groups to look at behavior change in addition to knowledge change.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Whole population
- Unstructured
- Observation
- Structured
- Case Study
- On-Site

Description

No sampling used. Will use all of any group participants. A variety of data collection methods will be used.

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Human & Family Development

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Human & Family Development programming focuses on the interrelated areas of: children, youth and families at risk, positive youth development, parenting education, literacy and child care provider training.

- 3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)
- 4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : No

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 702 5% Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 703 10% Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 802 65% Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 10% Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 806 10% Youth Development

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

UNCE programming is always based on one or more needs assessments, and needs assessments are and ongoing process. For example, current ongoing needs assessments are focusing on early child care & education; aging programs; intergenerational literacy and school readiness; parenting needs, etc. As a result of earlier needs assessments (both formal and informal) Cooperative Extension has developed a variety of efforts to address the interrelated areas of: children, youth and families at risk, positive youth development, parenting education, literacy and child care provider training. The Nevada Child Care Work Force Study (Essa, 2002) estimated that there are 40,500 children under six years of age in licensed, paid child care in Nevada. An unknown number (but probably equally large) are in care by relatives and neighbors that is unlicensed and, in some cases, unpaid. Nearly 6,000 Nevada school age children are in licensed care. Because many child care programs for school-age and adolescent children are not required to be licensed, there are far more school-age children receiving care than 6,000, but the exact number is unknown. There are about 5,400 caregivers providing early care and education in licensed programs in Nevada. In 2002 the State Licensing Regulations for child caregivers was expanded beyond the 12 hours of in-service education to a Career adopted a Ladder for childcare providers with seven levels. In addition, the number of hours of in-service education required for caregivers was recently expanded from 12 to 15 annually. Need assessments by UNCE in the early '90s identified juvenile justice issues as a priority when results found few alternatives other than detention were available for Elko youth-helping drive the large recidivism rate in that county. Collaborations were formed in several communities (with UNCE involvement) to address this issue. As a consequence of these activities, Project MAGIC (Making A Group & Individual Commitment) was developed developed by Cooperative Extension to target first time and less serious offenders. MAGIC is now conducted in a variety of both urban and rural settings as well as on an Indian Reservation.

Literacy is a concern in Nevada. One quarter of Nevadans--nearly 300,000 youth and adults--are illiterate; they cannot read or write well enough to do simple tasks, such as filling out a job application or reading the newspaper (Nevada Literacy 2000, 1992). Further, teachers in Nevada report that they have children entering school who have never held a book in their hands. Children for whom English is a second language are of particular concern in this country, because they often have difficulty in school. Given the growing percentage of Spanish-speaking immigrants in Nevada, there is an ever-larger numbers of ESL children, at risk for low achievement, entering schools in our state. While teachers, social workers, and other professionals indicate the need for parenting education, there are no statewide surveys to pinpoint needs. One indicator is the statistics on child abuse and neglect in Nevada. In 2000, there were 12,797 reports of suspected abuse and/or neglect, of which close to 27% were substantiated.

2. Scope of the Program

- In-State Extension
- Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Funding will remain constant or increase. Reducing risks and nurturing protective capacities and conditions provides the theory and practice methodology for most juvenile justice as well as Extension programs with youth. Given the limited Extension resources, collaborations with community and state agencies or organizations will improve impact, as will developing the capacity of other organizations or agencies.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

* Reduced risk and increased protective assets for youth and families at risk * Decrease in numbers of serious arguments in the family. * Improve literacy skills of children and parents, including ESL parents & children. * Improve the quality of care provided by child care providers. * Improve the positive youth development opportunities for all youth.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Neer	Exte	nsion	Re	search
Year	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

A variety of activities will be undertaken including capacity building for other youth and family professionals through education classes and workshops, newsletters, and publications including curriculum. Some curriculum and materials will be web based as well. Also, a variety of activities (workshops, newsletters, one on one interventions, etc.) will be focused on youth and families at risk.

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension		
Direct Methods Indirect Methods		
 Group Discussion Workshop One-on-One Intervention 	 Web sites Other 1 (Newspaper/Magazines/print media) TV Media Programs Public Service Announcement Newsletters Other 2 (UNCE Publications) 	

3. Description of targeted audience

Target audiences include: • Child care providers & other youth/family professionals • Parents/families• At Risk Youth & Families including Military families (Regular, Reserves and National Guard.)

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

Direct Contacts Adults		Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	3000	5700	800	1000
2009	3000	5700	900	1000
2010	2890	5700	900	1000
2011	2890	5700	900	1000
2012	2890	5700	900	1000

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008 :0	2009 :0	2010 :0	2011 :0	2012 :0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	10
2009	0	10
2010	0	10
2011	0	10
2012	0	10

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Number of families who receive publications, newsletters, etc. on human & family development related topics developed.

	2008 :850	2009 :900	2010 : 950	2011 :1000	2012 :1000		
•	Number of MAGIC curricula distributed.						
	2008 :40	2009 :40	2010 : 40	2011 :40	2012 :40		

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Number of child care providers and family/youth professionals learning new knowledge or skills.

2. Outcome Type :	Change in Knowledge Outcom	me Measure		
2008 :750	2009 : 750	2010 : 750	2011 :750	2012 : 750
3. Associated Know				
 702 - Requiren 	nents and Function of Nutrients	and Other Food Components	8	
• 703 - Nutrition	Education and Behavior			
• 802 - Human E	Development and Family Well-B	eing		
806 - Youth De	velopment			
1. Outcome Target				
Number of youth and	adults improving literacy skills.			
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Action Outcome N	leasure		
2008 :500	2009 : 500	2010 : 500	2011 :500	2012 : 500
3. Associated Know	,			
• 802 - Human D	Development and Family Well-B	eing		
 803 - Sociologi 	ical and Technological Change	Affecting Individuals, Families	s and Communities	
• 806 - Youth De	velopment			
1. Outcome Target				
Number of professior	nals trained to deliver evidenced	l-based delivery programs on	human & family developmen	t related topics.
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Knowledge Outco	me Measure		
2008 :40	2009 : 40	2010 : 35	2011 :35	2012 :35
3. Associated Know	edge Area(s)			
 702 - Requiren 	nents and Function of Nutrients	and Other Food Components	3	
• 703 - Nutrition	Education and Behavior			
• 802 - Human D	Development and Family Well-B	eing		
 803 - Sociologi 	ical and Technological Change	Affecting Individuals, Families	s and Communities	
1. Outcome Target				
Number of parents le	arning new parenting knowledg	e/skills.		
	Change in Knowledge Outer			
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Knowledge Outcom	me Measure		
2. Outcome Type : 2008 :2100	2009 : 2150	me Measure 2010 : 2200	2011 :2200	2012 : 2200
	2009 : 2150		2011 :2200	2012 : 2200
2008 :2100 3. Associated Know	2009 : 2150	2010 : 2200	2011 :2200	2012 : 2200
2008 :2100 3. Associated Know • 802 - Human E	2009 : 2150 ledge Area(s)	2010 : 2200		2012 : 2200
2008 :2100 3. Associated Know • 802 - Human E	2009:2150 ledge Area(s) Development and Family Well-B	2010 : 2200		2012 : 2200
2008 : 2100 3. Associated Know • 802 - Human E • 803 - Sociologi 1. Outcome Target	2009:2150 ledge Area(s) Development and Family Well-B	2010 : 2200 eeing Affecting Individuals, Familie:	s and Communities	2012 : 2200
2008 : 2100 3. Associated Know • 802 - Human E • 803 - Sociologi 1. Outcome Target	2009:2150 ledge Area(s) Development and Family Well-B ical and Technological Change	2010 : 2200 eeing Affecting Individuals, Families ur participating in juvenile dive	s and Communities	2012 : 2200
2008 :2100 3. Associated Know 802 - Human E 803 - Sociologi 1. Outcome Target Significant improvement	2009 : 2150 ledge Area(s) Development and Family Well-B ical and Technological Change ent in school functioning for you	2010 : 2200 eeing Affecting Individuals, Families ur participating in juvenile dive	s and Communities	2012 : 2200 2012 : 100

•

802 - Human Development and Family Well-Being

803 - Sociologi806 - Youth De	cal and Technological Change velopment	Affecting Individuals, Familie	s and Communities			
1. Outcome Target						
Significant improveme	ent in family functioning for you	th participating in juvenile div	ersion program (MAGIC).			
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Action Outcome	leasure				
2008 :100	2009 : 100	2010 : 100	2011 :100	2012 : 100		
	 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s) 802 - Human Development and Family Well-Being 					
 803 - Sociologie 	cal and Technological Change	Affecting Individuals, Familie	s and Communities			
• 806 - Youth De	velopment					
1. Outcome Target Significant improveme	ent in attitudes about substanc	e abuse for your participating	in juvenile diversion program	n (MAGIC).		
2. Outcome Type :	Change in Action Outcome	leasure				
2008 : 100	2009 : 100	2010 : 100	2011 :100	2012 : 100		
3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)						
 802 - Human Development and Family Well-Being 						
 803 - Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities 						
• 806 - Youth De	velopment					

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Public Policy changes
- Appropriations changes

Description

While not foreseen, any appropriation changes or public policy changes could impact those social service agencies and schools who are major partners in these programming efforts.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

- 1. Evaluation Studies Planned
 - During (during program)
 - Before-After (before and after program)
 - Case Study

Description

A variety of techniques may be used to measure both knowledge change and behavior change. Before and After measures are routinely used in all educational programs. Additionally, some case studies may be used with selected individuals or groups to look at behavior change in addition to knowledge change.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Unstructured
- Whole population
- On-Site
- Structured
- Case Study
- Observation

Description

No sampling used. Will use all of any group participants. A variety of data collection methods will be used to fit the situation and particular target audience.