2008 University of Arkansas Combined Research and Extension Plan of Work

I. Plan Overview

1. Brief Summary about Plan Of Work

Arkansas is a rich state in terms of natural resources. Agriculture is one of the largest industries having an economic impact of over \$13 billion or over one-fifth of the Gross State Product. Agriculture accounts for about one in five jobs and an annual payroll of between \$8 and \$9 billion. Agriculture consists of agronomic and horticultural crops, animal agriculture and forestry. Over one-half of Arkansas is in forests much of which is owned by private landowners. Food processing adds much value to the commodities grown in the state.

Arkansas is the nation's largest rice producer, one of the top producers of poultry and consistently one of the top producers of cotton and soybeans. The diversity of Arkansas Agriculture includes fruits, vegetables, beef and dairy, broilers and eggs, corn, wheat, and many other crops.

The Division of Agriculture develops fundamental and applied research and extension programs to address the production, environmental and economic sustainability of Arkansas agriculture and the farms, farm families, and allied agricultural industries that depend on agriculture production and associated jobs.

Arkansas, though a major agriculture state, has issues similar to that of the remainder of the U.S. Arkansas must address issues such as rapid growth and declining populations, health and nutrition, unemployment, one-parent families, biosecurity, and many other economic and societal challenges facing families and communities. The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture Plan of Work addresses many of these issues in both research and extension education. The Division's administration and faculty have committed time and resources from federal, state, county, city, and private sources and volunteers to address these many issues. The issues are broad and so are the planned programs. The approach is through careful planning and the involvement of partners, volunteers, constituents, and local, state and national leaders. Specific programs include efficient and sustainable agricultural production, protecting the natural resources, providing a safe and secure food supply, developing leaders, sustaining communities, workforce preparation, parenting skills, youth development and many more.

Estimated Number of Professional FTEs/SYs total in the State.

Wasa	Extension		Research	
Year	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	384.0	0.0	112.0	0.0
2009	384.0	0.0	112.0	0.0
2010	384.0	0.0	112.0	0.0
2011	384.0	0.0	112.0	0.0
2012	384.0	0.0	112.0	0.0

II. Merit Review Process

1. The Merit Review Process that will be Employed during the 5-Year POW Cycle

- Internal University Panel
- External University Panel
- External Non-University Panel
- Combined External and Internal University Panel
- Expert Peer Review

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 1 of 122

2. Brief Explanation

Programs go through a three-tiered review process:

- 1. Stakeholder program identification and review
- 2. Administrative approval and review
- 3. External review

Stakeholder Program Identification and Review

Stakeholder input into program identification and review is derived from both formal and informal means for all program areas. Public comment on current and future research programs are obtained from county and community meetings, commodity and community associations, commodity check-off boards, state legislative committees and open public forums concerning specific issues. Open public meetings, field days and county and regional production meetings provide forums for stakeholder input open to under-served or under-represented individuals, groups or organizations. For extension, county councils and advisory groups meet annually at a minimum to provide input, feedback and/or review of program implementation, redirection, or newly identified needs. Members of these groups are invited to participate in programs, field days, special tours, workshops and conferences throughout the year and for the duration of the program. All reviews of research and extension programs include a stakeholder member or members of the community or industry most influenced by the program area. Open public forums are held to address specific issues of importance to the stakeholder community or industry.

Administrative Approval and Review

Identified planed program areas of research or extension activity are administratively reviewed and approved by the Director of the Agricultural Experiment Station or Cooperative Extension Service, as appropriate, within the context of the Division of Agriculture's Strategic Plan and the specific needs identified by stakeholder groups. Smith-Lever, Hatch, McIntire-Stennis, Animal Health and regional research projects are administratively reviewed and approved by the subject matter department head and the director of the Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station. All research projects are reviewed by three outside scientists prior to submission to the respective subject matter department head and the experiment station.

External Review

Merit review is conducted as part of Division of Agriculture's on-going program review process. The reviews may be departmental or programmatic and cut across departments. Reviews are scheduled on a five to seven year cycle and conducted concurrently for research, extension and instruction. All reviews are conducted by a team of recognized outside research, extension and teaching professionals balanced to reflect the programmatic needs and diversity. All reviews include one or more stakeholders. The actual review process involves a period of self study, followed by program assessment and bench marking. The review team evaluates the programs effectiveness relative to the stated mission and goals of the department or program as well as the need of stakeholders. Following the outside review teams written evaluation, the department or program prepares a response to the review. The Division of Agriculture and University administration then meet with the department or program faculty one more time to develop a plan for implementing changes. Thereafter, annual progress is reported to Division and University administration.

III. Evaluation of Multis & Joint Activities

1. How will the planned programs address the critical issues of strategic importance, including those identified by the stakeholders?

The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture has utilized both formal and informal mechanisms for ensuring the planned program areas address areas of strategic importance to the state. Each planned program was identified based on the needs identified in a series of regional and statewide listening sessions of current and potential stakeholders representing the diversity of the population in the regions and state. Stakeholders of specific programs such as Community Health, 4-H and Youth, and commodity groups, research and extension faculty and staff also identify needed programs and in some cases provide partial funding to support. Single issue meetings are held as needed to address emerging issues to craft additional program areas if needed to promptly address the problem.

2. How will the planned programs address the needs of under-served and under-represented populations of the State(s)?

The Southern Region SARE program is conducted as a comprehensive program incorporated into many of the Extension programs within Arkansas. Some efforts include the SARE Program Resources / Grant Funding Opportunities Training for county agents statewide, training for Small Farm Managers in Vegetable Production and Marketing, and training on Farm Support Program Availability and Access for county agents, small farm program specialists, farmers, and community leaders in South and Central Arkansas. Meat Goat Industry Tour – the purpose of this tour was to educate Extension agents on the management of larger goat producers with emphasis on forage production

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 2 of 122

and parasite control and management of elite replacement operations. This group represents both majority and minority audiences and addresses a niche market. The growers generally have very small or moderate sized operations. The 13-state Southern Region 4-H programs are participating in a program working with rural audiences building youth adult partnerships to enhance their local communities. A grant helps to support this program. Another multi-state program is Operation Military Kids. It targets youth in suddenly deployed military families (Guard and Reserve).

Arkansas Ag Adventures is a cooperative agricultural awareness program between the University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff (the 1890's institution) and the University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture Cooperative Extension Service. Ag Adventures provides hands on lessons in science, math, engineering, and technology to the youth of Arkansas. This program strengthens the STEM knowledge of Arkansas youth and encourages more active participation by under-represented populations in the agricultural industry.

3. How will the planned programs describe the expected outcomes and impacts?

Planned programs have identified specific outputs and short, medium and long-term outcomes for the life of the programs. Program data will be entered by all CES faculty through a web-based data management system, and aggregated to identify the outcomes and impacts. Qualitative data and case studies will likewise be entered into the CES web-based system, in order to produce a comprehensive understanding of the program outcomes.

4. How will the planned programs result in improved program effectiveness and/or efficiency?

Planned programs have identified target audiences, program methods, and output and outcome measures prior to program initiation. The use of the planned program (input) elements and the faculty POW process allows faculty to identify which audiences, methods, curriculum, etc. county faculty have identified as the focus of their work each October (at the beginning of each fiscal year). This planning information allows specialists to better focus their program support efforts by understanding the scope of work for each planned program, allowing increased and timely responsiveness to specific county needs. Through the use of output and outcome indicators, uniform data collection methods, and the live web-based data base, process improvement is possible throughout the fiscal year due to the compilation of program specific data. Identification of best practices, innovative approaches, and emerging issues over the life of the program can both inform research and provide guidance for other educational resource investments.

IV. Stakeholder Input

1. Actions taken to seek stakeholder input that encourages their participation

- Survey of traditional stakeholder groups
- Use of media to announce public meetings and listening sessions
- Targeted invitation to traditional stakeholder groups
- Survey specifically with non-traditional individuals
- Survey of traditional stakeholder individuals
- Other (County Council planning meetings.)
- Survey specifically with non-traditional groups
- Survey of selected individuals from the general public
- Targeted invitation to non-traditional stakeholder groups
- Survey of the general public
- Targeted invitation to selected individuals from general public

Brief explanation.

Stakeholder input into program identification and review is derived from both formal and informal means for all program areas. Public comment on current and future research programs is obtained from county and community meetings, commodity and community associations, commodity check-off boards, state legislative committees and open public forums concerning specific issues. Open public meetings, field days and county and regional production meetings provide forums for stakeholder input open to under-served or under-represented individuals, groups or organizations. For extension, county councils and advisory groups meet annually at a minimum to provide input, feedback and/or review of program implementation, redirection, or newly identified needs. Members of these groups are invited to participate in programs, field days, special tours, workshops and conferences throughout the year and for the duration of the program. All reviews of research and extension programs include a stakeholder member or members of the community or industry most influenced by the program area. Open public forums are held to address specific issues of importance to the stakeholder community or industry.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 3 of 122

2(A). A brief statement of the process that will be used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups stakeholders and to collect input from them

1. Method to identify individuals and groups

- Needs Assessments
- Open Listening Sessions
- Use Internal Focus Groups
- Use External Focus Groups
- Use Surveys
- Use Advisory Committees

Brief explanation.

Participants in the University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture stakeholder sessions were identified by Arkansas Experiment Station faculty and administrators and by asking county Extension staffs to identify individuals in their local communities who were representative of one or more of the following fifteen stakeholder categories: county services (e.g., DHS, Food Bank or Pantry); financial sector (e.g., banks, agricultural lending, investments); faith-based sector (e.g., church, youth minister); education (public, private, vocational); commercial sector (e.g., chambers of commerce, industry); health (e.g., hospital, public health, doctor); agricultural production; agricultural businesses; county Extension council; 4-H program (e.g., leader, teen, alumni, foundation); government official (e.g., county, city); Extension homemaker; natural resources (e.g., wildlife, forestry, conservation); media (e.g., radio, newspaper, television); and youth services (e.g., community center, youth organizations). In addition to these criteria, Extension staffs were also asked to identify individuals within the fifteen categories who were representative of the racial make-up of the counties, to include individuals of both genders, and to identify potential participants by their level of involvement in Division of Agriculture Extension programs in the county (i.e., low, moderate, high).

2(B). A brief statement of the process that will be used by the recipient institution to identify individuals and groups who are stakeholders and to collect input from them

1. Methods for collecting Stakeholder Input

- Survey of traditional Stakeholder groups
- Meeting specifically with non-traditional individuals
- Survey of the general public
- Survey of selected individuals from the general public
- Meeting with traditional Stakeholder groups
- Meeting specifically with non-traditional groups
- Meeting with invited selected individuals from the general public
- Survey of traditional Stakeholder individuals
- Meeting with the general public (open meeting advertised to all)
- Other (Meeting with regulatory groups, state agencies, & commodity prom)
- Meeting with traditional Stakeholder individuals

Brief explanation

The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture's 2005-2010 Strategic Plan was developed with the input of over 600 stakeholders from across the State of Arkansas. These individuals included external stakeholders who participated in sixteen strategic planning listening held across the state. Division of Agriculture faculty and staff were also included in the strategic planning process through a web-based survey and participation on strategic plan writing teams.

Arkansas Extension has operational, county-specific advisory councils for each of our 75 counties. Each County Council is comprised of local elected officials and stakeholders representing agriculture, youth, family, and consumer science interests. Each county council annually evaluates the results of Extension programs through formal program reviews, and provides input into program planning for the next fiscal year. Formal presentations of program results are made by Extension faculty to guide this process. This focused evaluation and planning process is conducted from June-August of each year.

3. A statement of how the input will be considered

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 4 of 122

- Redirect Extension Programs
- Other (Strategic Planning)
- To Set Priorities
- To Identify Emerging Issues

Brief explanation.

Division of Agriculture state specialists and research faculty members serve on advisory committees and work regularly with diverse stakeholder groups, including Farm Bureau, commodity promotion boards, state agency and regulatory groups, and program specific advisory groups to assist in the evaluation of current efforts and to provide feedback related to Arkansas' changing needs. Meetings are likewise conducted with internal stakeholders including county faculty, district administrators, and experiment station scientists to identify stakeholders and facilitate linkages between local needs and research priorities.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 5 of 122

V. Planned Program Table of Content

S. NO.	PROGRAM NAME
1	Agricultural & Food Biosecurity
2	Agricultural Systems
3	Animals & Animal Products
4	Economics & Commerce
5	Families, Youth, & Communities
6	Food, Nutrition & Health
7	Natural Resources & Environment
8	Pest Management
9	Plants & Plant Products
10	Technology & Engineering

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 6 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Agricultural & Food Biosecurity

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture provides unbiased research-based information and technical assistance on topics related to biosecurity and bioterrorism. Information is disseminated focusing on the needs of consumers, the general public and livestock and row crop producers. In response to potential attacks on the safety of the nation's food supply, the UA Division of Agriculture extension and research faculty work collaboratively with industry leaders involved in animal agriculture, to assume a leading role in raising biosecurity awareness. Grain, processed ingredients, animal feed, pet food and their delivery vehicles all serve as potential vectors for intentional contamination, resulting in injury to humans and animals.

Animal biosecurity programming efforts are focused on reducing the disease threat in poultry and livestock operations. Producer/Grower education is provided by faculty to improve biosecurity through proper methods of sanitation, disease prevention, recognition and control in animal production facilities. Disease detection education and consultation is also provided to livestock inspectors, state disease regulatory personnel, state/federal veterinarians, veterinarians in private practice, and poultry company personnel. Monitoring of flock and herd health status is facilitated through diagnostic medicine, surveys and testing through the diagnostic lab.

The Arkansas Soybean Rust Program was initiated in November 2004, in response to the discovery of Asian soybean rust in the state. The program involves soybean agronomists, plant pathologists, county agents, regulatory and industry personnel.

The Cooperative Extension Service administers the Plant Health Clinic (near Lonoke, AR) and the Plant Nematology Diagnostic Clinic (near Hope, AR). The PHC near Lonoke is a triage lab for the state and a member of the Southern Pest Detection Network. The Clinic not only provides routine diagnoses used in crop and plant protection, but serves as an early detection facility for new, exotic or emerging problems. Records are shared with the National Pest Detection Network. Observations are used to support research and education efforts, to guide new research focus areas as need, and to support regulatory entities such as APHIS, FGIS, and the Plant Board.

Technical and educational resources are provided for communities, groups and/or individuals who have become victims or need resources to minimize the impact of terrorism or natural disasters. Multi-disciplinary training and technical assistance is available through extension faculty related to source water contamination, grain handling and storage security, agriculture aviation security, farm security planning, disease prevention, food safety, emergency preparedness and disaster response practices.

3. Program existence : Intermediate (One to five years)4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 211 10% Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 15% Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 213 10% Weeds Affecting Plants
- 214 5% Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants
- 311 20% Animal Diseases
- 711 5% Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 20% Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins
- 722 15% Zoonotic Diseases and Parasites Affecting Humans

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Biosecurity research and educational outreach is critical to the sustainability of Arkansas agriculture, the public health, and our state economy. Biosecurity research and educational programming requires an integrated approach, working with state and federal agencies, regulatory officials and policy makers in a partnership to analyze and manage risks in the sectors of food safety, animal life and health, plant life and public health. Through research and educational outreach, Division of Agriculture faculty work with the general public, state/federal agency personnel, consumers, growers/producers and allied industry

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 7 of 122

personnel to promote biosecurity risk management planning and prevention practices designed to reduce/prevent the spread and movement of infectious diseases.

Accurate and timely diagnosis is fundamental to animal and plant protection and crop biosecurity. Infectious diseases introduced onto a farm operation can have a devastating effect on cash flow and equity. Diseases cost the Arkansas poultry industry an estimated 10% of the total bird value each year. In Arkansas this means that diseases may cost the industry as much as \$230 million a year. Severe disease outbreaks such as the 1983-1984 avian influenza outbreaks in Pennsylvania resulted in the eradication of over 17 million birds, at a cost of over \$65 million dollars to that state.

Asian soybean rust (ASBR) entered the United States, including Arkansas, in late 2004 and has successfully wintered in Florida since. Dry years in 2005 and 2006 prevented damage to state crops, but monitoring programs successfully detected entrance of soybean rust into the state during September, 2006 from Louisiana. In a two week period, spores of the fungus reached the upper midwest illustrating the speed of this airborne plant pathogen. With the return of normal spring and summer weather, and the early planted soybean production system in the South now, this disease remains a major threat. Other exotic diseases, including bakanae in rice and sudden oak death of ornamentals continue to be a concern for the state as do numerous exotic insects (soybean aphid); weeds (tropical soda apple); mollusks (golden apple snail); nematodes (Ditylenchus) and other pests. With the global economy of plant agriculture, ease and speed of intercontinental travel, changing climate, and the threat of global agro-terrorism - vigilance to protect domestic agricultural production and U.S. consumers is a high priority.

2. Scope of the Program

- Multistate Extension
- In-State Research
- In-State Extension
- Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Biosecurity policy, protocols, and practices are critical to the health of Arkansas' citizens and the state economy. Biosecurity can be difficult to maintain because of the very complex interrelationship between pathogens, management and biosecurity. While developing and implementing biosecurity is difficult, it is the cheapest, most effective method of disease control available, and no disease prevention program will work without it. Everyone is at risk for food-borne illnesses-diseases caused by pathogens or toxins ingested with food. Contamination of our food supply, both domestic and imported is a growing concern. Increased collaboration with regulatory officials, state health officials, policy-makers, growers/producers, and the general public is a key strategy for maximizing key resources for an effective biosecurity strategy and plan. Research, education and outreach must be integrated for effective public policy development, implementation planning, and impact assessment.

The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture manages an animal-testing laboratory. This laboratory tests for diseases that affects the poulty and other livestock industries. This recently aquired facility will play an important role in terms of monitoring animal diseases.

Biosecurity risk assessment, animal and plant diagnostics, and improved surveillance are key technologies in biosecurity.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

To improve animal biosecurity and reduce the risk of a disease threat in poultry and livestock operations

To improve the security of plant health through early identification and management of invasive plant pathogens and nematodes

To improve consumer/general public biosecurity through education for the prevention of foodborne illness from infectious diseases and harmful chemicals as a results of natural disaster or terrorism.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 8 of 122

Year	Exte	nsion	Re	search
rear	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Individual consultations
Workshops/Conferences/Trainings

Farm visits

Field Days

Surveillance and Monitoring

Interviews

Education materials

Mass Media (print, radio, TV)

Newsletters & Direct Mailing

Collaboration with state/federal agencies and regulatory officials

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension		
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods	
 Demonstrations One-on-One Intervention Education Class Workshop Group Discussion Other 1 (Surveillance and Monitoring) 	 Public Service Announcement Newsletters Other 1 (Mass Media) TV Media Programs Web sites 	

3. Description of targeted audience

Row crop producers

Crop consultants

Dealer personnel

Pesticide applicators

Poultry Company Personnel

Livestock and Poultry Producers

Local/State/Federal Personal

First Responders

Food Handling and stroage

Agribusiness

Division of Agriculture personnel

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 9 of 122

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	4500	9500	0	0
2009	4500	10000	0	0
2010	5000	12000	0	0
2011	5500	15000	0	0
2012	5500	15000	0	0

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008:0 **2009**:0 **2010**:0 **2011**:0 **2012**:0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	0
2009	0	0
2010	0	0
2011	0	0
2012	0	0

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

of clientele trained on Agricultural and Food Biosecurity

2008:800 **2009**:800 **2010**:800 **2011**:800 **2011**:800

• # of educational materials developed on Agricultural and Food Biosecurity

2008:10 **2009**:10 **2010**:5 **2011**:5 **2012**:5

• # of newsletters & fact sheets disseminated to clientele regarding Agricultural and Food Biosecurity

2008:3600 **2009**:3600 **2010**:3600 **2011**:3600 **2011**:3600 **2012**:3600

• # of clientele interviewed/surveyed on Agricultural and Food Biosecurity

2008:100 **2009**:100 **2010**:100 **2011**:100 **2012**:150

of requested consultations related to exotic animal disease concerns

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 10 of 122

2008:140 **2009** :150 **2010**:150 **2011**:150 2012:150

of Agricultural & Food Biosecurity clientele contacts from education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational methods

2008:9500 2009:10000 2010:12000 2011:15000 2012:15000

of Agricultural & Food Biosecurity education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations,

and other educational events

of hits to CES website regarding avian biosecurity

2009:10

2008:500 2009 :500 2010:500 2011:500 2012:500

2010:12

2011:12

2012:12

· # Of hits to CES website regarding livestock biosecurity

2008:250 **2009** :250 **2010**:250 2011:250 2012:250

of plant sites surveyed monitored

2008:30 2009 :30 2010:35 2011:35 2012:35

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

2008:10

Of growers/producers reporting knowledge gained or increased awareness of need for biosecurity

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure 2. Outcome Type:

2008:350 **2009**: 350 **2010**: 350 2011:350 2012:350

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants
- 214 Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants
- 311 Animal Diseases
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins
- 722 Zoonotic Diseases and Parasites Affecting Humans

1. Outcome Target

of growers/producers reporting intent to adopt new biosecurity practices for animal production facilities

Change in Action Outcome Measure 2. Outcome Type:

2008:350 **2009**: 350 **2010**: 350 2011:350 2012:350

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 311 Animal Diseases
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

Page 11 of 122 06/01/2007 Report Date

722 - Zoonotic Diseases and Parasites Affecting Humans

1. Outcome Target

of growers/producers adopting new practices outlined in educational programs to improve biosecurity through proper methods of sanitation, disease prevention, recognition, and control

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 300 **2009** : 300 **2010** : 300 **2011** : 300 **2012** : 300

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants
- 214 Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants
- 311 Animal Diseases
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins
- 722 Zoonotic Diseases and Parasites Affecting Humans

1. Outcome Target

of diagnostic invasive plant disease samples

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:2000 **2009**: 2000 **2010**: 2000 **2011**:2000 **2012**: 2000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants
- 214 Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

of diagnostic invasive nematode samples

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:3500 **2009**: 3500 **2010**: 3500 **2011**:3500 **2012**: 3500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

of avian grower referrals to diagnostic labs for exotic animal disease testing

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 12 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:250 **2009**: 300 **2010**: 300 **2011**:300 **2012**: 300

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 311 Animal Diseases
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins
- 722 Zoonotic Diseases and Parasites Affecting Humans

1. Outcome Target

of Section 18 fungicides approved

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:1 **2009**:1 **2010**:1 **2011**:1 **2012**:1

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

of Asian Soybean Rust positive samples

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:20 **2009**: 20 **2010**: 20 **2011**:20 **2012**: 20

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 214 Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

of SOD positive samples

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:5 **2009**:5 **2010**:5 **2011**:5 **2012**:5

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 214 Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 13 of 122

1. Outcome Target

of Bakanae positive samples

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:0 **2009**:0 **2010**:0 **2011**:0 **2012**:0

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 214 Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

of pathogens/nematodes (other) positive samples

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:2000 **2009**: 2000 **2010**: 2000 **2011**:2000 **2012**: 2000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 214 Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

of reported Avian LT disease outbreaks

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:200 **2009**: 200 **2010**: 200 **2011**:200 **2011**:200

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 311 Animal Diseases
- 722 Zoonotic Diseases and Parasites Affecting Humans

1. Outcome Target

of reported Avian MG disease outbreaks

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:5 **2009**:5 **2010**:5 **2011**:5 **2012**:5

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 311 Animal Diseases
- 722 Zoonotic Diseases and Parasites Affecting Humans

1. Outcome Target

of reported Avian MS disease outbreaks

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:10 **2009**: 10 **2010**: 10 **2011**:10 **2012**: 10

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 14 of 122

- 311 Animal Diseases
- 722 Zoonotic Diseases and Parasites Affecting Humans

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Economy
- Appropriations changes
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programatic Challenges
- Government Regulations
- Other (See explanation)
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Public Policy changes
- Populations changes (immigration,new cultural groupings,etc.)

Description

The contribution of the agricultural sector as a percentage of the Gross State Product in Arkansas is greater than in any contiguous state as well as the averages for the Southeast region and the United States. Arkansas agriculture provides 286,940 jobs, which is nearly one in every five and \$8.94 billion in labor income, or 19% of the state's total labor income. Therefore any changes in any of the external factors could cause devastating affects throughout Arkansas' economy. These changes could include but not limited to natural disasters, overall economy, changes in public policy and regulations, diseases affecting plants or animals and bioterrorism/agroterrorism. Other external factors include bioterrorism, agroterrorism, animal disease and human epidemic.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Retrospective (post program)
- Before-After (before and after program)
- After Only (post program)
- Other (Use of Secondary Data)
- Case Study
- During (during program)

Description

Evaluation data will be collected from a sample of program participants to determine program impact or management practices implemented or changed to strengthen agriculture and food biosecurity.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Mail
- Unstructured
- On-Site
- Telephone
- Tests
- Other (Self reported data)
- Sampling
- Structured
- Observation
- Case Study

Description

Data will be collected using various methods appropriate for the target audience. This data will be used to evaluate the current studies and planned studies.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 15 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Agricultural Systems

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Extension education in Agricultural Systems occurs in other program areas within UA Extension. For example, in the areas of livestock and horticultural production, program components deal with production, economics, and environmental issues. Yet these same educational needs occur in smaller scale production units that may be described as alternative, niche, organic, locally-grown, or sustainable agriculture. This program documents and supports educational efforts focusing on smaller scale production.

The Alternative Agricultural System program educates producers about non-traditional practices with the potential for providing supplemental income to their farming operation. Sometimes alternative enterprises become the primary family income once an understanding of business management and profitability is achieved. This program promotes sustainable management practices for alternative enterprises. It requires input and collaboration from experts in diverse fields such as animal and plant sciences, forestry, natural resources, agriculture policy and law, and agricultural economics and marketing. Examples of alternative enterprises are organic vegetable and fruit production, pen-raised game birds, pine straw, shiitake mushrooms, herb production, small livestock (e.g., goats, rabbits, backyard poultry), and wildlife-recreation/fee fishing enterprises. Landowners are provided information about alternative agricultural practices and are encouraged to design a business plan as a decision-making tool for determining whether to invest in its establishment.

Research indicates only 1% to 5% of those who attend workshops about establishing alternative enterprises actually establish one. The decision not to pursue an alternative agricultural enterprise is as meaningful as deciding to establish one. Potential losses of time and financial resources are averted. Educated participants are expected to make informed decisions about whether to start an alternative agricultural enterprise. Therefore long-term outcomes indicating only the number who successfully establish an enterprise do not accurately reflect program success.

3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds: Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds: Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 102 10% Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 112 5% Watershed Protection and Management
- 131 5% Alternative Uses of Land
- 133 5% Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
- 134 10% Outdoor Recreation
- 204 5% Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 5% Plant Management Systems
- 216 10% Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 307 5% Animal Management Systems
- 401 5% Structures, Facilities, and General Purpose Farm Supplies
- 403 5% Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 601 5% Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 602 5% Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 604 10% Marketing and Distribution Practices
- 605 5% Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 711 5% Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 16 of 122

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Many agricultural producers and private landowners seek ways to generate income from their land. Producers are diversifying their operations and marketing strategies to increase profitability and sustain farms. There is a trend of increasing sales directed to the public and substantial emergence of the organic and natural markets. Almost fifteen hundred Arkansas farms provided direct sales to the public with total sales of \$5.7 million according to the 2002 USDA Census of Agriculture. Growth in the organic market ranges from 15% to 20% annually since 1997. Total food sales for organic products have grown from 0.81% to 2.48% from 1997 to 2005. Other alternative agricultural segments are also experiencing growth. For example, goats have been the fastest growing livestock enterprise over the last 5 years. In Arkansas, The Natural State, outdoor recreation and agritourism are important industries in rural communities. It is not unreasonable for a landowner to lease a duck blind for \$2000 during the waterfowl season. The Arkansas recreational fishing industry has an economic impact of over \$440 million per year.

Improved economic conditions of some rural communities in the south have been attributed to income generated from alternative agricultural enterprises. However, these enterprises are not for everyone. Educational efforts help landowners decide whether to invest in alternative agricultural enterprises through designing enterprise management plans and marketing strategies. Experts in the University of Arkansas System are available to provide basic information about content areas to help the landowner get started in his or her interest in alternative agricultural enterprises.

2. Scope of the Program

- Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Research
- In-State Extension
- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Extension
- In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Current trends indicate declining numbers of full-time farms but an increase in part-time and hobby farms. These part-time and alternative agricultural producers continually seek new and innovative ways to generate farm income. Identifying niche markets and capitalizing on specialized agricultural opportunities is a matter of economic sustainability and cultural survival for many agricultural producers.

Extension is strategically placed for agricultural education through access to experts and researchers in diverse fields throughout the University of Arkansas System, including research facilities specifically aimed at small and alternative production systems. Education programs addressing community perceptions and concerns, in concert with the transfer of agricultural system information and technology, are critical in order to support landowner planning and implementation of alternative production systems that enhance the economic viability of the community.

The small and alternative production audience is more likely to adopt and benefit from this information. Those who are just getting started are actively seeking information and oftentimes represent a new audience of Extension clientele.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

The ultimate goal of this program is to keep alternative farmers in business and enhance economic opportunities for landowners and tenants using sustainable land management practices to improve rural economies in Arkansas. This is accomplished by educating producers, which enable them to make informed decisions about whether to establish an alternative agricultural enterprise.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 17 of 122

Year	Exte	nsion	Re	search
rear	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

A broad range of direct and indirect methods will be used to provide information to both groups and individuals:

Educational meetings

Tours

Field days

Workshops

One-on-one consultations including farm visits and telephone responses.

Articles and media interviews in publications targeting agricultural producers and private landowners

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension		
Direct Methods Indirect Methods		
Workshop	Newsletters	
 One-on-One Intervention 	 Other 1 (Publications/Fact Sheets) 	
 Education Class 	Web sites	
Group Discussion		
Demonstrations		

3. Description of targeted audience

Agricultural producers
Consultants/certifiers
Non-farm private landowners
Governmental Agency Personnel
Sales & service providers
General public

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 18 of 122

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	3700	5000	0	0
2009	3700	5000	0	0
2010	3700	5000	0	0
2011	3700	5000	0	0
2012	3700	5000	0	0

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008 :0

2009:0

2010:0

2011:0

2012:0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	0
2009	0	0
2010	0	0
2011	0	0
2012	0	0

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

 # of Agricultural Systems clientele contacts from education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational methods

2008:500

2009:500

2010:500

2011:500

2012:500

 # Of Agricultural Systems education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational events

2008:25

2009 :25

2010:25

2011:25

2012 ;25

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

of clientele who reported knowledge gained

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008 :250

2009: 250

2010: 250

2011:250

2012 : 250

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 131 Alternative Uses of Land

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 19 of 122

- 133 Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
- 134 Outdoor Recreation
- 141 Air Resource Protection and Management
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 307 Animal Management Systems
- 401 Structures, Facilities, and General Purpose Farm Supplies
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 604 Marketing and Distribution Practices
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.

1. Outcome Target

Value of agricultural products sold (\$1000): Other animals and other animal products

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:6196 **2009**:6196 **2010**:6196 **2011**:6196 **2012**:6196

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 604 Marketing and Distribution Practices

1. Outcome Target

Acres of crops planted: "Field & Miscellaneous Crops."

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 7496000 **2009**: 7496000 **2010**: 7496000 **2011**: 7496000 **2012**: 7496000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 604 Marketing and Distribution Practices

1. Outcome Target

of clientele who make an informed decision about initiating an alternative enterprise

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:25 **2009**: 25 **2010**: 25 **2011**:25 **2012**: 25

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 131 Alternative Uses of Land
- 133 Pollution Prevention and Mitigation

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 20 of 122

- 134 Outdoor Recreation
- 141 Air Resource Protection and Management
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 307 Animal Management Systems
- 401 Structures, Facilities, and General Purpose Farm Supplies
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 604 Marketing and Distribution Practices
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 711 Ensure Food Products Free of Harmful Chemicals, Including Residues from Agricultural and Other Sources.

1. Outcome Target

of farmer markets

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:1 **2009**:1 **2010**:1 **2011**:1 **2012**:1

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

604 - Marketing and Distribution Practices

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Appropriations changes
- Populations changes (immigration,new cultural groupings,etc.)
- Government Regulations
- Public Policy changes
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programatic Challenges

Description

External factors such as natural disasters, economy, appropriations changes, public policy changes, government regulations, competing public priorities, competing programmatic challenges, and population changes affect market prices which in turn affect investments in and profits from alternative agricultural enterprises.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Retrospective (post program)
- Case Study
- After Only (post program)

Description

Evaluation studies are conducted with a broad audience in which information is transferred to a group during a workshop or field tour. Another audience is a one-on-one contact conducted as a response to a request on an "as needed" basis.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 21 of 122

2. Data Collection Methods

- Whole population
- On-Site
- Mail
- Telephone
- Observation
- Sampling
- Unstructured
- Case Study

Description

Effective and appropriate methods will be used. Some audiences are small enough that whole populations will be evaluated. Others will be evaluated using on-site post-event surveys. A few case studies will be conducted using unstructured interviews. Observation will be used in conjunction with the other methods.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 22 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Animals & Animal Products

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture provides unbiased research-based information and technical assistance on topics related to animals and animal products. Information is disseminated focusing on the needs of consumers, the general public and livestock and row crop producers. The UA Division of Agriculture faculty work together to understand related the issues of livestock production, products and processing, aquaculture, and wildlife management. These activities also expand our knowledge of the impact of the human/animal interaction on environmental and economic sustainability and the well-being of animals and humans alike. The goal of the research program is to provide pertinent basic and practical information on animal and poultry physiology, genetics, nutrition and animal health that will help Arkansas livestock producers and food companies remain competitive in the global market place.

The Livestock and forage production and management programs provide research-based information through non-formal educational methods for the sustainability of agricultural production systems to improve Arkansans quality of life and to teach lifelong skills to youth.

While highly efficient, organized, and sophisticated, the U. S. poultry industry is facing unprecedented challenges. As the poultry industry meets the challenge of remaining viable in a highly competitive global market as well as facing extraordinary domestic challenges, the poultry industry will rely more on educational opportunities provided by the UA Division of Agriculture Extension Service to develop better production strategies through the following programs:

- 1. Poultry Breeder Management Training to ensure that maximum performance is obtained from these valuable flocks;
- 2. Poultry Hatchery Management Training to ensure that hatcheries operate efficiently;
- 3. Poultry Producer Education Programs to encourage producers to adopt effective management practices;
- 4. Poultry Short Courses to ensure that allied industry officials understand the industry; and
- 5. Demonstrations that show the impact of water quality on poultry production to encourage proper water management.
- 3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)
- **4. Program duration :** Long-Term (More than five years)
- 5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes
- 6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

- 1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage
 - 135 6% Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
 - 203 6% Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
 - 204 6% Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
 - 205 6% Plant Management Systems
 - 206 6% Basic Plant Biology
 - 301 6% Reproductive Performance of Animals
 - 302 6% Nutrient Utilization in Animals
 - 303 6% Genetic Improvement of Animals
 - 304 6% Animal Genome
 - 305 6% Animal Physiological Processes
 - 306 6% Environmental Stress in Animals
 - 307 6% Animal Management Systems
 - 308 6% Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)
 - 311 6% Animal Diseases
 - 315 6% Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection
 - 601 10% Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 23 of 122

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Livestock production is a very important industry in Arkansas. Approximately 30,000 farms in Arkansas produce beef cattle. Over six million acres of pasture and hayland are in production to support the livestock and forage inductries. Arkansas is the home of 1.9 million head of cows and calves, with the number of beef cows and heifers reaching over 1.0 million head in 2005. The average cow herd size is 37 head with 80% of the farms having less than 50 head. The gross income from Arkansas' beef cattle industry reached \$555 million with a total economic impact over \$1 billion annually. The total annual economic impact of the dairy industry with heifers and dairy products is \$450 million. Approximately 220 dairies with 24,000 dairy cows are located in Arkansas. With an average milk production per cow of 13,250 pounds in commercial herds, the Arkansas dairy industry produces over 300 million pounds of milk per year. Milk income is \$50 million per year. Fluctuation in milk prices, quality milk production and efficiency of milk production continue to be major concerns of the Arkansas dairy industry.

The horse industry is growing in Arkansas. Approximately 63,000 households own 160,000 to 170,000 horses. Although recreation is the number one reason for horse ownership, the horse industry is a \$4 billion industry.

Livestock producers will benefit from livestock and forage management production programs to improve production efficiency and returns. In addition, society will benefit as a result of better-trained youth becoming better adult citizens.

Arkansas is a leading state in overall animal and poultry production and has several key companies in meeting the U.S. and international demand for high quality meat products. The poultry industry is a major source of jobs, income and cash flow with the state of Arkansas. Ensuring that the research and educational needs of the poultry industry are met is a priority.

Given current economic conditions, many agricultural producers and private landowners seek ways to generate income from their land. Arkansas has a \$167 million aquaculture industry with an economic impact of over \$1.2 billion in the poverty-stricken Delta region of Arkansas. Improved economic conditions of some rural communities in the south have been attributed to income generated from alternative agricultural enterprises. Experts in the University of Arkansas System are available to provide basic information about content areas to help the landowner get started in his or her interest in aquaculture agricultural enterprises.

2. Scope of the Program

- Multistate Extension
- In-State Extension
- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Research
- In-State Research
- Integrated Research and Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Given current trends in declining numbers of farms, part-time and hobby farmers, specialized farming, and the globalization of agriculture, producers continually seek new and innovative ways to generate farm income. Identifying niche markets and capitalizing on specialized agricultural opportunities is a matter of economic survival for many agricultural producers.

Extension is strategically placed as agricultural educators with access to experts and researchers in diverse fields throughout the University of Arkansas System.

It is economically vital to Arkansas to maintain a strong livestock industry.

It is also critical to protect water and air quality.

Regulations and court action will impose restrictions on manure management options.

Neighbor/Community perceptions must be considered in conjunction with planned agricultural practices.

The classic "personal property rights vs. public good" situation will require a blend of science, economics, legal, community relations, and compromises to address.

While there are similarities in the various livestock and poultry operations each farm is unique and will have unique solutions.

The root cause of the manure nutrient problem is typically more nutrients enter the confined animal farms as feed than leave as animal products.

To ultimately solve this problem economically viable alternative higher value uses of animal manure must be found.

For both water quality and air quality issues proper management of both existing and future systems will be critical.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 24 of 122

There must be research, new options, economic incentives, and legal flexibility to enable operational changes to address environmental concerns.

Education regarding community perceptions and concerns, in concert with the transfer of agricultural system information and technology, is critical in order to support landowner planning and implementation of production system options.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Through integrated UA Division of Agriculture research and education efforts:

Ensure the viability and efficiency of the livestock and forage industry so that it competes effectively in domestic and global markets Ensure the viability and efficiency of the poultry industry so that it competes effectively in domestic and global markets Support the aquaculture industry as an alternative enterprise

Improve economic (efficiency and profitability) position of livestock, poultry and aquaculture producers

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

V	Exte	nsion	Re	search
Year	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	26.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
2009	26.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
2010	26.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
2011	26.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
2012	26.0	0.0	25.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Conduct educational meetings, workshops, farm visits to educate agricultural producers.

Conduct tours, field days and demonstrations

Conduct one-on-one consultations

Publish educational materials

Conduct mass media efforts (radio, TV, etc.)

Conduct train-the-trainer education

Partner with industry (when appropriate)

Design and conduct practical and applied research to improve the efficiency of growth, reproduction, health and management of livestock,

forages, aquaculture, and poultry

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension		
Direct Methods Indirect Methods		
Workshop	TV Media Programs	
 Group Discussion 	Other 1 (Mass Media)	
 One-on-One Intervention 	Newsletters	
 Demonstrations 	Public Service Announcement	
 Education Class 		

3. Description of targeted audience

Agricultural producers

Non-farm private landowners

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 25 of 122

Aquaculture producers

Small pond owners

Agricultural businesses/Allied industry personnel

Consultants

Breeder managers

Hatchery Managers

Commercial poultry producers

Commercial poultry companies

Other researchers

Students

Extension specialists

Teaching faculty

Research funding personnel and agencies

Policy and decision makers

Public

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	44700	89500	0	0
2009	46800	93700	0	0
2010	49050	98110	0	0
2011	51320	102740	0	0
2012	52000	103000	0	0

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008:0

2009:0

2010:0

2011:0

2012:0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	0
2009	0	0
2010	0	0
2011	0	0
2012	0	0

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

Number of educational programs, workshops, educational meeting and/or field days

2008:4730

2009 :4748

2010:4770

2011:4790

2012 :4790

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 26 of 122

Number of clientele attending educational programs (field days, workshops, etc.)

2008:44700 **2009**:46800 **2010**:49050 **2011**:51320 **2012**:52000

Number of producers receiving educational material (newsletters, fact sheets, etc)

2008:5500 **2009**:5500 **2010**:6000 **2011**:6000 **2012**:6000

• Number of producers conducting on farm demonstrations

2008:41 **2009**:47 **2010**:53 **2011**:57 **2012**:60

Number of farm visits or one-on-one consultations with producers

2008: 4175 **2009**: 4185 **2010**: 4200 **2011**: 4215 **2012**: 4300

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Arkansas cash receipts from farm marketing (\$1,000) related to livestock, dairy and poultry Enterprises

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 4712000 **2009**: 4712000 **2010**: 4712000 **2011**: 4712000 **2012**: 4712000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 301 Reproductive Performance of Animals
- 302 Nutrient Utilization in Animals
- 303 Genetic Improvement of Animals
- 305 Animal Physiological Processes
- 306 Environmental Stress in Animals
- 307 Animal Management Systems
- 308 Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)
- 311 Animal Diseases

1. Outcome Target

Arkansas cash receipts from farm marketing (\$1,000) related to aquaculture enterprises

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 107000 **2009**: 107000 **2010**: 108000 **2011**: 108000 **2012**: 108000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 135 Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
- 307 Animal Management Systems
- 311 Animal Diseases

1. Outcome Target

Business Start Ups

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 27 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:2 **2009**:2 **2010**:3 **2011**:3 **2012**:3

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 306 Environmental Stress in Animals
- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

1. Outcome Target

Number of livestock producers who increased knowledge or gained awareness related to livestock production management practices

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:550 **2009**:550 **2010**:600 **2011**:600 **2012**:600

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 301 Reproductive Performance of Animals
- 302 Nutrient Utilization in Animals
- 303 Genetic Improvement of Animals
- 307 Animal Management Systems
- 311 Animal Diseases
- 315 Animal Welfare/Well-Being and Protection

1. Outcome Target

Number of industry personnel who gained knowledge or increased awareness related to livestock & poultry production information/practices

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:55 **2009**:60 **2010**:65 **2011**:70 **2012**:70

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 307 Animal Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Number of clientele who reported knowledge gained related to aquaculture

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:55 **2010**:60 **2011**:60 **2012**:60

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

135 - Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife

1. Outcome Target

Number of livestock producers who adopted a new practice

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 28 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:125 **2009**: 125 **2010**: 150 **2011**:175 **2012**: 175

- 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)
 - 205 Plant Management Systems
 - 301 Reproductive Performance of Animals
 - 302 Nutrient Utilization in Animals
 - 303 Genetic Improvement of Animals
 - 307 Animal Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Number of livestock producers who initiated or improved their record keeping

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:100 **2009**: 125 **2010**: 125 **2011**:150 **2012**: 150

- 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)
 - 205 Plant Management Systems
 - 307 Animal Management Systems
 - 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

1. Outcome Target

Number of practices or technology adoptions by poultry producers

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:100 **2009**: 115 **2010**: 120 **2011**:125 **2012**: 125

- 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)
 - 306 Environmental Stress in Animals
 - 311 Animal Diseases

1. Outcome Target

Number of clientele who adopted new aquaculture practices

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:20 **2009**: 25 **2010**: 30 **2011**:35 **2012**: 35

- 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)
 - 135 Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
 - 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

1. Outcome Target

Number of practices or technology adoptions by allied poultry industry personnel

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:25 **2009**: 25 **2010**: 30 **2011**: 30 **2012**: 30

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 306 - Environmental Stress in Animals

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 29 of 122

311 - Animal Diseases

1. Outcome Target

Number of livestock producers who changed a management practice

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:550 **2009**:550 **2010**:600 **2011**:600 **2012**:600

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 301 Reproductive Performance of Animals
- 302 Nutrient Utilization in Animals
- 303 Genetic Improvement of Animals
- 307 Animal Management Systems
- 308 Improved Animal Products (Before Harvest)

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Competing Public priorities
- Appropriations changes
- Government Regulations
- Economy
- Populations changes (immigration,new cultural groupings,etc.)
- Competing Programatic Challenges
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Public Policy changes

Description

The contribution of the agricultural sector as a percentage of the Goss State Product in Arkansas is greater than in any contiguous state as well as the averages for the Southeast region and the United States. Arkansas agriculture provides 286,940 jobs, which is nearly one in every five and \$8.94 billion in labor income, or 19% of the state's total labor income. Therefore any changes in any of the external factors could cause devastating affects throughout Arkansas' economy. These changes could include but not limited to natural disasters, overall economy, changes in public policy and regulations, and diseases affecting plants or animals.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Before-After (before and after program)
- During (during program)
- Other (Secondary Data)
- After Only (post program)
- Case Study
- Retrospective (post program)

Description

Evaluation data will be collected from a sample of program participants to determine program impact or management practices implemented or changed to strengthen animal agriculture.

2. Data Collection Methods

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 30 of 122

- On-Site
- Structured
- Sampling
- Other (State Reported Data)
- Observation
- Telephone
- Unstructured
- Case Study
- Tests
- Mail

Description

Data will be collected using various methods appropriate for the target audience. This data will be used to evaluate the current studies and planned studies

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 31 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Economics & Commerce

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

The U.S. agricultural production system has evolved into an industry that is very capital intensive, increasingly dependent upon export markets and greatly influenced by government policy. The competitive situation of the agricultural production system is also affected by the performance of the distribution, processing and retailing sectors of the overall industry. Comparative advantage in global markets is determined not only by relative efficiencies in agricultural production but also by the performance of the entire food and fiber system. University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture research projects in the areas of agricultural economics and agribusiness have and will continue to focus on extending the knowledge base in understanding these important linkages. Projects will focus on:

Economic evaluation of new technologies and products,

Analysis of government policies including trade, environmental, farm and macroeconomic policies, Assessment of financial markets and their implications for credit availability for agriculture, and the Study and evaluation of changes in the structure of Arkansas agriculture.

The Extension Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness program will educate farmers, marketers, consumers, policy makers and other professional economists through research. The research will improve the economic well-being of Arkansas producers and consumers by seeking efficient and equitable solutions to agricultural production, finance, environmental, agribusiness marketing and management, trade and policy issues. Research will address the dynamic changes facing Arkansas food and agricultural industry including: economic development, environmental constraints, restructuring of capital and product markets.

Extension family resource management programs help Arkansans learn to effectively manage their resources to achieve financial security. County Family and Consumer Sciences agents are trained to disseminate financial management information. Resource management programs teach youth and adults financial literacy concepts, skills and practices. Arkansas families who learn financial management skills can lay the foundation for a secure financial future.

Building strong economies is essential for communities to prosper in the 21st century. Community and Economic Development conducts a variety of programs and services that help strengthen local communities by identifying opportunities to help rural communities implement new economic strategies and provide tools to facilitate discussion and resources for elected officials to analyze and explore alternatives for addressing local fiscal problems. In addition the department provides the only program in the state that focuses exclusively on helping Arkansas businesses sell products and services to public agencies, and helps public agencies meet their diversity goals. The program assists small businesses in being government contractors by selling directly to government agencies.

3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)

4. Program duration: Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 601 10% Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 602 10% Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 603 10% Market Economics
- 604 10% Marketing and Distribution Practices
- 605 10% Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 606 10% International Trade and Development
- 608 10% Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 10% Domestic Policy Analysis
- 611 10% Foreign Policy and Programs
- 801 10% Individual and Family Resource Management

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 32 of 122

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

To maintain a highly competitive agricultural production system, the U.S. and the state of Arkansas must ensure that firms operating in the industry are economically viable and efficient. One way to increase global competitiveness is through the development and adoption of new technology and product marketing. However in addition to new technologies, changes in government policies and general fluctuations in economic conditions will also affect economic performance and global competitiveness. There is a need not only for developing and evaluating new technologies that enhance competitiveness, but also for an extension of the knowledge base of all factors that influence the industry's competitive position in global markets. Achieving goals of global competitiveness cannot rely solely on the development and adoption of new production technologies. A complete understanding of all-important factors will be necessary to be successful.

Factors affecting the global competitiveness of the U.S. agricultural production system include:

Firm management decisions,

Macroeconomic, environmental, farm and trade policies,

Financial markets,

Domestic and international supply and demand conditions,

Industry structure and organization, and the

Development and adoption of new technologies.

Arkansas agricultural producers continue to face volatile prices due in part to natural disasters, flucuating energy prices and the uncertainty of the global marketplace. For example, the emerging biofuels industry is impacting many aspects of Arkansas agriculture and rural communities. These uncertainies require Arkansans to have a better understanding of sound financial management practices.

Arkansas' three-year average poverty rate is 17.6% – one of the highest in the nation (U.S. Census Bureau). Almost 44% of single mothers with related children in Arkansas live in poverty (Rural Family Profile of Arkansas 2004, UA Division of Agriculture). Personal debt and bankruptcy filings in Arkansas are at an all time high and savings rates are at negative levels. Arkansas is 9th in the United States for proportion of older Americans in the population, with 14% of Arkansans ages 65 and older in 2000. The median age of the U.S. population continued to rise, from 35.3 years on April 1, 2000, to 35.9 years on July 1, 2003 (U.S. Census Bureau).

There are distinct economic differences betwee rural and urban areas of the state. Poverty in the Delta remains substantially higher than poverty in urban counties. Many rural counties faced with shrinking tax bases, higher tax rates and high unemployment are in financial distress. Arkansas will see greater disparities between rural and urban communities in employment opportunities, infrastructure, education and health care. The challenge for Community and Economic Development is to assist communities during these dynamic times.

2. Scope of the Program

- In-State Research
- In-State Extension
- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Extension
- Multistate Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Strong interactions with leadership from promotion boards, farm organizations, recognized progressive farmers, industry and public representatives have identified the priority areas addressed by the program.

The basic premise of Extension Family Resource Management educational programs is that through the programs, citizens will increase knowledge and skills. The knowledge and skills will lead to behavior change and the consumer will begin to use recommended financial management techniques. Then, the use of recommended financial management techniques will lead to increased financial security.

Community and Economic Development utilizes a holistic socioeconomic approach to fostering positive change that lead to more prosperous local and regional economies. Methods incorporated in its programs utilize social science, policy and economic modeling research. Beliefs are also based on experience working with community leaders and in documented case studies. Programs are targeted

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 33 of 122

to both community leaders and lay audiences.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Identification and adoption of new technologies that enhance profitability and manage risk.

Broadening the understanding of linkages among policy, market conditions, industry structure and system competitiveness.

Investigate and address concerns as they emerge.

Position policy makers at all levels to understand and use the policy tools important to community, regional, and statewide economic viability.

Continue to support strategic partnerships that create value-added benefits for Arkansas' environment and its people.

Help Arkansas to achieve high degree of competitiveness in a global economy.

Increase family financial security by providing family resource management training for youth and adults.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Year	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	13.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
2009	13.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
2010	13.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
2011	13.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
2012	13.0	0.0	10.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Facilitate the development and adoption of new technologies and products that will enhance global competitiveness

Conduct economic evaluations of selected new technologies that may increase production efficiencies

Create and distribute educational products and materials using print and electronic mediums.

Develop and conduct educational meetings

Direct clientele contact, phone calls, personal visits, mail, and e-mail.

Develop, evaluate, and disseminate education programs and curricula, incorporating new research.

Develop county and economic profiles.

Convene issue forums for both internal and external audiences

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension		
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods	
 One-on-One Intervention Workshop Other 2 (Professional presentations) Group Discussion Other 1 (Service participation) Education Class Demonstrations 	 Other 1 (Train students and volunteers) TV Media Programs Public Service Announcement Other 2 (Publish scientific articles) Newsletters Web sites 	

3. Description of targeted audience

Farm, Agriculture and other businesses

Consumers

Professional Associations

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 34 of 122

"Baby Boomers"
Limited Resource Families
Adults age 65 and older

Youth

Other Researchers

Research, Extension, and Teaching Faculty

Industry

Research Funding Personnel and Agencies

Public Agencies

Policy and Decision Makers

Community and Civic Organizations

Issue-based Non-profit Organizations

Registered Voters

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	15300	99300	0	0
2009	15300	102000	0	0
2010	15300	104300	0	0
2011	15300	105400	0	0
2012	15300	106100	0	0

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008:0

2009 :0

2010:0

2011:0

2012:0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	14	1
2009	14	1
2010	14	1
2011	14	1
2012	14	1

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• Number of family resource management educational programs/workshops conducted

2008:25

2009:30

2010:30

2011:35

2012 :35

Number of Economics & Commerce education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations,

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 35 of 122

and other educational events

2008:2125 **2009**:2130 **2010**:2130 **2011**:2135 **2012**:2135

Number of Economics & Commerce clientele contacts from education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational methods.

interventions, demonstrations, and other educational methods

2008:15300 **2009**:15300 **2010**:15300 **2011**:15300 **2012**:15300

Number of contacts receiving economic and commerce information

2008:103000 **2009**:104030 **2010**:105070 **2011**:106121 **2012**:107182

Number of educational events associated with economics and commerce

2008:190 **2009**:193 **2010**:195 **2011**:196 **2012**:198

Number of educational products and materials developed

2008:320 **2009**:320 **2010**:320 **2011**:320 **2011**:320 **2012**:320

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants who increase knowledge about economics and commerce

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008: 33990 **2009**: 34329 **2010**: 34673 **2011**: 35020 **2012**: 35370

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 603 Market Economics
- 604 Marketing and Distribution Practices
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 606 International Trade and Development
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 611 Foreign Policy and Programs
- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants who indicate a change in behavior, practice or descisions about economics and commerce

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008: 20600 **2009**: 20806 **2010**: 21014 **2011**: 21224 **2012**: 21436

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 603 Market Economics

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 36 of 122

- 604 Marketing and Distribution Practices
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 606 International Trade and Development
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 611 Foreign Policy and Programs
- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management

Number of non-business bankruptcy filers in Arkansas

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 23164 **2009** : 23031 **2010** : 23000 **2011** : 22101 **2012** : 22050

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

801 - Individual and Family Resource Management

1. Outcome Target

Number of County and local governments that use interlocal agreements as a mechanism to increase budget efficiency

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:14 **2009**: 16 **2010**: 18 **2011**:20 **2012**: 22

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis

1. Outcome Target

Sustainable, vibrant and globally competitive agricultural sector for Arkansas as indicated by Arkansas Cash Farm Receipts (in thousand dollars) (NASS)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:6065524 **2009**: 6100543 **2010**: 6152234 **2011**:6225234 **2012**: 6350412

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 603 Market Economics
- 604 Marketing and Distribution Practices
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 606 International Trade and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 611 Foreign Policy and Programs

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 37 of 122

Sustainable, vibrant and globally competitive agricultural sector for Arkansas as indicated by Arkansas Net Farm Incomes (in thousand dollars) (ERS)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 2101123 **2009**: 2160253 **2010**: 2225325 **2011**: 2350621 **2012**: 2351258

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 603 Market Economics
- 604 Marketing and Distribution Practices
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 606 International Trade and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 611 Foreign Policy and Programs

1. Outcome Target

Number of jobs created or retained through government contracting assistance provided by Arkansas Procurement Assistance Center (APAC)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 1200 **2009**: 1200 **2010**: 1200 **2011**: 1200 **2012**: 1200

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 608 - Community Resource Planning and Development

1. Outcome Target

Dollars of revenue generated by businesses as a result of Arkansas Procurement Assistance Center (APAC) program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:50000000 **2009**:50000000 **2010**:50000000 **2011**:50000000 **2012**:50000000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

608 - Community Resource Planning and Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants who adopt one or more of the following practices: set financial goals, calculate net monthly income, develop a spending plan, keep financial records (including, but not limited to household account record and expense record)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:650 **2009**:650 **2010**:650 **2011**:650 **2011**:650 **2012**:650

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 801 - Individual and Family Resource Management

1. Outcome Target

Percent of participants reporting an increase in savings

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 38 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:40 **2009**:40 **2010**:40 **2011**:40 **2012**:40

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 801 - Individual and Family Resource Management

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants reporting a decrease in debt

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:50 **2010**:50 **2011**:50 **2012**:50

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

801 - Individual and Family Resource Management

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Appropriations changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government Regulations
- Competing Programatic Challenges
- Competing Public priorities
- Other (Interstate Policy Issues)
- Economy
- Populations changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)

Description

The economy can have a distinct impact on family resource management programs. Typically, in the event of difficult times, we expect more consumers will attend financial education programs. Likewise, in the event of stronger economic times, we may expect fewer consumers to be focused on the need to learn financial management skills.

Natural disasters have the capacity to interrupt the thrust of Extension programming. In the event of an extreme natural disaster, programs and resources may be redirected to accommodate emergency needs of citizens.

The number of ballot issues put before registered voters can complicate the resulting education program. The state's changing demographics necessitates changing the education program to serve a multi-lingual society.

International and domestic policy and trade issues may emerge that are un-anticipated. Interstate policy issues may also be an external factor to consider.

In times of disasters and critical needs by government agencies the Arkansas Procurement Assistance Center (APAC) assists agencies in locating vendors that can deliver parts, materials, and services. Also, APAC trains companies in disaster planning in serving and supplying public agencies during and after a disaster.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- After Only (post program)
- Before-After (before and after program)
- Retrospective (post program)
- Case Study
- Comparisons between program participants (individuals,group,organizations) and non-participants

Description

Community and Economic Development has a relationship with the University of Arkansas Survey Research Center that provides the opportunity for longitudinal analysis of educational program effectiveness. Comprehensive departmental and programatic evaluations for research, extension, and teaching programs are conducted on a five to seven year cycle. We systematically use a post-program evaluation

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 39 of 122

to assess clientele knowledge and program effectiveness.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Sampling
- Tests
- Case Study
- Unstructured
- Telephone
- On-Site
- Mail
- Structured
- Other (Web Based)

Description

We collect data from a sample of program participants who complete educational programs. Contacts are surveyed by mail, on-site surveys and personal interviews to determine impact of programs. Certain program participants are required to successfully complete a post program certification test. We have standing extension advisory councils in each of 75 counties. We have formalized external advisory councils that serve specific programs. We have ad hoc special interest groups that convene for the purpose of guiding issue-based education.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 40 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Families, Youth, & Communities

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

The Division of Agriculture addresses a wide variety of specific research topics dealing with quality of life and community development, including work on rural and child health care and health care in the Delta, on human migration and human capital movements in the Delta; retirement in-migration and its effects upon receiving communities; issues of aging; and recently on the human dimension of environment, natural resources, and public lands management issues. Extensive contributions have been made in the area of child care and youth development. Most of these research efforts have addressed specific quality of life or community needs.

The Arkansas 4-H program is dedicated to youth development; creating opportunities for youth that broadens skills and aspirations, nurturing the full potential of youth.

The Couple and Marriage Relationships program is an effort to provide quality, research-based education and training about dating and marriage relationships to county Extension agents who work with married couples, or those considering marriage, in their respective communities. The parenting and family relationship program has two parts: preparing adolescents and adults for parenting and providing knowledge, attitudes, and skills for those who are parents. The individual development program focuses on helping teens and adults develop the skills, attitudes, and practices for personal well-being.

Arkansas Extension offers child care providers professional development training and education. Extension addresses provider needs for continuing education through a variety of formats to meet individual needs. There are three components. The Best Care program provides ten hours of child care provider training addressing resource management, nutrition, health and safety, and child development. The second component is a child care provider training program called Best Care Connected. Best Care Connected is a five-hour child care training program offered on-line via the Internet. The last component is a child care provider training program called Guiding Children Successfully (GCS). GCS is a 20-hour self-study series that offers sensible advice and techniques for working with children.

Arkansas Extension addresses the professional development needs of school age care providers through the Arkansas 4-H Afterschool training program.

The University of Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service has defined community and economic development program efforts as "People working together to create or preserve their desired community." It is the objective of this planned program to improve the social and economic well-being of Arkansas communities through research-based educational programming that increases the knowledge, skills and participation of citizens in creating their desired future." To accomplish this objective the Cooperative Extension Service Community and Economic Development Program will be a leading, unbiased source of expertise in community and economic development." This program will include research and extension programs in economic development, small business assistance, leadership development, and public issues education.

3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds: Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 602 5% Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 10% Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 8% Domestic Policy Analysis
- 801 5% Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 15% Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 5% Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 5% Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 5% Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 42% Youth Development

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 41 of 122

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Arkansas remains one of the most rural states in the Union, but is undergoing rapid demographic, political and economic change. Many of the state's most rural communities, especially those most dependent upon natural resource economies, such as forest-dependent communities, struggle to gain some control over decisions that may affect their community as more responsibilities are forced upon them without new resources. Other communities find their quality of life threatened by rapid and uncontrolled growth. More than a decade of various kinds of local needs assessments have made it clear that rural communities require help in dealing with local economic development and quality of life issues. These include concerns about job development, education, youth, and crime; local infrastructure, paralyzing conflict in their communities, leadership and local decision-making. Special concern exists for the Mississippi River Delta region, where out-migration, economic decline, and conflict have become endemic in many communities. The number of jobs declined in all but one rural Delta county between 2000 and 2003. Seventeen Arkansas counties had median household incomes of less than \$26,000 in 1999; all of these are rural counties.

There are 680,369 youth under age 18 living in 371,331 households. Over 20 percent of Arkansas' young people are living in poverty. Currently, 167,902 children live in a single-parent family and 62,167 children live in a household with other relatives. There are 273,182 children with both parents in the workforce, 150,000 children with one parent in the workforce and 20,075 children with neither parent in the household. Many children have very little nurturing or mentoring from committed adults. The societal challenges combine with limited parenting and relationship skills and poorer social support networks overwhelm many Arkansans. Arkansas is also experiencing a significant increase in the Hispanic youth population, now totaling 32,016 children under age 18. Ethnic diversity is an increasing important factor in programming decisions. With new and growing challenges, effective youth development is more important than ever.

Divorce costs the United States an estimated \$33.3 billion annually; or \$312 per household. Twenty-eight percent of Arkansas families are headed by a single parent; 65% of mothers with children under the age of five are in the workforce; 72% of children under the age of six live in families with both parents working; over 70% of children three-six years of age spend substantial amounts of time in non-parental care; 52% of children under three are in non-parental care. In 2005, 553 of Arkansas' 2,851 licensed child care facilities have achieved quality approval status. Training available at times, locations and formats convenient to child care providers is essential to improving the quality of Arkansas child care.

2. Scope of the Program

- Multistate Extension
- Integrated Research and Extension
- In-State Extension
- In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Programs addressing quality of life and community development issues focus on addressing specific needs of communities and families in close collaboration with state and federal agencies and policymakers. Close coordination is required between the experiment station and cooperative extension to ensure that research studies are conducted that meet specific needs of importance and that needed information is utilized in a public outreach program or to provide needed information for policymakers. A survey research center developed to facilitate research in the social sciences serves as a resource to policymakers, state agencies, and communities.

The Arkansas 4-H Program focuses its work on teaching youth and adults the life skills necessary to become capable, competent and caring citizens. The theory of Positive Youth Development guides program development for the Arkansas 4-H Program. The research based Targeting Life Skills Model is the foundation for measuring life skill development. Eight life skills have been selected to measure on a statewide basis.

The life skills are:
Decision Making
Wise Use of Resources
Communication
Accepting Differences
Leadership
Useful/Marketable Skills
Healthy Lifestyle Choices

Self-Responsibility

Childcare training will continue to be a need because of state mandated licensing requirements. Funding will remain stable or increase

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 42 of 122

during the next seven years. Knowledge can lead to attitude and behavior change. Child care providers will be motivated to learn and adopt recommended practices. Targeted audiences are willing and able to participate in child care training programs.

Improving the economic well-being and quality of life for Arkansans and Arkansas communities is increasingly challenging in today's world. Issues such as globalization, changes in information technologies, government regulatory and fiscal policy, demographic shifts, threats of terrorism, and social needs all impact our society. Education programs are needed to help citizens, businesses, and communities deal with these issues and take advantage of opportunities that accompany these changes.

The needs are numerous. Counseling, education, and technical assistance for home-based and other small businesses are needed for rural economic development. Business owners and professionals need continuing education regarding changes in regulatory and tax policy. Youth and adults need leadership, government, citizenship, and issue-driven knowledge and skills so that they can act strategically to position their communities for continued economic viability and success.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

To enhance the economic opportunity and quality of life for all Arkansas citizens with a particular emphasis on rural communities and under-served populations

To strengthen and increase the quality of marriage and couple relationships

Quality parenting that leads to socially competent children

Individuals (teens and adults) achieve personal well-being through skill development, attitude change, and the adoption of effective practices

The mission of 4-H is to provide opportunities for youth to acquire knowledge, develop life skills, form attitudes, and practice behavior that will enable them to become self directing, productive, and contributing members of society

To improve the quality of care for the children in Arkansas

Launch a strategic approach to leadership and community development including an asset-based mindset, a culture of collaboration and entrepreneurial development to support development of economic and community sustainability and growth

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

.,	Extension		Research		
Year	1862	1890	1862	1890	
2008	145.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	
2009	145.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	
2010	145.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	
2011	145.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	
2012	145.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Division of Agriculture research programs address quality of life and community development issues, which focus on specific needs of communities and families in close collaboration with state and federal agencies and policy makers.

Family, Youth, & Communities educational programs within the University of Arkansas - Divisions of Agriculture include events and activities in the areas of Agriculture, Family & Consumer Science, 4-H Youth Development, and Community & Economic Development. Agricultural programs provide information on conservation, safety, marketing, and other topics to help Arkansans improve their economic well being and quality of life.

Family & Consumer Science programs provide educational topics that help Arkansans get the most for their money; eat well and stay healthy; raise caring, responsible children; and have strong families and safe communities.

4-H Youth Development programs provide opportunities for youth to acquire knowledge, develop life skills, form attitudes, and practice behavior that will enable them to become self directing, productive, and contributing members of society. Arkansas Extension addresses the professional development needs of school age care providers through the Arkansas 4-H Afterschool training program. School-age providers receive five hours of training in the areas of experiential learning, staff management, 4-H youth development, guidance and discipline, environmental stewardship, and service learning. The training materials are selected from existing 4-H curriculum and are

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 43 of 122

adapted to fit the needs of providers working in after school settings.

Community & Economic Development programs provide educational opportunities in the area of leadership, economic development, public policy issues, government contracting seminars, and more, in order to enhance citizen knowledge, awareness, and skills so that they may make informed decisions that will positively impact their communities.

Methods for providing programs entail:

Workshops

Training Sessions

One-to-one counseling

Develop curriculum

Presentations

School enrichment programs

Organize 4-H clubs

Train-the-Trainer

Committee Meetings

Hard-copy fact sheets

Newsletters

Video and compressed video

Radio, television and print media

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension			
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods		
Group Discussion	Public Service Announcement		
Demonstrations	 Newsletters 		
Education Class	TV Media Programs		
Workshop	Web sites		
One-on-One Intervention	Billboards		

3. Description of targeted audience

Adolescents and adults

Adolescents and adults who expect to become parents

Parents

Grandparents

Caring for the elderly

Step parents

Foster parents

4-H members

4-11 11101110013

4-H youth participants

4-H volunteers

4-H parents

Non-4-H adults

School teachers
County Extension faculty

County FCS Agents

Extension Homemakers Council members and trainers

All married couples or those couples considering marriage

Child care providers

Local, state, and community leaders

Elected officials

Entrepreneurs

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 44 of 122

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

Direct Contacts Adults		Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth	
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target	
2008	173650	144750	284000	50900	
2009	174550	147000	285150	51325	
2010	175900	148750	285700	51450	
2011	176600	150500	285850	51625	
2012	177300	152250	28600	51800	

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008:0 **2009**:0 **2010**:0 **2011**:0 **2012**:0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	0
2009	0	0
2010	0	0
2011	0	0
2012	0	0

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

Number of Parenting Journey maps circulated

2008:11000 **2009**:17000 **2010**:18000 **2011**:24000 **2012**:24000

Number of parenting programs held

2008:37 **2009**:45 **2010**:55 **2011**:70 **2012**:70

Number of parenting participants

2008:2250 **2009**:2600 **2010**:4000 **2011**:4000 **2012**:4000

Number of parenting program hours of video training

2008:122 **2009**:154 **2010**:176 **2011**:190 **2012**:204

Number of hits on website

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 45 of 122

	2008:90000	2009 :90000	2010:90000	2011 :90000	2012 :90000
•	Number of marriage resource	s available in print or on www.a	rfamilies.org website		
	2008 :12	2009 :14	2010 : 16	2011 :18	2012 :20
•	Number of hits on www.arfam	nilies.org website marriage resou	urces		
	2008 :1000	2009 :2000	2010 : 3000	2011 :4000	2012 :5000
•	Number of marriage programs	s/trainings held			
	2008 :5	2009 :7	2010 : 10	2011 : 12	2012 :12
•	Number of participants in mar	riage programs/trainings			
	2008 :300	2009 :500	2010 : 800	2011 :1000	2012 :1000
•	Number non-duplicated 4-H Y	outh Development Science pro	grams delivered		
	2008 :250	2009 :250	2010 : 275	2011 :275	2012 :275
•	Number non-duplicated partic	cipants in 4-H Youth Developme	nt Science programs		
	2008 :4500	2009 :5000	2010 : 5000	2011 :5000	2012:5000
•	Number of organized 4-H Clu	bs			
	2008 :550	2009 :550	2010 : 575	2011 :575	2012 :575
•	Number non-duplicated 4-H Y	outh Development Healthy Life:	styles programs delivered		
	2008 :225	2009 :250	2010 : 250	2011 :250	2012 :250
•	Number non-duplicated partic	cipants in 4-H Youth Developme	nt Healthy Lifestyles programs		
	2008:50000	2009 :51000	2010 : 55000	2011 :55000	2012 :55000
•	Number non-duplicated progr	rams delivered in 4-H Youth Dev	relopment Citizenship/Leadershi	р	
	2008 :150	2009 :150	2010 : 175	2011 :175	2012 :175
•	Number non-duplicated techn	ology and engineering program	s delivered		
	2008 :100	2009 :100	2010 : 120	2011 : 120	2012 :120
•	Number non-duplicated partic	sipants in technology and engine	eering programs		
	2008 :1200	2009 :1500	2010 : 2000	2011 :2000	2012 :2000
•	Number of Child Care educati	ional trainings held			
	2008 :90	2009 :90	2010 : 95	2011 :95	2012 :95
•	Number of Child Care online	courses offered			

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 46 of 122

	2008:2	2009 :2	2010 : 2	2011 :2	2012 :2			
•	Number of hours of Child Car	re in-service training offered						
	2008 :15	2009 :15	2010 : 15	2011 :15	2012 :15			
•	Number of hours of Child Car	re video/DVD training provided						
	2008 :1800	2009 :1500	2010 : 1500	2011 :1500	2012 :1500			
•	Number of direct adult contact	cts reported related to communit	ty and economic development					
	2008 :4500	2009 :5000	2010 : 5500	2011 :6000	2012 :6500			
•	Number of indirect adult cont	acts reported related to commu	nity and economic development					
	2008 :6750	2009 :7500	2010 :8250	2011 :9000	2012 :9250			
•	Number of direct youth conta	cts reported related to communi	ity and economic development					
	2008 : 200	2009 ;250	2010 : 300	2011 :350	2012 :400			
•	Number of indirect youth con-	tacts reported related to commu	inity and economic development					
	2008:225	2009 :250	2010 : 275	2011 :300	2012 :325			
•	Number of events reported re	elated to community and econon	nic development					
	2008 :55	2009 :60	2010 : 65	2011 :70	2012 :75			
•	Number of Arkansas Commo	dity Grants						
	2008:4	2009 :4	2010 : 5	2011 :5	2012 :5			
•	Number of federal grants and	I contracts						
	2008 :5	2009 :5	2010 : 5	2011 :5	2012 :5			
•		n & Communities clientele co demonstrations, and other ed	ntacts from education classes ducational methods	s, workshops, group discussion	ons,			
	2008 :173650	2009 :174550	2010 : 175900	2011 :176600	2012 :176600			
•		a & Communities education c educational events conducte	lasses, workshops, group dis ed	cussions, one-on-one interve	entions,			
	2008 :857	2009 :892	2010 : 980	2011 :997	2012 :997			
V(I)	V(I). State Defined Outcome							
1. Outcome Target								
	Number of 4-H participants who learned accepting differences life skill 2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure							
2. C	Outcome Type : Change 2008 :225	2009 : 250	2010 : 250	2011 ;275	2012 : 300			
3. A	associated Knowledge Are			-				

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 47 of 122

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

Number of 4-H participants who learned healthy lifestyles choices life skill

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008: 350 **2009**: 350 **2010**: 400 **2011**: 450 **2012**: 450

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H participants who learned self-responsibility life skill

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008: 250 **2009**: 300 **2010**: 300 **2011**: 350 **2012**: 350

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H participants who learned leadership life skill

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008 : 350 **2009** : 350 **2010** : 375 **2011** : 400 **2012** : 400

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 48 of 122

- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

Number of 4-H participants who learned marketable skills life skill

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:250 **2009**: 275 **2010**: 300 **2011**:325 **2012**: 350

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H participants who learned wise use of resources life skill

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:250 **2009**: 275 **2010**: 300 **2011**:325 **2012**: 350

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of child care providers who report an increase in knowledge related to specific child care issues after participating in an Extension program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:2700 **2009**: 3000 **2010**: 3200 **2011**:3200 **2012**: 3200

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 49 of 122

- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

Number of participants that increased knowledge of community and economic development issues

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:2500 **2009**: 3000 **2010**: 3500 **2011**:4000 **2012**: 4500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants adopting an effective parenting behavior/practice

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:600 **2009**:700 **2010**:800 **2011**:1000 **2012**:1100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants adopting a targeted relationship-enhancing behavior

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:600 **2009**: 700 **2010**: 800 **2011**:1000 **2012**: 1200

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants adopting a targeted personal development behavior

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 50 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:600 **2009**:700 **2010**:800 **2011**:1000 **2012**:1100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H Journals completed in 4-H Youth Development Science areas

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:410 **2009**: 425 **2010**: 450 **2011**:475 **2012**: 500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of projects completed in 4-H Youth Development Science areas

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:500 **2009**: 525 **2010**: 525 **2011**:550 **2012**: 550

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H Journals completed in 4-H Youth Development Healthy Lifestyles areas

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:140 **2009**: 140 **2010**: 145 **2011**:150 **2012**: 155

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of projects completed in 4-H Youth Development Healthy Lifestyles areas

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:225 **2009**: 225 **2010**: 250 **2011**:275 **2012**: 275

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H Journals completed in 4-H Youth Development Citizenship/Leadership areas

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 51 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:100 **2009**: 125 **2010**: 150 **2011**:150 **2012**: 150

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of projects completed in 4-H Youth Development Citizenship/Leadership areas

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:350 **2009**: 350 **2010**: 400 **2011**:425 **2012**: 425

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H Journals completed in 4-H Youth Development technology and engineering areas

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:105 **2009**: 115 **2010**: 120 **2011**:125 **2012**: 130

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of projects completed in 4-H Youth Development technology and engineering

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:425 **2009**: 450 **2010**: 475 **2011**:500 **2012**: 525

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of child care providers adopting a recommended practice after participating in an Extension program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008: 1200 **2009**: 1400 **2010**: 1500 **2011**: 1500 **2012**: 1500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 52 of 122

Number of participants who report an improved relationship with a child as a result of using a targeted parenting behavior

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:600 **2009**:700 **2010**:800 **2011**:1000 **2012**:1000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants who report an improved relationship with a partner as a result of using a targeted parenting behavior

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:600 **2009**: 700 **2010**: 800 **2011**:1000 **2012**: 1000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants who report an improved quality of life as a result of using a targeted personal development behavior

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:600 **2009**:700 **2010**:800 **2011**:1000 **2012**:1000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H members receiving scholarships and grants for post secondary education

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 53 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:60 **2010**:60 **2011**:75 **2012**:75

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of youth and adults who practice good citizenship and provide community based leadership throughout Arkansas as evidenced by volunteer hours contributed through the 4-H program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 3500 **2009** : 4000 **2010** : 4000 **2011** : 4000 **2012** : 4000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Percent of long term (three years or more) 4-H members graduating High School

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 87 **2009** : 87 **2010** : 88 **2011** : 88 **2012** : 88

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of licensed child care facilities achieving quality approval status

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:10 **2009**: 15 **2010**: 20 **2011**:25 **2012**: 25

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 54 of 122

- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

Number of community and economic development projects initiated

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 35 **2009** : 45 **2010** : 55 **2011** : 65 **2012** : 75

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis

1. Outcome Target

Number of county residents and lay leaders conducting programs or adopting new skills as a result of community and economic educational efforts

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:35 **2009**:45 **2010**:55 **2011**:65 **2012**:75

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis

1. Outcome Target

Number of youth conducting community service projects as a result of community and economic development educational efforts

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:25 **2009**: 35 **2010**: 45 **2011**:55 **2012**: 65

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of contracts and subcontracts reported

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:800 **2009**: 900 **2010**: 1000 **2011**:1100 **2012**: 1100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 55 of 122

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

Number of Refereed Journal Publications

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:15 **2009**: 15 **2010**: 15 **2011**:15 **2012**: 15

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 602 Business Management, Finance, and Taxation
- 608 Community Resource Planning and Development
- 610 Domestic Policy Analysis
- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants who indicate that they have gained knowledge on a targeted parenting behavior

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:1200 **2009**: 1400 **2010**: 1500 **2011**:1500 **2012**: 1500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of participants who indicate that they have gained knowledge on a targeted relationship-enhancing behavior

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:1200 **2009**: 1400 **2010**: 1500 **2011**:1500 **2012**: 1500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 56 of 122

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

Number of participants who indicate that they have gained knowledge on a targeted personal development behavior

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:1200 **2009**: 1400 **2010**: 1500 **2011**:1500 **2012**: 1500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H participants who learned decision making life skill

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:250 **2009**: 300 **2010**: 325 **2011**:350 **2012**: 375

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities
- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Number of 4-H participants who learned communications life skill

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:400 **2009**: 450 **2010**: 450 **2011**:500 **2012**: 550

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 801 Individual and Family Resource Management
- 802 Human Development and Family Well-Being
- 803 Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 57 of 122

- 804 Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures
- 805 Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services
- 806 Youth Development

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Competing Programatic Challenges
- Populations changes (immigration,new cultural groupings,etc.)
- Appropriations changes
- Competing Public priorities
- Economy
- Public Policy changes
- Government Regulations

Description

The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture is positioned to respond proactively through educational activities and demographic changes that affect the quality of life for Arkansans and assist families and youth to improve their lives.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Before-After (before and after program)
- Retrospective (post program)
- After Only (post program)
- During (during program)

Description

The Arkansas 4-H Program is developing a on-line life skill evaluation database system to measure life skills learned during 4-H programming. The agents can chose indicators from 8 targeted life skills. The program will produce retrospective evaluations for use at the end of educational programming. The agents will then input the answers into the database for result compilation.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Sampling
- On-Site

Description

The evaluation plan will be implemented during the five-year plan of work.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 58 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Food, Nutrition & Health

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Five of the ten leading causes of death in the U.S. have been linked to diet and nutrition as contributing factors. Poor diet and obesity remain as common problems especially among under-served populations. The Extension Food, Nutrition & Health programs have four components: 1) participation in regular physical activity, 2) adoption and maintenance of healthy lifestyles, 3) chronic disease prevention; and 4) nutrition education. The health program identifies and promotes evidence-based policies and practices that will reduce the incidence of chronic disease. Using science based information, Arkansans are engaged in programs such as Walk Across Arkansas, Strongwomen and the Medicine Cabinet Series to prevent chronic disease and promote healthy lifestyles and increased physical activity. The nutrition education program responds to the nutritional issues and needs of Arkansans across the lifespan and socioeconomic spectrum. Participants gain knowledge and skills that will help them adopt appropriate behaviors to prevent or delay lifestyle-related chronic diseases. Children and adults with limited resources learn healthy eating and activity practices through the Food Stamp Nutrition Education and Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Programs. Adult Arkansans interested in achieving and/or maintaining healthy weight are targeted with the 15-week Reshape Yourself program and the Right Bite cooking school. African American and Hispanic Arkansans learn healthy eating and activity practices through participation in the Eating and Moving for Life program. Like never before, biotechnology and an improved understanding of food constituents that improve human health and nutrition will make possible the development of food products with improved nutritional value. Advances in knowledge of human nutrition can be rapidly utilized to produce improved food products. Nutritionists must be linked to multi-disciplinary teams of food scientists, biotechnologists and medical experts to address this need.

Given the importance of the food production and processing industry to the economy of Arkansas, a strong research and extension base in Food Science and Nutrition is of paramount importance. Food safety issues associated with the poultry industry and other food processing firms are also of high priority to the Division of Agriculture. The implementation of HACCP and other food safety programs increases the need for research-based information significantly. The large investment in research infrastructure in poultry science provides one of the few locations where food safety research can be addressed from the producer through processing and product preparation. The Division of Agriculture's Institute of Food Science and Engineering was created to focus multi-disciplinary research on food issues. This center draws on expertise in microbiology, food science, engineering, poultry science and other disciplines; bringing together corporate partners and faculty members with the appropriate research expertise to address research problems of immediate need to the industry.

3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds: Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 502 2% New and Improved Food Products
- 503 2% Quality Maintenance in Storing and Marketing Food Products
- 504 1% Home and Commercial Food Service
- 702 10% Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 703 30% Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 712 20% Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins
- 724 20% Healthy Lifestyle
- 806 15% Youth Development

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Nationally, Arkansas ranks first in stroke mortality, eighth in mortality due to heart disease and ninth in overall cancer mortality. Sixty-one percent of adults are overweight or obese and 38 percent of children in grades K-12 are overweight or at risk for becoming overweight. Lifestyles are directly related to these diseases. Only about half of Arkansas adults and youth get the recommended amount of daily moderate physical activity and one-fourth of adults smoke. Unhealthy lifestyles, including poor diet, physical inactivity, smoking and substance abuse, cost Arkansas taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars each year in health care costs and lost productivity. Lifestyle

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 59 of 122

changes can prevent at least 20 percent of annual deaths from heart disease, cancer, stroke and diabetes while lowering lifetime medical costs. Almost fifteen percent of all Arkansas households are food insecure. Research has shown the importance of nutrition to the developing brain and learning capability of children. When food and nutrients are chronically inadequate, hunger leads to high medical, educational, psychological, economic, and social costs.

The Division of Agriculture research goals for the Food, Nutrition and Health program are achieved through discovery and developmental research in Food Science, Food Safety and human nutrition. The Division's extension goals for Food, Nutrition and Health are achieved through county and state educational programs such as demonstrations, applied research, education booths, presentations, publications, newsletters, web pages, in-service training of county faculty, and news releases. Through consumer education on nutrition and the preparation and selection of more nutritious foods, Cooperative Extension faculty and staff enable Arkansans to make health-promoting choices. The success of our food safety programs is due to our Extension/Research integration and proximity of Extension and Experiment Station faculty/staff who work on new processing ingredients and technologies which are disseminated in Extension workshops, newsletters, roundtables etc. The monthly HACCP Roundtable serves not only state companies but is regional in scope and serves as a model at the national level as an example of food companies cooperating along with USDA to address food safety issues.

Food production and processing is a large business in Arkansas. Approximately 25% of all manufacturing in Arkansas is food processing, representing an \$11 billion per year business. There are 232 food processing establishments in Arkansas directly employing over 55,000 people. The Center for Disease Control has estimated that 76 million persons in the United States are affected by food borne illness. The costs for persons infected include those associated with health care for afflicted individuals, costs related to caring for those who are ill, absenteeism from work and school, as well as travel costs to seek medical care.

2. Scope of the Program

- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- In-State Extension
- Multistate Research
- In-State Research
- Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Our Extension education process is a straight-forward approach to Arkansas health issues. Arkansans will choose to be active participants in the decisions that affect their health to remain active and healthy. Evidence-based education can enable rural individuals and families to better maintain healthy lifestyles and manage physical health. Nutrition education is based on the belief that: 1) Participants have access to and consume specific foods, 2) Targeted audiences are willing and able to participate in nutrition education programs, 3) Knowledge change can lead to behavior change and 4) People will be motivated to learn/change. One in five Arkansans is without health insurance coverage. Lack of health care professionals and facilities in rural Arkansas limit access to quality health care. The majority of the food safety programs is either nationally or state mandated programs such as the Better Process Control School and the ServSafe program, respectively or are at the request of food processing companies and entrepreneurs in Arkansas. Since the programs are clientele-driven, it is believed that they represent the concerns and needs of food processing industry. Similarly, they will continue to be important and this concern will be reflected in the attendance and participation of the food processing companies. It is also assumed that the Experiment Station scientists will continue to assist in the delivery of these programs. Finally, it is assumed that by working with agricultural economists and the State Department of Health, entrepreneurs will continue to be identified who will take advantage of the programs, ultimately resulting in the establishment of more food-processing businesses.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Health and Nutrition:

- Educate and empower individuals and families to adopt healthy behaviors and lifestyles to promote health and prevent disease
 thus increasing longevity and reducing health care costs.
- Educate Arkansans to make informed health and health care decisions to utilize the health care system effectively and reduce health care costs
- Lower the incidence of chronic disease
- Reduce risk factors for diet-related chronic diseases

Food Safety & Processing:

- Reduce the incidences of food poisoning resulting from processing at both manufacturing and at the restaurant level
- Improve the food processing/quality aspects of manufacturing to foster growth of food companies and entrepreneurs in Arkansas
- Improve the efficiency and competitiveness of the Arkansas and U.S. food industry through improvements in processing systems, and increased understanding of food chemistry

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 60 of 122

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

V	Extension		Research		
Year	1862	1890	1862	1890	
2008	73.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	
2009	73.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	
2010	73.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	
2011	73.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	
2012	73.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Health:

Division of Agriculture faculty will develop, evaluate, and disseminate education programs and curricula, incorporating new research and emphasizing healthy lifestyles. Programs Include:

Walk Across Arkansas (Adults and Youth)

Strong Women

Journey to Wellness

ServSafe

Nutrition:

Extension faculty will reach target audiences through the following nutrition education programs:

Food Stamp Nutrition Education

Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program

Eating and Moving for Life

Reshape Yourself Healthy Weight Program

Right Bite Cooking School

Delta HOPE Initiative

Commercial Food Safety & Processing:

Improve food processing efficiency through an improved understanding of food chemistry

Determine the impact of food processing systems on product quality and food safety attributes

Develop new food products that utilize Arkansas raw products

Increase the research base on improved food processing systems to minimize food pathogens

Improve detection systems for Listeria, Salmonella and other major food pathogens

Identify health related nutritional factors that will improve human health

Develop new food products that have improved nutritional content

Conduct monthly HACCP Round Table meeting.

Conduct food safety workshops.

Conduct Better Process Control School

Conduct Labeling workshop.

Conduct the ServSafe workshop.

Provide online distance education in food safety and manufacturing

Conduct new product development workshop

Provide assistance to small food companies and entrepreneurs in the form of services, nutritional labeling, and consulting.

Conduct culinology workshop

Conduct research

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 61 of 122

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension		
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods	
Workshop	Other 1 (Grant Development)	
 One-on-One Intervention 	Web sites	
 Education Class 	Public Service Announcement	
Group Discussion	 Newsletters 	
 Demonstrations 	Billboards	

3. Description of targeted audience

Food Companies

Entrepreneurs & Restaurants

Food Service Employees and/or Food Handlers

Limited Resource Adults & Youth

Minority Adults & Youth

Overweight Adults & Youth

Seniors

Employers & Employees

Child Care Providers

Homeowners

Schools

Other researchers

Students

Extension Specialists

Teaching Faculty

Research funding personnel and agencies

Public

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

Direct Contacts Adults		Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth	
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target	
2008	56150	10563	60000	8000	
2009	56150	10963	60000	8000	
2010	56150	11563	60000	8000	
2011	56150	11663	60000	8000	
2012	57000	12000	60000	8000	

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008:0 **2009**:1 **2010**:0 **2011**:1 **2012**:0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 62 of 122

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	17	0
2009	17	0
2010	17	0
2011	17	0
2012	17	0

of non-duplicated participants in Food, Nutrition & Health 4-H Youth programs

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

. 0	Output larget						
•	# of grants written and funded in support of Food, Nutrition and Health programming and research - Experiment station						
	2008:6	2009 :7	2010 :8	2011 :9	2012 :10		
•	# of Food, Nutrition & Health educational sessions						
	2008:1737	2009 :1738	2010 : 1738	2011 :1738	2012 :1738		
•	# of news articles, public serv	ice announcements, radio and 1	V media programs in support of	Food, Nutrition and Health prog	grams		
	2008:444	2009 :444	2010 :444	2011:444	2012 :444		
•	# of field demonstrations cond	ducted to document the effective	eness of scientifically based prod	luction information - Experiment	station		
	2008 :5	2009 :5	2010 :5	2011: 5	2012 :5		
•	# of participants in education	al programs leading to certificati	on for food handlers (ServSafe	and Better Process Control Scho	ool)		
	2008 :675	2009 :675	2010 : 675	2011 :675	2012 :675		
•	# of participants in food, nutrit	tion and health related education	nal classes, workshops, seminar	s and field demonstrations			
	2008 :31038	2009 :32000	2010 : 32000	2011 :32000	2012 :32000		
•	# of participants in monthly H	ACCP roundtable					
	2008 :30	2009 :30	2010 : 30	2011 :30	2012 :30		
•	# of ServSafe classes offered						
	2008 :20	2009 :20	2010 :20	2011 :20	2012 :20		
•	# of hits on Food, Nutrition and Health websites						
	2008 :800	2009 :850	2010 : 900	2011 :950	2012 :1000		
•	# of non-duplicated Food, Nut	trition and Health 4-H Youth pro	grams delivered				
	2008 :400	2009 :400	2010 :400	2011 :400	2012 :400		

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 63 of 122

2008:50000 2009:51000 2010:55000 2011:55000 2012:60000 # of Food, Nutrition and Health in-service trainings conducted 2008:10 2009:10 2010:10 2011:10 2012:10 # of Arkansas Commodity Board Grants - Experiment station 2008:4 2009:4 2010:4 2011:4 2012:4 # of Federal grants and contracts - Experiment Station 2008:6 2009:7 2010:8 2011:9 2012:10

 # of Food, Nutrition, & Health clientele contacts from education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational methods

2008:116000 **2009**:116150 **2010**:116150 **2011**:116150 **2012**:117000

 # of Food, Nutrition, & Health education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational events

2008;7800 **2009**;7825 **2010**;7825 **2011**;7825 **2012**;7825

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

of research projects conducted related to Food, Nutrition and Health - Experiment Station

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:17 **2009**: 17 **2010**: 17 **2011**:17 **2012**: 17

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 503 Quality Maintenance in Storing and Marketing Food Products
- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

of participants who indicated that they increased their knowledge related to food, nutrition and health following an educational class, seminar or workshop

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008: 18000 **2009**: 18000 **2010**: 18000 **2011**: 18000 **2012**: 18000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 502 New and Improved Food Products
- 503 Quality Maintenance in Storing and Marketing Food Products
- 504 Home and Commercial Food Service
- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 64 of 122

of participants receiving certification in Better Process Control School, Culinary Scientists and ServSafe

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:675 **2009**: 675 **2010**: 675 **2011**:675 **2012**: 675

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

712 - Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

% of participants who increased knowledge of chronic disease prevention

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:65 **2009**:70 **2010**:75 **2011**:80 **2012**:80

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 724 - Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of 4-H journals completed in Food, Nutrition and Health

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 450 **2009**: 450 **2010**: 450 **2011**: 450 **2011**: 450 **2012**: 450

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 806 Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

% increase in knowledge of healthy food choices among nutrition program participants

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:60 **2009**:65 **2010**:70 **2011**:75 **2012**:75

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior

1. Outcome Target

of food service managers who report improved food handling practices within a commercial establishment

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:40 **2009**:40 **2010**:40 **2011**:40 **2012**:40

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 712 - Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

of growers, producers, distributors or retailers implementing one or more practices to minimize food safety hazards - Experiment Station

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 65 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 35 **2009** : 35 **2010** : 35 **2011** : 35 **2011** : 35

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

% of individuals that exchanged at least two unhealthy lifestyles for healthy ones as a result of completing an Extension program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 60 **2009** : 60 **2010** : 60 **2011** : 60 **2012** : 60

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

% of individuals who increased strength training activities from less than 3 times per week to 3 or more times per week as a result of completing an Extension program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:75 **2009**: 75 **2010**: 75 **2011**:75 **2012**: 75

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

724 - Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

% of individuals who increased aerobic exercise from less than 3 times per week to 3 or more times per week as a result of completing an Extension program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:70 **2009**:70 **2010**:70 **2011**:70 **2012**:70

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 724 - Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

% of individuals who reported they now get 30 minutes of moderate physical exercise on most days as a result of completing an Extension program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:65 **2009**:65 **2010**:65 **2011**:65 **2012**:65

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 724 - Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of food processing and safety laboratory services provided and nutritional labels developed

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:200 **2009**: 200 **2010**: 200 **2011**:200 **2012**: 200

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 66 of 122

- 502 New and Improved Food Products
- 503 Quality Maintenance in Storing and Marketing Food Products

of Journal articles accepted - Experiment Station

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:10 **2009**: 10 **2010**: 10 **2011**:10 **2012**: 10

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

1. Outcome Target

% increase in adoption of healthy food practices among nutrition program participants

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:55 **2010**:60 **2011**:65 **2012**:65

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

% increase in use of a variety of food resources to reduce costs among nutrition program participants

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 30 **2009** : 35 **2010** : 40 **2011** : 45 **2012** : 50

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 703 - Nutrition Education and Behavior

1. Outcome Target

of public and private representatives involved in discussions regarding public and organizational policies, regulations and industry practices that are barriers to dietary quality and physical activity

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:10 **2009**: 15 **2010**: 20 **2011**:25 **2012**: 25

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of participants reporting reduction in body weight after completing a nutrition education program

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 67 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:167 **2009**: 170 **2010**: 170 **2011**:170 **2012**: 170

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of participants reporting reduction in blood pressure after completing a nutrition education program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 68 **2009** : 68 **2010** : 70 **2011** : 70 **2012** : 70

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of participants reporting a reduction in blood cholesterol after completing a nutrition education program

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 38 **2009** : 40 **2010** : 40 **2011** : 40 **2012** : 40

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of participants reporting a reduction in blood glucose after completing a nutrition education program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:48 **2009**: 50 **2010**: 50 **2011**:50 **2012**: 50

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of revised and or adoption of new public laws and organizational policies and practices that support sustained improvement of diet quality and physical activity for Arkansas citizens

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:2 **2009**:2 **2010**:3 **2011**:3 **2012**:3

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 702 Requirements and Function of Nutrients and Other Food Components
- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of new food businesses started

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 68 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:12 **2009**: 12 **2010**: 12 **2011**:12 **2012**: 12

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 502 New and Improved Food Products
- 504 Home and Commercial Food Service

1. Outcome Target

of participants who indicate that they intend to adopt one or more healthy food/nutrition practices

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:10000 **2009**: 10000 **2010**: 10000 **2011**:10000 **2012**: 10000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 703 Nutrition Education and Behavior
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins
- 724 Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of individuals who increased walking activities from less then 3 times per week to 3 or more times per week as a result of completing an extension program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:1730 **2009**: 1730 **2010**: 1730 **2011**:1730 **2012**: 1730

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 724 - Healthy Lifestyle

1. Outcome Target

of culinary participants sampled by survey that reported actual practice change as a result of the workshop within 2 years

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:30 **2009**:35 **2010**:35 **2011**:35 **2012**:35

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 502 New and Improved Food Products
- 504 Home and Commercial Food Service

1. Outcome Target

of small and very small meat and poultry plants that successfully completed an Action Plan developed in consultation with the University of Arkansas after a USDA-FSIS Notice of Intended Enforcement

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:5 **2009**:6 **2010**:6 **2011**:7 **2012**:8

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 502 New and Improved Food Products
- 712 Protect Food from Contamination by Pathogenic Microorganisms, Parasites, and Naturally Occuring Toxins

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 69 of 122

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Appropriations changes
- Public Policy changes
- Economy
- Competing Public priorities
- Populations changes (immigration,new cultural groupings,etc.)
- Government Regulations
- Competing Programatic Challenges

Description

The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture is positioned to respond proactively through educational activities to policy, regulatory, economic and democraphic changes that affect the quality of life for Arkansans and assist food processors to improve their economic position.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- After Only (post program)
- Before-After (before and after program)
- Retrospective (post program)
- During (during program)
- Case Study

Description

Some educators will utilize pre- and post-tests and some will use retrospective post-tests, depending on their program and targert audiences. Case studies will be utilized for evaluation of environmental and systematic long-term program outcomes.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Sampling
- Whole population
- Other (Self-reported data & sec. data)
- On-Site
- Observation
- Unstructured
- Mail
- Tests

Description

This evaluation plan will be implemented during the five-year plan of work. Health outcome data such as weight change, blood pressure, cholesterol and glucose will be collected through targeted samples of persons completing nutrition education programs.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 70 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Natural Resources & Environment

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Planned programs in the Division of Agriculture will continue to focus on the primary problems of forest productivity, forest health, soil health, water quality and quantity, and animal waste management. Multi-disciplinary research teams will be utilized to address these complex environmental problems. Strong linkages are needed with state agencies and policymakers to provide the necessary research base to address complex natural resource issues. Industry-university coalitions must address environmental issues along with special interest groups and under-served populations.

In response to new State and Federal (CAFO) regulatory guidelines, the UACES has developed a certification training program for nutrient management planners and nutrient applicators. The UACES has been involved in developing a risk assessment tool for reducing Phosphorus loss to streams in Poultry producing areas as part of a lawsuit settlement. On the voluntary front, the UACES is developing a program with the goal of assisting agricultural producers and landowners with integrating environmental goals and regulations as part of farm planning. It will also promote a more integrated approach to on-farm natural resource management to include forestry, wildlife, and ecological sustainability as part of the planning process.

As environmental and natural resource concerns shift, so will our educational efforts.

Forest Resource Management has been a long lasting program of the UA Division of Agriculture. Workshops, short courses, meetings, publications, and field days will continue as touchstones of the program. As access to technology expands the program can be delivered via the web, satellite, and other electronic media. The county agents located in each county of the State are central to the program as well. County agents develop and deliver forestry meetings, workshops, and field days focused on issues important to their local clientele and leadership. State Extension faculty will continue to work closely with county level programs as well as with stakeholders at the State level including the Arkansas Forestry Commission, the Arkansas Forestry Association, the Arkansas Game & Fish Commission, and other key natural resource groups to deliver the program and make key changes when new unforeseen issues arise. Extension program and research efforts in the forest resource management program area are implemented through the Arkansas Forest Resources Center. The goal of the Forest Resource Management program, through the Arkansas Forest Resources. Center, is to develop and deliver programs in research & extension that enhance and insure the sustainability of forest-based natural resources. The program therefore, is broadly defined to include a vast array of topics, issues, and strategies aimed at promoting the sustainable and wise use of Arkansas' forest resources.

3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)

4. Program duration: Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

- 1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage
 - 101 5% Appraisal of Soil Resources
 - 102 5% Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
 - 111 10% Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
 - 112 15% Watershed Protection and Management
 - 122 5% Management and Control of Forest and Range Fires
 - 123 25% Management and Sustainability of Forest Resources
 - 124 5% Urban Forestry
 - 133 10% Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
 - 135 15% Aguatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
 - 605 5% Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 71 of 122

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

As the Natural State, Arkansas has abundant natural resources which are important to the state's economy. More than 50% of the state's land area remains forested. These forests provides multiple benefits including forest products, outdoor recreation, wildlife habitat, watershed protection, and scenic beauty for Arkansans. Development of crop and animal production systems that minimize the impact on the land and water resources of the state remains a high priority.

Soil and water resources in our richest agricultural areas are degrading over time requiring increased inputs to maintain maximum productivity. Salinity and pH of some delta soils have increased due to irrigation with water of poor quality and soil organic matter content has declined due to excessive tillage. A number of Arkansas counties have been designated as critical water use areas including our most productive rice producing areas.

The size of our poultry industry has created animal waste issues that must be addressed to protect our water resources. In some areas litter production exceeds available pasture land for use as a fertilizer. Although poultry litter makes a valuable soil amendment, litter production occurs in areas distant from row crop areas that would benefit from use of the litter. Although research is addressing short term mitigation strategies, a long term approach remains an elusive goal if we are to address these issues in a comprehensive manner in partnership with state regulatory agencies and policymakers.

Excess nutrients in Northwest Arkansas - Arkansas Acts 1059 and 1061 of 2003 identifies nutrient sensitive areas in the state, designates them as Nutrient Surplus Areas, and requires all nutrient applications (whether manure or commercial fertilizer, or agricultural or residential) to be done according to a nutrient management plan or an approved protective use rate.

Although the forest products industry is one of the largest industries in the State, most of the forest land is owned by non-industrial private forest or family forest landowners. Forest sustainability, forest health, urban encroachment, loss of biodiversity, watershed protection and conservation are issues that serve as a counter-point to forest resource production.

One new issue is the development of alternative energy sources including biomass protection from forests. Although research into bio-fuel production is on going, key questions remain unanswered. As people move to the outlying areas previously managed as working forests, some negative impacts can result including increased risk from wildfire, loss of habitat, loss of biodiversity, and significant changes in ownership patterns, and even changes to vital watershed functions. Although fire protection and the National FireWise programs are active in the state, more research in the wildland-urban interface arena is needed.

2. Scope of the Program

- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- Integrated Research and Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Natural Resources & Environment:

The University of Arkansas, Division of Agriculture will continue to have strong relationships among state and federal natural resource agencies - Evidence: The Division of Agriculture is involved in several formal partnerships such as the Arkansas Conservation Partnership; The Division helps support a Regional Extension liaison to EPA. The University of Arkansas, Division of Agriculture will continue to be well connected to Regional (multi-state) water quality efforts – Evidence: The UA-CES continues to participate to the Southern Regional CSREES project. The University of Arkansas, Division of Agriculture provides solutions to natural resource and environmental concerns through the integrated missions of research, education, and Extension outreach - Evidence: The formation and functioning of the UA Environmental Task Force that meets monthly to quarterly to ensure this integrated approach. The UA-Division of Agriculture will continue to seek financial support in our effort to address the key issues mentioned above - Evidence: During the past 5 years the UA-CES has received over 3.5 million dollars in outside funding. The UA-CES will continue to produce timely educational products - Evidence: The UA-CES led a multi state and federal agency effort to develop the Arkansas Nutrient Management Planning Guide and the Arkansas Nutrient Applicator Guide to help citizens comply with new State nutrient management and application laws.

Forestry:

The University of Arkansas, Division of Agriculture will continue to have strong relationships among state and federal natural resource agencies - Evidence: The Division of Agriculture works with numerous State and Federal Natural Resource Agencies including the Arkansas Forestry Commission and the US Forest Service. The University of Arkansas, Division of Agriculture provides solutions to forest

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 72 of 122

resource management concerns through the integrated missions of research, education, and extension outreach .The UA-CES will continue to produce timely educational products.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Natural Resources & Environment:

Public understanding and support for water resource development and quality protection on a regional and/or watershed basis
Economical and abundant sources of water for sustaining the lives and economic well-being of Arkansas citizens
Continued collection of scientifically defensible data for the analysis of the water quality and quantity circumstance across Arkansas
Development of technologies that provide cost effective alternatives for protecting water quality and increasing water use efficiency
An environmentally defensible state water development and protection strategy for use of the state's water resources, based primarily in a voluntary and incentive driven approach

Water use and quality regulations that protect the resource while not adding a significant financial burden to Arkansas families, business, and industry

An expanded financial capacity to assist local governments and development authorities in building the needed infrastructure to protect water quality and deliver safe drinking water to the consuming public

Interstate communication and cooperation that produces tangible benefits for the cooperating partners in the protection of water quality and supplies

Continued research and public education regarding major sources of water quality degradation and alternative protection strategies

Forest Resources Management Program:

Family forest landowners will understand both the timber and non-timber value of their forest resources. Forest landowners will be equipted to make better-informed decisions concerning the conservation, management, and marketing of their forest resources.

Professional foresters will have the necessary qualifications to attain and retain certification thereby better serving forest landowners of Arkansas.

Youth and adults will understand forest resource management including managing resources in the urban interface.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Vasa	Exte	Extension		esearch	
Year	1862	1890	1862	1890	
2008	15.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	
2009	15.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	
2010	15.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	
2011	15.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	
2012	15.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

4-H Rice for Ducks programs Arkansas Acres for Wildlife program

Develop educational materials, curriculum, & resources

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Geographic Positioning Systems (GPS) training

Site visits, one-on-one consultations

Workshops

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 73 of 122

Field Days
Farm Visits
Demonstrations
Educational Meetings
News-articles
Newsletter
Web-based Education

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension			
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods		
Demonstrations	Web sites		
 Education Class 	 Newsletters 		
Workshop	TV Media Programs		
Group Discussion	Public Service Announcement		
One-on-One Intervention			

3. Description of targeted audience

4-H Club Youth

Agri Business

Row Crop Agricultural Producer Organizations

Row Crop Agricultural Producers

Certified Crop Advisors

Conservation District Directors

Consultants

Forest Landowner Groups

Forest Industry

Loggers

Natural Resource Professionals

Landowners

Homeowners

School Teachers

State & Federal Agency personnel

Watershed Organizations

Wildlife Organizations

Private nutrient applicator

Commercial nutrient applicator

Livestock producers

Livestock industry personnel

Livestock producer organizations

General public

Researchers

Policy makers

Students

Extension faculty & staff

Teaching faculty

Research funding personnel and agencies

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 74 of 122

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	5000	186000	3000	10000
2009	5000	187000	3000	10000
2010	5000	187000	3000	10000
2011	5000	187000	3000	10000
2012	5000	187000	3000	10000

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008:0 **2009**:0 **2010**:0 **2011**:0 **2012**:0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	7	6
2009	7	6
2010	7	6
2011	7	6
2012	7	6

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

Number of plan writers trained

	2008 : 70	2009 :90	2010 : 120	2011 :150	2012 :170			
•	Number of private nutrient applicators trained							
	2008 :1200	2009 :1400	2010 : 1600	2011 :1800	2012 :1900			
•	Number of commercial applications	ators trained						
	2008 :120	2009 :150	2010 : 180	2011 : 200	2012 :210			
•	Number of courses held for re	egistered foresters						
	2008 :13	2009 :14	2010 : 15	2011 :16	2012 :17			
•	Number of Natural Resource	Educational Meetings						
	2008 :45	2009 :45	2010 : 50	2011 :60	2012 :65			

Number of Natural Resource Demonstrations

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 75 of 122

2008:300

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

2009: 400

	2008 :25	2009 :30	2010 : 30	2011 :35	2012 :35				
•	Number of Natural Resource	Field Days							
	2008 :8	2009 :10	2010 : 10	2011 :10	2012 :11				
•	Number of Natural Resources & Environment clientele contacts from education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational methods								
	2008 :8000	2009 :8000	2010 : 8000	2011 :8000	2012 :8000				
•	Number of Natural Resources demonstrations, and other ed		es, workshops, group discussion	ns, one-on-one interventions,					
	2008 : 2290	2009 :2485	2010 : 2811	2011 :2924	2012 :2924				
•	Number of 4-H Rice for Du	cks Program participants							
	2008:25	2009 : 30	2010 : 35	2011 :40	2012 :40				
•	Number of Acres enrolled i	n Arkansas Acres for Wildlife							
	2008 :1700000	2009 :1700200	2010 :1700400	2011 :1700500	2012 :1700600				
•	Number of Natural Resource	ce Workshops							
	2008 :40	2009 :40	2010 :40	2011 :40	2012 :45				
•	Number of Educational Ma	terials & Curriculum delivered	d						
	2008 :8	2009 :10	2010 : 10	2011 :12	2012 :12				
•	Number of Natural Resource	ce Newsletters							
	2008:4	2009 :4	2010 :4	2011:4	2012 :4				
•	Web-Based Education: Nu	umber of web modules, sites							
	2008 :2	2009 :3	2010 : 3	2011:4	2012 :4				
•	Number of Abandoned Pes	sticide Collection Events							
	2008 :5	2009 :5	2010 : 6	2011 :7	2012 :7				
•	 Number of municipal separate storm-sewer system (MS4) jurisdictions educated on stormwater management regulations, planning, and implementation. 								
	2008:4	2009 :6	2010 :8	2011 :9	2012 :10				
V(I)	V(I). State Defined Outcome								
1. C	1. Outcome Target								
Nun	Number of landowners indicating an increased understanding of natural resource management								
2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure									

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 76 of 122

2011 :500

2012:500

2010: 450

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 122 Management and Control of Forest and Range Fires
- 123 Management and Sustainability of Forest Resources
- 124 Urban Forestry
- 133 Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
- 135 Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife

Number of acres impacted by Natural Resources & Environmental educational efforts

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 42000 **2009**: 54000 **2010**: 66000 **2011**: 70000 **2012**: 70500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 122 Management and Control of Forest and Range Fires
- 123 Management and Sustainability of Forest Resources
- 133 Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
- 135 Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

1. Outcome Target

Number of clientele who adopt Best Management Practices in Natural Resource management that protect and enhance water quality.

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 200 **2009** : 250 **2010** : 300 **2011** : 350 **2012** : 400

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 123 Management and Sustainability of Forest Resources
- 133 Pollution Prevention and Mitigation
- 135 Aguatic and Terrestrial Wildlife

1. Outcome Target

Number of Landowners who adopt wildlife management practices that enhance wildlife habitat or prevent & control wildlife damage to property

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 77 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:190 **2009**: 200 **2010**: 210 **2011**:250 **2012**: 250

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 123 Management and Sustainability of Forest Resources
- 135 Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife

1. Outcome Target

Number of pounds of chemicals collected in Abandoned Pesticide Collection Events

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:45000 **2009**: 45500 **2010**: 50000 **2011**:50500 **2012**: 51000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 133 Pollution Prevention and Mitigation

1. Outcome Target

Number of registered foresters maintaining CFEs

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:450 **2009**:500 **2010**:500 **2011**:500 **2012**:500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 122 Management and Control of Forest and Range Fires
- 123 Management and Sustainability of Forest Resources
- 135 Aquatic and Terrestrial Wildlife
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Public Policy changes
- Populations changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)
- Appropriations changes
- Economy
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programatic Challenges
- Government Regulations

Description

Natural resources including forests, water, and wildlife are easily impacted by external forces beyond our control. Wildfires for example, impact all components of the ecosystem resulting in both short and long term effects. How these wildfires are managed, however, is influenced by public policy, agency capacity, and government regulations. Therefore each of the above external factors can influence both the direction and implementation of our Extension programs.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 78 of 122

- During (during program)
- After Only (post program)
- Before-After (before and after program)

Description

Most of evaluation efforts focus on gathering as much input as possible from the audience attending the workshop, meeting, or field day. We may not have access to this audience again, therefore on-site evaluation methods work well. In other situations, for example dissemination of a fact sheet or guide, we have no direct contact with the individual and therefore limited opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness of the educational material.

2. Data Collection Methods

On-Site

Description

On-site data collection is the primary method that will be utilized to collect needs assessment and program evaluation data. Data will be collected prior to, during and following eductional programs for process and outcome evaluation.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 79 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Pest Management

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Insect, weed and disease pests affect all the major agricultural commodities in Arkansas including row-crops (cotton, wheat, rice, soybeans and corn), livestock, poultry, fruit and vegetable crops, ornamental shrubs, trees, and turf. The loss of many traditional low cost animal and crop protection chemicals without replacement by effective alternatives limits available management options. The advent of new limitations to meet the requirements of the Food Quality Protection Act will limit crop protection options further. New discoveries from research on host plant resistance and pest biology continue to provide alternatives, but must be integrated into our crop and animal production systems. Significant work remains to be done to minimize losses from pests, diseases and weeds in all major animal and crop commodities of importance to Arkansas.

Integrated pest management (IPM) is an essential research and educational program that helps agricultural producers and homeowners control pests more efficiently and reduce their reliance on pesticides. Increasing concerns for producers and homeowners include pesticide drift, soil and water quality; pesticide resistance, and the utilization of Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO).

Although most of the destructive pests are endemic, an increasing numbers of problem species are finding their way to the United States from outside our borders. Adapting Division of Agriculture activities to cope with these threats is a primary consideration for planning future pest management research and extension efforts.

Continued use of pesticides can lead to resistance and overuse of pesticides, which can result in contamination of water supplies. Division of Agriculture research scientists and Extension faculty conduct research and train county agents on the latest pest management research. Pest management and pesticide applicator training is then conducted statewide each year by county agents and faculty through various means to inform producers and homeowners about recommended effective and environmentally friendly chemical and non-chemical research based pest management and pesticide use practices.

The human IPM program focuses on the management of insect pests that impact humans, and has resulted in considerable benefit to the citizens of the state. These insect pests pose both direct and indirect threats to human health and well-being, as well as having the potential to adversely impact the value of property.

3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)

4. Program duration: Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds: Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds: Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 211 10% Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 10% Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 30% Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 20% External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 5% Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 15% Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 10% Hazards to Human Health and Safety

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Major row crops, forages, livestock, poultry and fruit, vegetable, turf crops and ornamentals in Arkansas are all intensively managed relative to input costs (pest control, feed, fertilizer, irrigation, etc.), to provide the highest profit margin possible for producers. The monoculture production system in many parts of the state has resulted in a number of severe pest problems. Of

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 80 of 122

particular concern are various plant insect pests, plant diseases, weeds, nematodes, flies, and fire ants. Correct identification of these pests, and the availability of research-based information for control, are of paramount importance for the continued success of our producers.

Each year, many new crop cultivars come to the marketplace, generally with little information on pest or pesticide resistance. A goal of this program is to provide our growers with a rapid and accurate assessment of the resistance of new cultivars to the most common pests. The pest management program strives to provide support to clientele through applied research, leading to field demonstrations, educational materials and updated management recommendations to match local situations.

The intense use of pesticides in these commodities generates substantial market opportunities for pesticide companies, resulting often in the overuse of pesticides by growers and homeowners. In recent years, overuse of pesticides has created increasing problems with pesticide resistant organisms. Farmers and homeowners end up paying for wasted inputs and "super" pests in the short and long term. The incorrect use of pesticides and home pest management remedies can also contaminate surface and ground water.

By federal and state laws, applicators of restricted use pesticides must be certified or work under direct supervision of a certified applicator, who must be periodically recertified by attending educational programs on pesticide safety, IPM, etc. Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi have chosen Pesticide Applicator Training as a multi-state cooperative effort.

As the urban population increases, more emphasis and demand will be placed on growing desirable and profitable horticultural crops for both large businesses and backyard gardeners. Gardening, as a hobby or a necessity, continues to grow in popularity among urban and retired Arkansans. This interest creates an increase in the demand for expertise and training in the area of pest management, which may impact everyone from the backyard gardener to the commercial producer.

The Human IPM program focuses on education of homeowners in proper methods of management of termites, fire ants, cockroaches, bees and other pests of human-health concern. The cases of West Nile Virus peaked at 42 in Arkansas during 2002. After initiation of the Extension education program on mosquito control/disease prevention, human cases of West Nile virus dropped and no deaths were recorded.

2. Scope of the Program

- In-State Extension
- Multistate Extension
- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Research
- Integrated Research and Extension
- In-State Research

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

This program is based on the county agent system and on basic and applied research funded by federal formula funds, grower check-off programs, competitive grants, and industry grants-in-aid. This system has been proven effective since 1914. Growers trust the Division of Agriculture for research-based, unbiased, accurate, and up-to-date information. Well-trained crop consultants are more of a mainstay of the farmers' source of information. Considerable effort has been focused over the last few years in educating this segment of the industry as well as our county agents. Research-based information from field and greenhouse trials is provided to specialists and from them to county agents annually in the fall and early winter for use in educational programs via meetings, newsletters, annual printed and electronic updates and various software programs developed for growers and homeowners statewide. Effective education is best done at the local level by direct contact using experiential methods. This system has been successful in the past but must continually be adjusted as biological factors and production practices evolve.

Since 1976, the Pesticide Safety Education Program has provided the necessary training for certified applicators. Surveys of attendees have indicated that most who attend change their pesticide practices as a result. This program contacts/impacts more pesticide applicators than any other Extension program, but continued success is dependent upon sufficient funding. The program will continue to work only so long as the required funding is provided.

Although county agents have comprehensive knowledge of pest management in major commodities and horticulture crops,

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 81 of 122

emphasis on ornamental and vegetable pests is critical. Agents are making more "house calls" than ever before. Homeowner questions about stresses and diseases in horticultural plants are putting increased demands on Division of Agriculture faculty. Education and training of faculty will better equip faculty to address these problems.

Research demonstrates the benefit of pest management for the support of human health and well-being. This program focuses on increaing recognition and adoption of IPM practices by cliente. We use both direct and indirect methods to educate the public, based on fact-based recommendations.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

To reduce the impact of major pests on animal and crop production systems and urban landscapes in Arkansas.

To make clientele more aware of critical crop insect, disease, and weed problems that they may face in the state, and improve their understanding and management of same.

To reduce accidental pesticide exposures and pesticide complaints, and to promote environmental improvements in agricultural and urban areas in Arkansas.

To reduce overall pesticide use – or at least make pesticide use as efficient as possible, and prevent or minimize periodic disasters caused by pest outbreaks, resulting in highly stable and efficient crop and livestock production.

To provide near-real-time cultivar reaction information to growers and others involved in Arkansas crop production.

To increase the knowledge and awareness of insects, plant diseases and weeds and their impact for urban and commercial clientele and to educate and train Extension personnel to address pest problems.

Consistent application of Division of Agriculture recommendations by the public to reduce incidence of human-health issues caused by insects, while decreasing over-reliance on and misuse of pesticides.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

W	Extension		Research	
Year	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	28.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
2009	28.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
2010	28.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
2011	28.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
2012	28.0	0.0	20.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture research program in pest management will reduce the impacts of major pests by: increasing the knowledge base on major pests, diseases, and weeds of importance to Arkansas; developing improved crop protection strategies and technologies for our major crop systems; and integrating new knowledge in plant and animal genomics and basic science into the development new pest management strategies. Our methods will include grower meetings, training extension agents and crop consultants, educational newsletters, Extension publications, visits to individual growers /homeowners, diagnosis of pest problems, newspaper/magazine /professional journal articles, interviews, field days, web-based information, and/or applied on- farm research.

Extension Pest Management education will be delivered through the following programs and methods, targeting issues specific to Arkansas:

The Cotton Nematode and Disease Management Program supports and assists county extension programs in the state, particularly the Delta region to better identify, understand, and manage major cotton diseases in Arkansas.

The Pesticide Applicator Training Program provides initial certification and recertification training sessions for private and commercial/non-commercial pesticide applicators statewide each year. County agricultural Extension agents provide the training

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 82 of 122

for private applicators (farmers), and the pesticide assessment specialist is responsible for training the commercial/non-commercial applicators.

The Cotton, Rice and Soybean IPM Programs offer simple grant funding for county extension education efforts focused primarily on integrated pest management of cotton, rice and soybean pests. County extension education efforts are aimed at improving crop production and pest management through adoption of research-based recommendations.

The Rice, Soybean, and Wheat Pathology Programs assist county extension programs to educate growers and others involved to better identify, understand and manage the many rice, soybean, and wheat diseases in Arkansas.

The Soybean Cultivar Disease Screening Program assists soybean producers in selecting the most appropriate soybean cultivars for their farms to avoid costly losses from soybean diseases and nematodes.

As part of the Diversified IPM Program, urban and commercial horticulture educational programs are delivered to train urban and commercial vegetable, ornamental, turf and fruit clientele in pest and plant disease management practices.

Human Integrated Pest Management will develop sound recommendations for IPM targeting pests affecting humans, and to deliver the recommendations to a variety of sectors of the public. Pests to be targeted include Africanized bees, termites, and fire ants in residential settings. Delivery methods include presentations at educational meetings and workshops, extension publications and newsletters, web-based materials and visits to households of affected citizens.

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension			
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods		
Group Discussion	Web sites		
Other 2 (Field Days/Diagnostic Services)	Public Service Announcement		
Other 1 (On-Farm Research)	Other 2 (Grant Proposals/File Patents)		
Education Class	Other 1 (Scientific/Technical Publication)		
Demonstrations	Newsletters		
Workshop			
One-on-One Intervention			

3. Description of targeted audience

Crop producers

Livestock producers

Division of Agriculture personnel

Agricultural consultants

Agricultural industry personnel

Pesticide applicators

Pest Control Operators

Homeowners

Golf course superintendents

Commercial pest management personnel

Master gardeners

Commercial landscapers

Landscape management staff

Public Health Officials

Other researchers

Students

Extension Specialists

Research Funding Personnel and Agencies

Policy and Decision Makers

Regulatory Personnel

State Plant Board Personnel

General Public

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 83 of 122

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	78000	65000	0	0
2009	78000	65000	0	0
2010	78000	68000	0	0
2011	78000	68000	0	0
2012	78000	70000	0	0

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008 :3

2009:3

2010:3

2011:3

2012:3

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	45	5
2009	45	5
2010	50	5
2011	50	5
2012	50	7

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• # of one-on-one contacts

of farm visits made

•					
	2008:60000	2009 :60000	2010:60000	2011 :60000	2012 :60000
•	# of field demonstrations				
	2008:375	2009 :380	2010:385	2011 :390	2012 :400
•	# of farm tours				
	2008 :60	2009 :60	2010 : 60	2011 :60	2012 :60
•	# of publications written				
	2008 :15	2009 :15	2010 : 15	2011 :15	2012 :15

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 84 of 122

2008 :6000	2009 :6000	2010 : 6000	2011 :6000	2012 :6000			
 # of pesticide applicator e 	# of pesticide applicator education classes						
2008 :90	2009 :90	2010 :90	2011 :90	2012 :90			
# of homeowner educatio	n classes						
2008 :50	2009 :50	2010 : 50	2011 :50	2012 :50			
# of hits on website							
2008 :4000	2009 :4000	2010 : 4000	2011 :4000	2012 :4000			
# of newsletters							
2008 :420	2009 :420	2010 : 420	2011 :420	2012 :420			
# of research field days							
2008 : 10	2009 :10	2010 : 10	2011 : 10	2012 :10			
# of workshops							
2008 : 15	2009 :15	2010 : 15	2011 :15	2012 :15			
# of newsletter articles							
2008 :65	2009 :65	2010 : 70	2011 :70	2012 :70			
# of Arkansas Commodity	Board grants received						
2008 :25	2009 :25	2010 : 28	2011 :30	2012 :30			
# of federal grants and co	ntracts						
2008 :20	2009 :20	2010 : 25	2011 :25	2012 :25			
# of educational classes							
2008 : 224	2009 :224	2010 : 224	2011 :224	2012 :224			
 # of Pest Management cli demonstrations, and othe 		on classes, workshops, group d	liscussions, one-on-one intervent	tions,			
2008 : 78000	2009 :78000	2010 : 78000	2011 : 78000	2012 :78000			
 # of Pest Management ed educational events 	lucation classes, workshops,	group discussions, one-on-one	e interventions, demonstrations, a	and other			
2008 :66824	2009 :66829	2010 : 66834	2011 :66834	2012 :66834			

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

Refereed Journal Publications

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 85 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:50 **2010**:55 **2011**:55 **2012**:55

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of participants becoming aware of IPM strategies

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:4000 **2009**: 4000 **2010**: 4000 **2011**:4000 **2012**: 4000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of participants intending to adopt IPM practices

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:4000 **2009**: 4000 **2010**: 4000 **2011**:4000 **2012**: 4000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of participants gaining knowledge of IPM practices

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 86 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:4000 **2009**:4000 **2010**:4000 **2011**:4000 **2012**:4000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of participants gaining knowledge of proper pesticide application practices

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:920 **2009**: 920 **2010**: 920 **2011**:920 **2012**: 920

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of participants passing commercial pesticide certification exams

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:600 **2009**:650 **2010**:700 **2011**:700 **2012**:725

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of producers adopting one or more IPM practices

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 87 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:4000 **2009**: 4000 **2010**: 4000 **2011**:4000 **2012**: 4000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of homeowners adopting one or more IPM practices

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:240 **2009**: 250 **2010**: 270 **2011**:300 **2012**: 330

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of participants adopting one or more proper pesticide application practices

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 920 **2009** : 920 **2010** : 920 **2011** : 920 **2012** : 920

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of diagnostic submissions

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 88 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 770 **2009** : 780 **2010** : 780 **2011** : 790 **2012** : 800

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of producers using computer-assisted programs

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:650 **2009**:650 **2010**:650 **2011**:650 **2011**:650 **2012**:650

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of clients using scouting programs

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008: 258 **2009**: 260 **2010**: 270 **2011**: 280 **2012**: 290

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of clientele that have adopted IPM-related practices

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 89 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:50 **2010**:50 **2011**:60 **2012**:60

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of pest monitoring traps utilized

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:216 **2009**: 220 **2010**: 230 **2011**:250 **2012**: 270

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

of business start ups

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:2 **2009**:1 **2010**:2 **2011**:1 **2012**:2

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 312 External Parasites and Pests of Animals
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse
- 721 Insects and Other Pests Affecting Humans
- 723 Hazards to Human Health and Safety

1. Outcome Target

Annual soybean yield - bushels per acre

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 90 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 38 **2009** : 38 **2010** : 38 **2011** : 38 **2011** : 38

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

Annual value of soybean production (1,000 Dollars)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 791094 **2009**: 791094 **2010**: 791094 **2011**: 791094 **2012**: 791094

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

Annual rice (all) yield -- pounds per acre

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:6610 **2009**: 6610 **2010**: 6610 **2011**:6610 **2012**: 6610

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

Annual value of rice (all) production (1,000 dollars)

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 740648 **2009**: 740648 **2010**: 740648 **2011**: 740648 **2012**: 740648

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

Annual cotton (all) yield -- pounds per acre

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 91 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 916 **2009** : 916 **2010** : 916 **2011** : 916 **2012** : 916

- 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)
 - 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
 - 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
 - 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
 - 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

% of soybean acreage receiving herbicide applications

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:95 **2009**:95 **2010**:95 **2011**:95 **2012**:95

- 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)
 - 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
 - 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
 - 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
 - 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

Pounds (1,000) of herbicides applied to planted soybean acreage

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 4152 **2009**: 4152 **2010**: 4152 **2011**: 4152 **2012**: 4152

- 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)
 - 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
 - 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
 - 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
 - 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

% of soybean acreage receiving insecticide applications

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:14 **2009**: 14 **2010**: 14 **2011**:14 **2012**: 14

- 3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)
 - 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
 - 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
 - 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
 - 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

Pounds (1,000) of insecticides applied to planted soybean acreage

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 92 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 344 **2009** : 344 **2010** : 344 **2011** : 344 **2012** : 344

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

% of soybean acreage receiving fungicide applications

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:8 **2009**:8 **2010**:8 **2011**:8 **2012**:8

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

1. Outcome Target

Pounds (1,000) of fungicides applied to planted soybean acreage

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 21 **2009** : 21 **2010** : 21 **2011** : 21 **2012** : 21

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 211 Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants
- 212 Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants
- 216 Integrated Pest Management Systems
- 403 Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Other (NASS)
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Government Regulations
- Appropriations changes
- Competing Programatic Challenges
- Public Policy changes
- Economy
- Competing Public priorities

Description

Pest Management program outcomes will be influenced by changes in the current Farm Bill affecting payments to farmers, land grant university funding from CSREES, increasing fuel costs, downturns in the economy and extreme weather conditions. Any or all of these factors will cause anticipated projected outcome results to vary widely.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 93 of 122

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Before-After (before and after program)
- During (during program)
- After Only (post program)
- Other (NASS)

Description

Longitudinal evaluation will be conducted by subcomponents of this program through various research based methods. Comprehensive programmatic and departmental reviews for research, extension and teaching programs are conducted on a five to seven year cycle.

The Pest Management impact evaluation includes a new long-term indicator: "Sustained acreage on which integrated methods are adopted and implemented resulting in improved environmental health." Data will be collected on this indicator beginning FY2007, and will continue each year through 2011. No comprehensive historical data exists for this indicator in Arkansas; therefore, there is no valid basis for reasonable adoption projections. Due to this, no projects were submitted in the plan for this indicator.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Observation
- Telephone
- Other (NASS)
- Unstructured
- On-Site
- Tests
- Sampling
- Mail

Description

Data will be collected from producers, consultants and other agricultural practitioners, through telephone and mail surveys, questionnaires at producer meetings and other on-site visits. and by observations to be made by Extension faculty. Indirect methods of collecting data will include those data gleaned from NASS.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 94 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Plants & Plant Products

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Arkansas ranks as one of the primary agricultural states in the nation. Row crops are grown on over six million acres of farm land and, along with forestry, contribute over \$3 billion to the state's economy annually. Arkansas is the largest producer of rice in the nation and is a major producer of soybeans, cotton, soft red winter wheat, and grain sorghum. Although small in size, fruits and vegetables are a significant and growing industry. Turf, ornamentals and landscape plants are the fastest growing segment of the agricultural industry nationally. Both large and small farms continue to be an important part of the state's economy.

The University of Arkansas, Division of Agriculture strives to increase efficiency of crop and forage production through research and education focusing on best management practices, to ensure that producers remain competitive in the global economy, while protecting our natural resources. Research and educational programs addressing cultivar/hybrid development and selection, soil fertility requirements, production practices, timing of inputs, crop rotation benefits, and irrigation timing are key factors involved in increased crop yields that were seen in the state this past year. Areas of educational emphasis included variety selection, groundwater management and conservation, nutrient management, and controlling of diseases.

Soil, plant, water and nutrient relationships are key factors involved in increasing the efficiency of crop production. Soil testing is the foundation of a sound fertility program and nearly 100,000 soil samples are received at the Soil Lab at Marianna. Soil testing not only provides a guide to develop fertilizer recommendations for the intended crops, but also, together with plant analysis, can aid in the identification of potential problems.

Plant management systems are key to helping producers who are often challenged by the large volume of varieties/hybrids available to find varieties/hybrids that will perform well on their farm. In 2006, 78 wheat varieties, 82 corn hybrids, 26 grain sorghum hybrids, 158 soybean varieties, 23 rice varieties/hybrids, and 75 cotton varieties were tested in the Arkansas Variety Testing program. The Cotton Verification Program demonstrated that variety selection can improve income per acre by an average of \$100 and that proper timing of cotton harvesting returned \$50 to \$75 per acre.

Horticulture (commercial production and service industries and recreational/home clientele) contributes to the state's economy and improves the quality of the environment and the quality of life lives for many Arkansans. Existing and new horticulture businesses and farms require training and exposure in new plants and production methods to stay competitive and to develop best management practices. Consumers require training and education in various aspects of home horticulture.

The forage production research and management programs provide research-based information through non-formal educational methods for the sustainability of agricultural production systems to improve Arkansans quality of life.

3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)

4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds: Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 95 of 122

- 101 10% Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 10% Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 10% Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 10% Watershed Protection and Management
- 201 10% Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 203 10% Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 10% Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 10% Plant Management Systems
- 206 10% Basic Plant Biology
- 213 10% Weeds Affecting Plants

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Agriculture is a very large and diverse industry in Arkansas. Programs in cotton, rice, wheat, soybean, corn, and grain sorghum are crucial to making Arkansas highly competitive in the global economy. While the 2006 state rice average yield the second best average yield on record, Arkansas rice producers continue to face many challenges in order to produce a profitable crop and maintain sustainability of the land. In 2006, Arkansas ranked second in the US in cotton production. Soybeans remain the largest (based on planted acreage) row-crop. Since wheat continues to be a profitable crop for many producers, especially on acres where irrigation of row crops is not possible, Arkansas wheat producers are always looking for management practices to reduce production costs and still be able to produce economical high-yielding wheat. A key factor in maintaining high yields and consequently increased competitiveness and profitability in row crops is weed control. Weed control continues to be a key management decision that rice, corn, wheat and soybean farmers face each season. After variety selection, it is often the first management decision made each year. Failure to control weeds can often nullify other concerns as weed competition has the potential to completely rob crops of profitability. In turf and pasture management, for example, lack of effective weed control is preventing many farmers from taking advantage of the new seeded varieties and the accompanying cost of establishment savings.

Extension and research faculty also work together to identify and implement best management practices in horticulture enterprises and systems, to educate fruit, vegetable, ornamentals, turfgrass, commercial, and consumer clientele to enhance economic development in Arkansas, and to develop a system that is highly competitive in the regional and global economy.

Arkansas' climate and most of its soil and terrain are suited for the production of grasses and legumes necessary to support the livestock industries. Primary forages include tall fescue, clover and bermudagrass. Over 4.6 million acres of pastureland and 1.4 million acres of hay land (total 6 million acres) are managed to enhance livestock production and land stewardship. Livestock producers will benefit from forage management production programs to improve production efficiency and returns.

2. Scope of the Program

- In-State Extension
- Integrated Research and Extension
- Multistate Integrated Research and Extension
- In-State Research
- Multistate Research
- Multistate Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

While average yields for most commodities grown in Arkansas have increased significantly, Arkansas producers continue to face many challenges in order to produce profitable crops and maintain sustainability of land. The most significant issues include optimum variety selection, diminishing irrigation water quantity, integrated pest management issues, nutrient management, and soil conservation. Many of these issues are addressed through education programs and various verification programs which are providing growers with key recommendations for efficient production, weed control issues, and other resources. Cooperative efforts with grower groups, commodity boards, regulatory agencies, and other organizations also provide valuable feedback in programming on a regional and statewide basis. On-farm research results generate data from which recommendations are derived.

The majority of county Extension Councils in Arkansas have identified horticulture as a major emphasis area for their long range educational program. Horticulture inquiries are an increasing demand on the county agent's time and few have training in these diverse

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 96 of 122

subjects. With support from the state horticulture staff, they will be able to better serve their clientele. New and existing horticultural production and service industries require on-going research and educational assistance in developing and adapting new technology and best management practices.

Because of the abundance of natural resources (water, land, etc.), livestock production will continue to be a major industry in Arkansas. The Cooperative Extension Service continues to develop programming needs through a grass-roots programming effort. Therefore, identifying and implementing programs needed by the producing clientele will address their needs. Livestock producers will face ever changing challenges, and they will look to the UA Division of Agriculture to help them face those challenges.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

- Develop crop production systems that are sustainable, profitable, and competitive in the global marketplace
- Collaborate with industry, commodity groups, etc., to facilitate technology development and adoption
- Initiate cooperative work among scientific disciplines to fine-tune the best management practices over a variety of geographic regions
- Investigate and address concerns, as they emerge
- Continue to support strategic partnerships that create value-added benefits for Arkansas' environment and its people
- Expand programs for effective sustainable agriculture systems
- Increase and enhance horticulture knowledge and expertise of commercial and consumer audiences and extension staff
- Increase number of and improve both quality and profitability of commercial horticulture operations in the state
- Increase forage production efficiency

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Year	Extension		Research		
	1862	1890	1862	1890	
2008	69.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
2009	69.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
2010	69.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
2011	69.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
2012	69.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

- Develop and conduct workshops, educational meetings, demonstrations, and field days
- Direct clientele contact: on- site visits, phone calls, mail and emails
- Develop and produce educational products and materials
- Conduct tours and demonstrations
- Conduct discovery and applied research
- Publish educational materials
- Provide diagnostic services
- Media work through print, radio, TV and internet
- Partnering with commodity associations, groups, Master Gardeners, and traditional and nontraditional groups
- Coordination of Master Gardener programs
- Develop improved crop production systems that maximize profitability and sustainability

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 97 of 122

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension			
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods		
 Education Class Demonstrations Other 2 (Soil/Water Testing) Workshop Group Discussion Other 1 (Farm Visits/Field Days) One-on-One Intervention 	 Newsletters Web sites Other 1 (Grants/Prof.Publications) 		

3. Description of targeted audience

Growers/producers

Consultants

Agri Business/Allied Industries

Horticulture production and Service Businesses

Master Gardeners

General Public

Other researchers

Students

Extension Specialists

Teaching faculty

Research funding personnel and agencies

Public

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

Direct Contacts Adults		Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth	
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target	
2008	195500	424500	0	0	
2009	201000	430000	0	0	
2010	206500	436000	0	0	
2011	212500	442000	0	0	
2012	217500	448000	0	0	

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008:10 **2009**:10 **2010**:10 **2011**:10 **2012**:10

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 98 of 122

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	100	0
2009	100	0
2010	100	0
2011	100	0
2012	100	0

$V(\mbox{H}).$ State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

	-						
•	# of agronomic production education meetings (multi-topic) **The content of the						
	2008 :240	2009 :245	2010 : 250	2011:255	2012 :260		
•	# of production education meetings that address fertilizer, soil and water management **Tendent Control of the Control of th						
	2008:27	2009 :30	2010 : 30	2011 :32	2012 :34		
•	# of production education meetings that address variety selection						
	2008 :20	2009 :21	2010 :22	2011 : 24	2012 :26		
•	# of production education meetings that address plant monitoring and nutrition						
	2008 :13	2009 :15	2010 : 18	2011 :21	2012 :24		
•	# of production meetings that	address soil and water testing					
	2008 :10	2009 :12	2010 : 14	2011 :16	2012 :18		
•	# of production education meetings that address variety/hybrid selection consultations **Tendent Consultation** **Tende						
	2008 :38	2009 :39	2010 :40	2011:41	2012 ;42		
•	# of demonstrations/on-farm research						
	2008 :190	2009 :190	2010 : 192	2011 :193	2012 :194		
•	# of farm visits						
	2008:364	2009 :364	2010 : 364	2011 :364	2012 :364		
•	# of field days						
	2008 :51	2009 :51	2010 : 51	2011 :51	2012 :51		
•	# of informal surveys of participants to measure culture practices # of informal surveys of participants to measure culture practices						
	2008 :18	2009 :20	2010 : 20	2011 :21	2012 :22		

clientele in horticulture

of educational meetings, demonstrations, field days, site visits, and other group events held to educate commercial and consumer

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 99 of 122

2008:550 2009:600 2010:650 2011:650 2012:650 # of educational meetings, demonstrations, farm visits and/or field days held to educate clientele on forage production and grazing management 2008:2500 2009 :2500 2010:2600 2011:2600 2012:2600 # of hits to plant and plant products web-based educational material 2009:7000 2008:6500 2010:7500 2011:8000 2012:9000 # of Arkansas Commodity Board Grants received 2008:50 2009:50 2010:50 2011:50 2012:50 # of federal grants and contracts 2008:25 2009:25 2010:25 2012:25 **2011**:25 # of Plants & Plant Products clientele contacts from education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational methods 2008:190274 2009 :195500 2010:201000 2011:206500 2012 :212500

V(I). State Defined Outcome

educational events **2008**:4002

1. Outcome Target

of commercial forage producers who gained awareness related to management technology

2009:4064

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008 : 200 **2009** : 200 **2010** : 200 **2011** : 200 **2012** : 200

of Plants & Plant Products education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other

2010:4222

2011:4237

2012:4237

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

of commercial forage producers who gained knowledge related to production practices

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 100 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008 : 200 **2009** : 200 **2010** : 200 **2011** : 200 **2012** : 200

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

of new Master Gardeners trained and certified

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:700 **2009**: 700 **2010**: 700 **2011**:700 **2012**: 700

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

of participants who changed or adopted a new commercial forage management practice

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:55 **2009**:60 **2010**:65 **2011**:70 **2012**:75

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 101 of 122

- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

of participants who changed or adopted a new forage and/or grazing management practice

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:500 **2009**:500 **2010**:500 **2011**:500 **2012**:500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

of clientele who select improved varieties

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:9900 **2009**: 9950 **2010**: 9990 **2011**:10000 **2012**: 10100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

of clientele using soil testing

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 102 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 8750 **2009** : 8760 **2010** : 8775 **2011** : 8880 **2012** : 8900

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

of clientele using plant testing

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:650 **2009**:655 **2010**:660 **2011**:665 **2012**:670

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

of clientele using water testing

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:85 **2009**:90 **2010**:95 **2011**:100 **2012**:105

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management

1. Outcome Target

of impacted acres using soil testing

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:30100000 **2009**: 30200000 **2010**: 30300000 **2011**:30400000 **2012**: 30500000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 103 of 122

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management

of impacted acres using plant testing

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008: 146000 **2009**: 147000 **2010**: 147000 **2011**: 148000 **2012**: 150000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

of impacted acres using water testing

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 26700 **2009** : 26800 **2010** : 26900 **2011** : 27000 **2012** : 27100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 205 Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Forage testing submissions

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:75 **2009**: 75 **2010**: 75 **2011**:75 **2011**:75 **2012**: 75

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 104 of 122

of producers using strip-grazing for their stockpiled forages

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 22 **2009** : 22 **2010** : 22 **2011** : 22 **2012** : 22

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology

1. Outcome Target

of clientele (non-duplicated) who use the DD50 program for improved production efficiency

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:1780 **2009**: 1800 **2010**: 1800 **2011**:1800 **2012**: 1850

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology

1. Outcome Target

of impacted acres using the DD50 program for improved production efficency

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008: 712500 **2009**: 713000 **2010**: 713500 **2011**: 714000 **2012**: 714500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology

1. Outcome Target

of clientele using RICESEED program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:250 **2009**: 255 **2010**: 260 **2011**:265 **2012**: 270

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 105 of 122

of acres planted based on ouput from RICESEED program

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:56000 **2009**: 56500 **2010**: 56600 **2011**:57000 **2012**: 58000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology

1. Outcome Target

of Master Gardeners who recertified

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:500 **2009**:500 **2010**:500 **2011**:500 **2012**:500

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

Business start ups

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:0 **2009**:0 **2010**:0 **2011**:0 **2012**:1

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 106 of 122

of new horticultural businesses and new farmers markets

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:2 **2009**:2 **2010**:2 **2011**:2 **2012**:2

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Acres of harvested wheat (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:570000 **2009**: 570000 **2010**: 570000 **2011**:570000 **2012**: 570000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

Yield (bushels) of harvested wheat (all)

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:50 **2010**:50 **2011**:50 **2012**:50

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 107 of 122

Price (bushel) of harvested wheat (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:3 **2009**:3 **2010**:3 **2011**:3 **2012**:3

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Value of production of harvested wheat (all)

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:87780000 **2009**: 87780000 **2010**: 87780000 **2011**:87780000 **2012**: 87780000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Acres of harvested soybeans (all)

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:2890000 **2009**: 2890000 **2010**: 2890000 **2011**:2890000 **2012**: 2890000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 203 Plant Biological Efficiency and Abiotic Stresses Affecting Plants
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

Yield (bushels) of harvested soybeans

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:38 **2009**:38 **2010**:38 **2011**:38 **2011**:38 **2012**:38

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Price (per bushel) of harvested soybeans

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:7 **2009**:7 **2010**:7 **2011**:7 **2012**:7

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 108 of 122

205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Value of production of harvested soybeans (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 791094000 **2009**: 791094000 **2010**: 791094000 **2011**: 791094000 **2012**: 791094000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Acres of harvested rice (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 1455000 **2009**: 1455000 **2010**: 1455000 **2011**: 1455000 **2012**: 1455000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

Yield (pounds) of harvested rice (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:6610 **2009**: 6610 **2010**: 6610 **2011**:6610 **2012**: 6610

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Price (dollars/cwt) of harvested rice (all)

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:7 **2009**:7 **2010**:7 **2011**:7 **2012**:7

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Value of production of harvested hay (all)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 109 of 122

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 740648000 **2009**: 740648000 **2010**: 740648000 **2011**: 740648000 **2012**: 740648000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

Acres of harvested cotton (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 945000 **2009**: 945000 **2010**: 945000 **2011**: 945000 **2012**: 945000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 201 Plant Genome, Genetics, and Genetic Mechanisms
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

Yield (pounds) of harvested cotton (all)

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:916 **2009**: 916 **2010**: 916 **2011**:916 **2012**: 916

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Total production (bales) of harvested cotton (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:1804000 **2009**: 1804000 **2010**: 1804000 **2011**:1804000 **2012**: 1804000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 110 of 122

205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Acres harvested of hay (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008: 1340000 **2009**: 1340000 **2010**: 1340000 **2011**: 1340000 **2012**: 1340000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 204 Plant Product Quality and Utility (Preharvest)
- 205 Plant Management Systems
- 206 Basic Plant Biology
- 213 Weeds Affecting Plants

1. Outcome Target

Yield (tons)of harvested hay (all)

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 2 **2009** : 2 **2010** : 2 **2011** : 2 **2012** : 2

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

205 - Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Price (per ton) of harvested hay

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:55 **2009**:55 **2010**:55 **2011**:55 **2012**:55

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 101 Appraisal of Soil Resources
- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 205 Plant Management Systems

1. Outcome Target

Value of production of harvested hay (all)

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:148631000 **2009**: 148631000 **2010**: 148631000 **2011**:148631000 **2012**: 148631000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 205 - Plant Management Systems

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 111 of 122

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Economy
- Populations changes (immigration,new cultural groupings,etc.)
- Government Regulations
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Public Policy changes
- Appropriations changes
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programatic Challenges

Description

Program outcomes will be influenced by changes in the current Farm Bill affecting payments to farmers, land grant university funding from CSREES, increasing fuel costs, downturns in the economy and extremem weather conditions. Any or all of these factors will cause anticipated projected outcomes to vary widely.

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- After Only (post program)
- Case Study
- Comparisons between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity.
- Retrospective (post program)
- Time series (multiple points before and after program)
- During (during program)
- Before-After (before and after program)
- Other (NASS)
- Comparisons between program participants (individuals,group,organizations) and non-participants
- Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention

Description

Comprehensive program and departmental evaluation reviews for research, extension and teaching programs are conducted on a five to seven year cycle by various research based evaluation methods. Data on shifts in production methods, acreage, cropping systems, and enrollment will be compared to historic levels and trends.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Other (NASS)
- Whole population
- Unstructured
- Sampling
- On-Site
- Tests
- Mail
- Telephone
- Structured
 Structured
- Case StudyObservation
- Journals

Description

Data will be collected from producers, consultants, industry representatives, and other individuals involved with agriculture through on-site informal surveys and questionnaires at production meetings, field days, and on-site visits. Mail and telephone surveys, will also be utilized along with observations made by Extension faculty. Indirect methods of collecting data will include web page visits on Extension website pages and practice change data taken from the National Agriculture Statistics Service.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 112 of 122

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Technology & Engineering

2. Brief summary about Planned Program

Crops, livestock and poultry are key components of Agricultural production and are significantly important to Arkansas. The application of technology and engineering is very critical for achieving economical production while protecting and conserving natural resources and the environment. This program involves the implementation of technology and engineering to address problems and challenges associated with Agricultural production. The primary aspects of this program involve livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application and crop processing.

Division of Agriculture extension faculty design and develop software decision tools which provide key information for the support of Agricultural production efficiency and environmental stewardship.

With new and growing challenges, effective youth development is more important than ever. The Division of Agriculture's 4-H youth development program can have a significant statewide impact through technology education programs.

3. Program existence : Mature (More then five years)4. Program duration : Long-Term (More than five years)

5. Expending formula funds or state-matching funds : Yes

6. Expending other than formula funds or state-matching funds: Yes

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

- 102 11% Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 12% Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 4% Watershed Protection and Management
- 132 1% Weather and Climate
- 402 12% Engineering Systems and Equipment
- 404 5% Instrumentation and Control Systems
- 405 10% Drainage and Irrigation Systems and Facilities
- 512 10% Quality Maintenance in Storing and Marketing Non-Food Products
- 601 5% Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 605 5% Natural Resource and Environmental Economics
- 806 25% Youth Development

V(C). Planned Program (Situation and Scope)

1. Situation and priorities

Arkansas producers irrigate approximately 4.5 million acres and are very dependent on irrigation to produce the yields and quality of crops necessary to make a profit and remain solvent. Some areas are facing a decline in irrigation water resources and all producers struggle with significant increases in irrigation pumping costs and a shortage in dependable and affordable labor. Technology and engineering practices can be used to help producers improve water management on their farms and reduce costs while conserving and protecting natural resources and the environment.

Confined animal feeding operations that use liquid manure handling systems are required to obtain a permit for manure handling. Permit elements include nutrient management, specified application sites, maximum application rates, annual training for owner/operators and annual reporting requirements. Livestock and poultry operations with dry manure systems are encouraged to voluntarily implement manure management BMPs and attend CES education programs. Regulatory requirements are in the process of changing and the implementation of technology and engineering to livestock and poultry production will be needed to address the changes. The cost of fertilizer and pesticides along with the concern for protecting the environment make it imperative that these products are properly and safely applied. Misapplication can result in crop loss, fines, litigation and the loss of products that are needed for Agricultural production. The implementation of technology and engineering systems that provide for proper and safe application of these products is critical.

Crop processing includes harvest, storage and drying of grain crops. On-farm crop processing can provide the potential for increased

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 113 of 122

profits by saving on custom charges and through better marketing. The application of technology and engineering to on-farm crop processing is essential.

Extension faculty develop software decision tools for clientele to run on home/office computers or through interactive web pages. These tools incorporate research based information that supports production efficiency and environmental stewardship.

The "Access the Future Coalition" was formed at the 2000 National 4-H Conference to coordinate the partnership of 4-H youth and adults with organizations to slam shut the Digital Divide. Digital Divide is a term to describe the separation of our country's technology haves and have-nots due to disparities in accessing and using information technology. Youth from throughout the nation gathered to identify issues of concern to youth and the "Access the Future Coalition" is the 4-H youth response for action to address these issues. Both USDA and CSREES have declared the addressing of the Digital Divide as a priority.

2. Scope of the Program

- In-State Extension
- Integrated Research and Extension

V(D). Planned Program (Assumptions and Goals)

1. Assumptions made for the Program

Clientele will adopt and implement technology and engineering practices that are presented in a way that allows them to see the benefits that are derived. They are more likely to adopt practices that have been researched and effectively demonstrated on-farm under conditions that they feel are similar to their situation. Similarly, they relate better to the comments and experiences that others share related to practices that they tried on their farm. This program involves presenting information at meetings and through publications and web sites. It also includes working on-farm with the implementation of technology and engineering practices that improve Agricultural production and preserve and protect natural resources and the environment. Previous experiences from on-farm efforts show a much higher acceptance and adoption rate by the cooperating producer as well as the producers in the area. This is due to the opportunity that other producers have to gain more first hand knowledge of what is being done on a nearby farm similar to theirs. It is also the result of the information from the on-farm work being shared in educational meetings in a way that growers can see how it can apply to their farming operation. The on-farm approach also allows the investigators the opportunity to gain more experience in making adjustments that may be necessary due to the many variables involved with doing on-farm demonstrations.

Arkansas producers use the research-based decision tools to manage the selection of variety, determine seeding rates, manage critical event dates, analyze irrigation needs and organize soil, water, manure and forage testing results. Producers using the Farm Management decision tool accumulate the necessary data required by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ). The report output from the program has been endorsed as an accepted format for submission to ADEQ.

The goals of the Arkansas 4-H Technology Team are to introduce 4-H members to various careers in technology, to learn new skills in technology, to network with other 4-H members who are interested in technology and to complete a community service project that is technology related. The team can learn about GPS, digital photography or even forensic science with hands-on lessons at the workshop. The lessons are given by various career professionals in the technology field. Members of the Arkansas 4-H Technology Team meet to discuss future plans for community service projects and educational workshops.

2. Ultimate goal(s) of this Program

Increase the number of clientele who adopt technology and engineering practices that improve agricultural production and preserve and protect natural resources and the environment.

More efficient management of resources and time in Arkansas agribusiness by a better informed clientele who use decision support programs and software tools.

The goals of 4-H technology educational programs include providing Arkansas youth with opportunities to become skilled in the safe and effective use of information technology and its applications and the ability to apply their technical skill and knowledge as a tool to enhance their education, career opportunities, contributions to community and personal life.

V(E). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Estimated Number of professional FTE/SYs to be budgeted for this Program

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 114 of 122

Year	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
2008	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2009	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2010	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2011	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2012	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

V(F). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Activity for the Program

Conduct field tours, work shops, educational meetings and farm visits

Produce publications and post information to web site

Conduct on-farm demonstrations

Develop and release CES decision support tools

Conduct non-duplicated 4-H Youth technology and engineering programs

2. Type(s) of methods to be used to reach direct and indirect contacts

Extension					
Direct Methods	Indirect Methods				
Group Discussion	Web sites				
One-on-One Intervention	 Newsletters 				
Workshop					
 Demonstrations 					
Education Class					

3. Description of targeted audience

Row crop producers, livestock producers, poultry producers, landowners, consultants, pesticide applicators, agricultural agencies and businesses and other interested groups
4-H Youth

V(G). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons(contacts) to be reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
2008	3000	1100	550	700
2009	3000	1200	600	700
2010	3000	1200	600	700
2011	3000	1200	600	700
2012	3000	1200	600	700

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 115 of 122

2. (Standard Research Target) Number of Patents

Expected Patents

2008:0 **2009**:0 **2010**:0 **2011**:0 **2012**:0

3. Expected Peer Review Publications

Year	Research Target	Extension Target
2008	0	0
2009	0	0
2010	0	0
2011	0	0
2012	0	0

V(H). State Defined Outputs

1. Output Target

• # of any CES on-farm demonstrations of livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2008:30 **2009**:30 **2010**:30 **2011**:30 **2012**:30

 # of any CES Field Tours of livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2008:10 **2009**:10 **2010**:10 **2011**:10 **2012**:10

 # of any CES sponsored Educational Meetings addressing livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2008:50 **2009**:50 **2010**:50 **2011**:50 **2012**:50

 # Attending any CES sponsored Educational Meetings addressing livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2008:2500 **2009**:2500 **2010**:2500 **2011**:2500 **2011**:2500 **2012**:2500

 # of publications that include information on livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2008;10 **2009**;10 **2010**;10 **2011**;10 **2012**;10

 # of postings to web sites of information on livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2008:5 **2009**:5 **2010**:5 **2011**:5 **2012**:5

of CES software decision tools delivered

2008:100 **2009**:100 **2010**:100 **2011**:100 **2011**:100 **2012**:100

of county 4-H Tech Teams

2008:15 **2009**:15 **2010**:15 **2011**:18 **2012**:18

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 116 of 122

• # of state 4-H Tech Team workshops

2008:6 **2009**:6 **2010**:6 **2011**:6 **2012**:6

Of participants at the Arkansas 4-H Technology Conference

2008:40 **2009**:40 **2010**:50 **2011**:50 **2012**:50

• # of participants enrolled in the 4-H GPS and Nature Mapping Program

2008:110 **2009**:120 **2010**:150 **2011**:150 **2012**:150

 # Of Technology & Engineering clientele contacts from education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational methods

2008:3550 **2009**:3600 **2010**:3600 **2011**:3600 **2012**:3600

 # of Technology & Engineering education classes, workshops, group discussions, one-on-one interventions, demonstrations, and other educational events

2008 : 265 **2009** : 255 **2010** : 255 **2011** : 255 **2011** : 255

 # Attending any CES Field Tours of livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2008;200 **2009**;200 **2010**;200 **2011**;200 **2011**;200 **2012**;200

• # of cooperators involved in CES on-farm demonstrations of livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2012:30

2008:30 **2009**:30 **2010**:30 **2011**:30

V(I). State Defined Outcome

1. Outcome Target

of people who increased their knowledge after attending any CES sponsored educational meeting, field tour or on-farm demonstration addressing livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:1130 **2009**: 1130 **2010**: 1130 **2011**:1130 **2012**: 1130

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

102 - Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships

• 111 - Conservation and Efficient Use of Water

• 112 - Watershed Protection and Management

• 402 - Engineering Systems and Equipment

404 - Instrumentation and Control Systems

405 - Drainage and Irrigation Systems and Facilities

512 - Quality Maintenance in Storing and Marketing Non-Food Products

601 - Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management

605 - Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 117 of 122

1. Outcome Target

of people who adopted or implemented a recommendation after attending any CES sponsored educational meeting, field tour or on-farm demonstration addressing livestock and poultry manure management, drainage and irrigation water management, fertilizer and pesticide application or crop processing

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:90 **2009**: 105 **2010**: 110 **2011**: 125 **2012**: 135

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 402 Engineering Systems and Equipment
- 404 Instrumentation and Control Systems
- 405 Drainage and Irrigation Systems and Facilities
- 512 Quality Maintenance in Storing and Marketing Non-Food Products
- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

1. Outcome Target

of county 4-H Tech Team members who increased their knowledge related to use of technology

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:100 **2009**: 100 **2010**: 100 **2011**:100 **2012**: 100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

of participants in 4-H GPS and Nature Mapping program who increased their knowledge of careers that use GPS

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:120 **2009**: 120 **2010**: 120 **2011**:120 **2012**: 120

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

% of participants enrolled in the 4-H GPS and Nature Mapping Program that used GPS for the first time during the program

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:50 **2010**:50 **2011**:50 **2011**:50 **2012**:50

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

% of participants enrolled in the 4-H GPS and Nature Mapping program that reported learning enough about GPS during the program to use it on their own

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 118 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:50 **2009**:50 **2010**:50 **2011**:50 **2012**:50

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

% of participants enrolled in the 4-H GPS and Nature Mapping program that plan to use GPS again in the future

2. Outcome Type: Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008 : 80 **2009** : 80 **2010** : 80 **2011** : 80 **2012** : 80

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

of 4-H Youth participants who learned "Wise Use of Resources" life skill

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008 : 250 **2009** : 275 **2010** : 300 **2011** : 325 **2012** : 350

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

of 4-H Youth participants who learned "Decision Making" life skill

2. Outcome Type : Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

2008:100 **2009**: 100 **2010**: 100 **2011**:100 **2012**: 100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

of county 4-H Tech Team members who completed a community service project using technology

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:30 **2009**:30 **2010**:30 **2011**:30 **2012**:30

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

of 4-H Journals completed in technology and engineering

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:30 **2009**: 30 **2010**: 30 **2011**:30 **2012**: 30

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

of 4-H Youth projects completed in technology and engineering

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 119 of 122

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008 : 30 **2009** : 30 **2010** : 30 **2011** : 30 **2012** : 30

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

of non-duplicated 4-H Youth participating in technology and engineering events

2. Outcome Type: Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:100 **2009**:100 **2010**:100 **2011**:100 **2012**:100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

Average water savings in % in MIRI fields

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 23 **2009** : 23 **2010** : 23 **2011** : 23 **2012** : 23

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 402 Engineering Systems and Equipment
- 405 Drainage and Irrigation Systems and Facilities
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

1. Outcome Target

of 4-H Youth awarded post secondary scholarships related to technology and engineering

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:12 **2009**: 12 **2010**: 12 **2011**:12 **2012**: 12

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

% of participants enrolled in the 4-H GPS and Nature Mapping program that would consider a career in a technology field and/or in a field using GPS technology

2. Outcome Type : Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008:80 **2009**:85 **2010**:90 **2011**:100 **2012**:100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

• 806 - Youth Development

1. Outcome Target

of requests for CES software decision tools

2. Outcome Type : Change in Action Outcome Measure

2008:100 **2009**: 100 **2010**: 100 **2011**:100 **2012**: 100

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 120 of 122

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 132 Weather and Climate
- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

1. Outcome Target

Acres associated with any of the following water management practices: measuring pump flow, multiple inlet irrigation, surge irrigation, irrigation scheduling, border irrigation, furrow irrigation with phaucet design

2. Outcome Type: Change in Condition Outcome Measure

2008 : 500000 **2009** : 550000 **2010** : 600000 **2011** : 650000 **2012** : 700000

3. Associated Knowledge Area(s)

- 102 Soil, Plant, Water, Nutrient Relationships
- 111 Conservation and Efficient Use of Water
- 112 Watershed Protection and Management
- 402 Engineering Systems and Equipment
- 404 Instrumentation and Control Systems
- 405 Drainage and Irrigation Systems and Facilities
- 512 Quality Maintenance in Storing and Marketing Non-Food Products
- 601 Economics of Agricultural Production and Farm Management
- 605 Natural Resource and Environmental Economics

V(J). Planned Program (External Factors)

1. External Factors which may affect Outcomes

- Government Regulations
- Appropriations changes
- Competing Programatic Challenges
- Economy
- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Competing Public priorities

Description

- « Weather extremes can greatly impact water management efforts
- « A decrease in the agricultural economy can reduce ability to adopt certain practices
- « Appropriation of funding changes can impact support to on-farm demonstrations, technology support and 4-H youth programs
- « Government regulations can have significant impact on water management
- « Competition for water resources can impact water management on farms
- « Assignments to other programs can impact the staff support for these programs
- Computer management tools for row-crop producers are critical for risk management and agricultural sustainability

V(K). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 121 of 122

- Case Study
- Comparisons between program participants (individuals, group, organizations) and non-participants
- After Only (post program)
- Before-After (before and after program)
- During (during program)

Description

In some cases there will be an evaluation form that is completed by those attending a meeting. A general evaluation of the effectiveness of a presentation will be determined based on the questions and discussion that occurs. The on-farm assistance that is provided will be evaluated on the performance of the technology and/or engineering that is implemented and the cooperators level of satisfaction. Some situations will provide the opportunity to collect data that will be used for evaluation. Many of the 4-H activities will involve a pre and post test that will be used for evaluation of knowledge gained. Evaluation of decision support tools will be based on number of users and the comments they provide regarding the usefulness and effectiveness of the decision support tools. Yearly evaluations of CES program measures will be done through routine reporting by staff of programming efforts and accomplishments. Staff will be encouraged to report success stories associated with the goals of this program.

2. Data Collection Methods

- Tests
- Sampling
- On-Site
- Case Study
- Whole population
- Unstructured
- Observation

Description

Many of the 4-H activities will provide data on knowledge gained by the whole population through pre and post tests being conducted. Field data will be collected when possible, typically as a sampling, in order to compare new technology and/or engineering to standard practices or systems. Notes and records will be kept of observations made when implementing new technology and/or engineering and this will usually be combined with case studies that include unstructured interviews with participants. On-site surveys will be conducted at many of the field tours, work shops and educational meetings.

Report Date 06/01/2007 Page 122 of 122