

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

Program # 7

1. Name of the Planned Program

Community Resource Development

Reporting on this Program

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
602	Business Management, Finance, and Taxation	5%		0%	
603	Market Economics	2%		50%	
604	Marketing and Distribution Practices	5%		0%	
607	Consumer Economics	5%		0%	
608	Community Resource Planning and Development	63%		25%	
610	Domestic Policy Analysis	5%		0%	
704	Nutrition and Hunger in the Population	10%		0%	
803	Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families, and Communities	5%		25%	
Total		100%		100%	

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2013	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	6.5	0.0	6.0	0.0
Actual Paid Professional	13.8	0.0	3.5	0.0
Actual Volunteer	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c	1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
20212	0	428265	0
1862 Matching	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
20212	0	428265	0
1862 All Other	1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890 All Other
27366	0	1629569	0

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Brief description of the Activity

- Training for Extension personnel in community mobilization, facilitation, economic development.
- Working with rural communities on a regional approach to small town tourism including making optimal use of environmental resources, respecting the socio-cultural authenticity of host communities while conserving their built and living cultural heritage and traditional values, and ensuring viable, long-term economic operations, including stable employment and income-earning opportunities.
 - Conducting basic and applied research in areas exploring the interface between agribusiness, rural development, and natural-resource-amenity-based opportunities.
 - Conducting workshops and other educational activities with Extension professionals and community stakeholders.

2. Brief description of the target audience

Community members, general public, consumers, community organizations. The intuitive success of Extension professionals in community/economic development will be enhanced for formalized training and opportunities to accurately report these on-going efforts.

3. How was eXtension used?

{No Data Entered}

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

2013	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Actual	7928	2073904	60	678

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)

Patent Applications Submitted

Year: 2013
 Actual: 0

Patents listed

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications

2013	Extension	Research	Total
Actual	1	49	0

V(F). State Defined Outputs

Output Target

Output #1

Output Measure

- Number of training opportunities for community members

Year	Actual
2013	308

Output #2

Output Measure

- FSYS 19) Number of Agencies Partnering/Collaborating (Colorado Dept. of Ag, CO Dept of Public Health, RealFood Colorado, LiveWell Colorado, Rocky Mountain Farmers Union, Colorado Farm Bureau, USDA Rural Development)
 Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #3

Output Measure

- Number of new technologies adopted by participants/communities.
 Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #4

Output Measure

- Number of collaborative projects implemented.
 Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #5

Output Measure

- CD 2) Number of Trainings for Volunteers (Educational Classes, Workshops, Group Discussions).
 Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #6

Output Measure

- CD 20) Number of times Presented and translated information to increase public understanding and use data more strategically.
Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #7

Output Measure

- CD 10) Number Website hits (not number of sites)

Year	Actual
2013	2010156

Output #8

Output Measure

- CD 11); FSYS 14) Number of Press/News Releases or Columns submitted

Year	Actual
2013	64

Output #9

Output Measure

- CD 12) Number of Volunteers (total) in Planned Program
Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #10

Output Measure

- CD 13); FSYS 17) External Grant Dollars
Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #11

Output Measure

- CD 14); FSYS 18) User Fees
Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #12

Output Measure

- CD 15) Number of times Providing coaching and/or individual consulting.

Year	Actual
2013	152

Output #13

Output Measure

- CD 16) Number of web-based resources and/or learning modules developed.

Year	Actual
2013	50

Output #14

Output Measure

- CD 17) Number of community assessments, surveys or other community analysis tools developed.

Year	Actual
2013	2

Output #15

Output Measure

- CD 18) Number of community assessments, surveys, asset mapping and other analysis processes, including secondary data and trend analysis, conducted.

Year	Actual
2013	21

Output #16

Output Measure

- CD 19) Number of community actions plans, developed, coordinated, and implemented.

Year	Actual
2013	54

Output #17

Output Measure

- CD 2) Number of Trainings for Volunteers (Educational Class, Workshop, Group Discussion).
Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #18

Output Measure

- CD 21) Number of white papers, reports, summaries, etc. (not peer reviewed) developed.

Year	Actual
2013	6

Output #19

Output Measure

- CD 3); FSYS 2) Number of Trainings for Extension Staff (Education Class, Workshop, Group Discussion).

Year	Actual
2013	14

Output #20

Output Measure

- CD 4); FSYS 3) Number of Community Meetings Convened [examples: Advisory Groups, Councils, Coalition Meetings, Boards].

Year	Actual
2013	0

Output #21

Output Measure

- CD 5); FSYS 4) Number of Community Meetings Facilitated [examples: Focus Group, Citizen Forum, Round Table Dialogue, Strategic Planning Process]

Year	Actual
2013	0

Output #22

Output Measure

- CD 6); FSYS 5) Number of Community Coalitions, Collaborations, Alliances Formed to Address a Specific Issue [list specific groups/issue]

Year	Actual
2013	53

Output #23

Output Measure

- CD 7); FSYS 6) Number of Direct Communications/Education by telephone and/or e-mail

Year	Actual
2013	0

Output #24

Output Measure

- CD 8); FSYS 11) Number of Newsletters (This is number of newsletters created and sent, not number mailed or number of Coloradans who received them.)

Year	Actual
2013	12

Output #25

Output Measure

- CD 90; CD 9) Websites (number of Websites, not number of hits)
Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

Output #26

Output Measure

- FSYS 1) Number Trainings/Classes/Workshops, Field Days, Activity Days

Year	Actual
2013	38

Output #27

Output Measure

- FSYS 10) Number of times CSU personnel led or partnered in efforts to build a stronger community and networks of those involved in more localized food systems (producers, resource providers, policy makers, input providers, marketing partners).

Year	Actual
2013	37

Output #28

Output Measure

- FSYS 7) Number of times Extension staff facilitate community discourse that includes ag producers and other food system stakeholders to improve public understanding about the food system

Year	Actual
2013	51

Output #29

Output Measure

- FSYS 8) Number of times CSU Extension provides training, publications and leads discussions on nutrition, food safety and public health implications of marketing, production and policy innovations in the food system

Year	Actual
2013	33

Output #30

Output Measure

- FSYS 9) Number of times CSU Extension personnel provide tools, publications and assistance in developing and translating applied research to food system planning activities.

Year	Actual
2013	19

Output #31

Output Measure

- Amount of grant dollars garnered to support community development research and outreach
Not reporting on this Output for this Annual Report

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

V. State Defined Outcomes Table of Content

O. No.	OUTCOME NAME
1	CD 1.1: The number of Communities that assess community needs, assets and available resources.
2	CD 2.6: Number of Community members participating in community decision making processes shaping natural and built environments.
3	CD 2.1: Number of Community members actively influencing the development of their communities through engagement in participatory community processes. (public issue deliberation, decision-making processes, action planning and evaluation)
4	CD 1.2: Number of Community members engaging in community and economic development, planning and action.
5	CD 1.3: Number in Communities who network and partner with others in community and economic development, planning and action (regional approach).
6	CD 1.4: Number of Communities that develop plans targeting specific interests, actions and community resources towards maintaining and growing economic base.
7	CD 1.6: Number of Entrepreneurs who are coached in development and initiation of new small business ventures.
8	CD 1.8: Number of Jobs that are created.
9	CD 2.2: Number of Community members reporting increased connections with other community residents and organizations.
10	CD 2.3: Number of Communities where plans are developed using participatory community processes.
11	CD 2.4: Number of Communities where plans are implemented following participatory community processes.
12	CD 2.5: Number of Community members who are actively involved in the development of public policy to effect positive change for a healthy and vital community.
13	CD 2.7: Number of Communities that promote diverse, healthy, and sustainable environments through community design and plans.
14	CD 3.1: Number of Communities that provide leadership development training, programs or activities.
15	CD 3.2: Number of communities in which Diversity of community leadership is increased.
16	CD 3.3: Number of Community members reporting increased connection to, and relationship with, local and state government.
17	CD 4.10: Number of Volunteer community members reporting they contribute to increased public service in their communities.

18	CD 4.12: Number of Volunteer community members reporting they increase the social, emotional, and learning skills in the audience with which they work.
19	CD 4.1: Number of Communities providing organizational development training for non-profits and community organizations in planning, decision making, management, and evaluation efforts.
20	CD 4.4: Number of Non-profits and community organizations report increased revenue generated through grant dollars.
21	CD 4.5: Number of Non-profits and community organizations increase partnerships and connections.
22	CD 4.6: Number of Non-profits and community organizations reporting increased number of volunteers involved in organization activities.
23	CD 4.8: Number of Volunteer community members reporting they foster life skill development in the youth in their communities.
24	CD 4.9: Number of Volunteer community members reporting they increased the effectiveness of Extension and other community programs.
25	FSYS 1.1) Colorado food systems stakeholders report they have increased capacity to dialogue and plan for more effective food systems
26	FSYS 1.2) Number of Food system stakeholders who report participating in more education and professional development to improve their understanding of linkages between public health and food safety and emerging food models
27	FSYS 1.3) Number of Food system stakeholders reporting they will engage CSU as a partner in determining data, research, resource partners and other program needs for assessment and strategic planning exercises related to agriculture or food.

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

CD 1.1: The number of Communities that assess community needs, assets and available resources.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #2

1. Outcome Measures

CD 2.6: Number of Community members participating in community decision making processes shaping natural and built environments.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #3

1. Outcome Measures

CD 2.1: Number of Community members actively influencing the development of their communities through engagement in participatory community processes. (public issue deliberation, decision-making processes, action planning and evaluation)

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #4

1. Outcome Measures

CD 1.2: Number of Community members engaging in community and economic development, planning and action.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #5

1. Outcome Measures

CD 1.3: Number in Communities who network and partner with others in community and economic development, planning and action (regional approach).

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #6

1. Outcome Measures

CD 1.4: Number of Communities that develop plans targeting specific interests, actions and community resources towards maintaining and growing economic base.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
------	--------

2013 0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)
{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results
{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #7

1. Outcome Measures

CD 1.6: Number of Entrepreneurs who are coached in development and initiation of new small business ventures.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)
{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results
{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #8

1. Outcome Measures

CD 1.8: Number of Jobs that are created.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)
{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results
{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #9

1. Outcome Measures

CD 2.2: Number of Community members reporting increased connections with other community residents and organizations.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #10

1. Outcome Measures

CD 2.3: Number of Communities where plans are developed using participatory community processes.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #11

1. Outcome Measures

CD 2.4: Number of Communities where plans are implemented following participatory community processes.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
------	--------

2013 0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)
{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results
{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #12

1. Outcome Measures

CD 2.5: Number of Community members who are actively involved in the development of public policy to effect positive change for a healthy and vital community.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)
{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development
610	Domestic Policy Analysis

Outcome #13

1. Outcome Measures

CD 2.7: Number of Communities that promote diverse, healthy, and sustainable environments through community design and plans.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #14

1. Outcome Measures

CD 3.1: Number of Communities that provide leadership development training, programs or activities.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #15

1. Outcome Measures

CD 3.2: Number of communities in which Diversity of community leadership is increased.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)
{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results
{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #16

1. Outcome Measures

CD 3.3: Number of Community members reporting increased connection to, and relationship with, local and state government.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
------	--------

2013 0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #17

1. Outcome Measures

CD 4.10: Number of Volunteer community members reporting they contribute to increased public service in their communities.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #18

1. Outcome Measures

CD 4.12: Number of Volunteer community members reporting they increase the social, emotional, and learning skills in the audience with which they work.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #19

1. Outcome Measures

CD 4.1: Number of Communities providing organizational development training for non-profits and community organizations in planning, decision making, management, and evaluation efforts.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #20

1. Outcome Measures

CD 4.4: Number of Non-profits and community organizations report increased revenue generated through grant dollars.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)
{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results
{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development
803	Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families, and Communities

Outcome #21

1. Outcome Measures

CD 4.5: Number of Non-profits and community organizations increase partnerships and connections.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #22

1. Outcome Measures

CD 4.6: Number of Non-profits and community organizations reporting increased number of volunteers involved in organization activities.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results
{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #23

1. Outcome Measures

CD 4.8: Number of Volunteer community members reporting they foster life skill development in the youth in their communities.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)
{No Data Entered}

What has been done
{No Data Entered}

Results
{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

608 Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #24

1. Outcome Measures

CD 4.9: Number of Volunteer community members reporting they increased the effectiveness of Extension and other community programs.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	0

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

{No Data Entered}

What has been done

{No Data Entered}

Results

{No Data Entered}

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #25

1. Outcome Measures

FSYS 1.1) Colorado food systems stakeholders report they have increased capacity to dialogue and plan for more effective food systems

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Knowledge Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	202

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

The local food movement was very disorganized prior to 2009.

What has been done

One example: ...we have developed strong local, regional, and even statewide working groups. Attendees at programs aimed at increasing awareness and access to local and healthy food have numbered in the 100?s; we have established a well-organized Food Policy Council; and also have a regional food alliance that meets quarterly to discuss food and agricultural issues.

Results

Across the state, 202 participants reported they have increased capacity to dialogue and plan for more effective food systems. One example: "...establish[ed] a cohesive working group related to food systems. This group, which is working very well with a tremendous amount of cross-participation, encompasses multiple counties in SW CO and northern NM. These organizational methods have helped to secure significant levels of resources (grants totaling over \$100k annually) with the hope for potentially much more coming in the next 5 years.

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
604	Marketing and Distribution Practices
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

Outcome #26

1. Outcome Measures

FSYS 1.2) Number of Food system stakeholders who report participating in more education and professional development to improve their understanding of linkages between public health and food safety and emerging food models

Not Reporting on this Outcome Measure

Outcome #27

1. Outcome Measures

FSYS 1.3) Number of Food system stakeholders reporting they will engage CSU as a partner in determining data, research, resource partners and other program needs for assessment and strategic planning exercises related to agriculture or food.

2. Associated Institution Types

- 1862 Extension

3a. Outcome Type:

Change in Action Outcome Measure

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Actual
2013	173

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

The agriculture and food issues, clientele and level of community engagement that Extension personnel are being asked to provide knowledge and assistance on, or facilitate discussions around, are changing.

What has been done

To complement more traditional efforts in agricultural operations, crop and livestock production, food safety and nutrition, CSU Extension has facilitated several programs targeted at new clientele which draw from a broad set of disciplines (for example, Public Deliberation on Food System and Farm Labor issues, Building Farmers, Regulatory Mapping for Farmers Markets, Small Scale Livestock Marketing and Environment Issues, Farm to School Programs).

Results

173 food system stakeholders reported they will engage CSU as a partner in determining data, research, resource partners and other program needs for assessment and strategic planning exercises related to agriculture or food.

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
608	Community Resource Planning and Development

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriations changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government Regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programmatic Challenges
- Populations changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)

Brief Explanation

CD:

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriations changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government Regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programmatic Challenges
- Populations changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)

With new emerging opportunities in communities, programs may shift in response to community need.

Extension role in community development is emerging and it may take three to five years to establish strong programs with measurable outcomes.

FSYS:

USDA has Global Food Security as a National priority, and not all the activities needed to support such a goal are addressed through production-oriented teams. One consideration listed in national outcomes is the need for food system resiliency, and given current global market pressures, pest pressures, supply chain risks (food safety, transportation costs), it would suggest a more diverse set of food production models is needed. So, the planning activities and efforts to build stronger networks across all agricultural stakeholders (beginning or established, small or large) address the resiliency issue.

USDA food security priorities also address natural resources and the long-term management of ag lands. Some of the policy discussions, assessment activities and facilitation of community processes to develop economic development and community development plans that may include land use will address this issue.

Finally, there a number of Colorado, statewide efforts, to address food system issues, including the Food Systems Advisory Council, new Economic Development cluster focused on agricultural and food sectors, Farm to School and Farmers Market working groups. All of these processes seek CSU's voice at the table, and members of this team will represent our organization, and be able to better serve in those roles because of discussions among

the group about concerns and priorities emerging in different communities.

The external factors taken into consideration with our work plan include:

- Economy
- Public Policy changes
- Government Regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Populations changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies)

Evaluation Results

CD: Pre-assessment, focus groups, interviews, and surveys will be conducted to provide base line data. Metrics will be tracked for number of trainings, participants, consulting sessions, partnerships, grant \$, etc. Pre-and post-surveys of workshop participants will be conducted to capture immediate changes in knowledge and attitudes, short-term changes based on identified indicators of this plan of work. A combination of case studies, community visits, and focus groups will be conducted to measure longer-term impacts.

FSYS: Evaluation for this team will fall in three key categories:

1) Surveys of CSU Extension staff to determine the types, depth and changes in engagement from food system partnership they are a part of, as facilitator, moderator or convener. This will allow us to evaluate whether work by team members is being valued and recognized among community members, even if the main purpose of CSU is not in a traditional educator role.

2) Surveys of program participants on any workshops, panels, speakers or courses where CSU Extension has at least a 25% planning role will allow us to evaluate knowledge and behavioral change, immediately post program. On rare occasions, on programs where CSU investment is high, follow up surveying at 3, 6 or 12 months may also be undertaken. For programs where CSU is more lightly involved, the team will simply collect information that is collected by the lead organization in their evaluation, but provide assistance in developing such materials. (For example, being a panelist for an Ag organization conference, where CSU may ask to have one question asked in the organization's evaluation.

3) Targeted case studies and ad hoc evaluations will be used in cases where CSU Extension is engaged fairly rapidly in a community priority discussion (for instance a food safety outbreak). These evaluations will be necessarily less structured, but allow us to describe how CSU provided technical assistance, facilitation or moderating support for a community-wide food issue or event.

Key Items of Evaluation

One Example (CD): The Cortez Heart and Soul project, supported by the Orton Family Foundation, was begun in 2012. One of the project's Community Advisory Team's (CAT) early goals is to gather input from community members on the values and perceptions of Cortez and what they would like to see (change) about the Cortez community. It is imperative that all community groups be reached and given the opportunity to provide input to the project. Through the efforts of Monica (CAT member) outreach was successful to the Hispanic community, however, Tom (also a member of the CAT) recognized that vital members of the Cortez community, Native Americans, did not

have a presence on the team and had only provided minimal input to the project through CAT outreach efforts. Through existing contacts, Tom participated in Human Relations/SW Intertribal Voice meetings to encourage participation of the Native American community. Tom specifically invited Native American representatives to a following CAT meeting. When leaders of the CAT were informed of the invitations, they were enthusiastically supportive and indeed, surprised. Six Native American representatives arrived at the next CAT meeting and true participation of the Native American community in the Heart and Soul project was begun. As a result, outreach efforts in Towaoc on the Ute Mountain Ute reservation, and at neighboring Chapter Houses on the Navajo reservation are in the planning process. Tom will continue to stress and work towards the importance of including the vital participation of Native Americans in the community development process.