

Forest Management

Forest Management

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Forest Management

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
123	Management and Sustainability of Forest Resources	90%		25%	
213	Weeds Affecting Plants	0%		25%	
215	Biological Control of Pests Affecting Plants	0%		25%	
216	Integrated Pest Management Systems	10%		25%	
	Total	100%		100%	

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2007	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	3.6	0.0	0.4	0.0
Actual	4.1	0.0	1.4	0.0

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 85450	1890 Extension 0	Hatch 92178	Evans-Allen 0
1862 Matching 85450	1890 Matching 0	1862 Matching 92178	1890 Matching 0
1862 All Other 149924	1890 All Other 0	1862 All Other 698942	1890 All Other 0

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Brief description of the Activity

As part of the Idaho Forest Stewardship program, a cooperative effort with the Idaho Dept. of Lands (IDL) and many other partners, UI Extension provided a series of workshops, field days and other educational activities titled "Strengthening Forest Stewardship Skills" (supported in part by grant funds from the USFS through the IDL).

Woodland Notes, a forestry newsletter providing practical advice on forest management, is mailed out twice annually to over 4,000 Idaho panhandle forest owners

In FY 07, 482 owners of nearly 47,000 private forest acres attended Extension workshops and other educational activities in the Idaho panhandle; In FY 07, 94 owners of nearly 23,000 private forest acres attended Extension workshops and other educational activities in the NCIA. In most program evaluations, fewer than half of participants indicated previous involvement in various forestry education or assistance programs.

Research activities associated with this topic team focused on invasive species and soil composition in forest management and sustainability.

2. Brief description of the target audience

The primary audiences for this topic team are 1) family forest owners, 2) loggers and 3) natural resource professionals, and 4) governmental agencies such as the US Forest Service and NRCS. Each target audience receives programming specific to their needs.

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	500	15000	50	200
2007	6398	0	1078	0

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)

Patent Applications Submitted

Year	Target
Plan:	0
2007:	0

Patents listed

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan			
2007	1	4	5

V(F). State Defined Outputs

Output Target

Output #1

Output Measure

Number of workshops, field days, etc.

Year	Target	Actual
2007	30	43

Output #2

Output Measure

Number of participants in workshops, field days, etc.

Year	Target	Actual
2007	750	2049

Output #3

Output Measure

Number of articles in popular press.

Year	Target	Actual
2007	15	15

Output #4

Output Measure

Number of web site "hits".

Year	Target	Actual
2007	3000	0

Output #5

Output Measure

Number of new or revised publications.

Year	Target	Actual
2007	2	11

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

O No.	Outcome Name
1	O: Family forest owners planning to implement specific improved management practices (e.g., monitor for insect, disease, or animal damage; thin forest trees; complete a forest management plan; etc.).I: Numbers of family forest owners indicating they
2	O: Family forest owners' increased awareness, knowledge, and skills related to forest ecology, silviculture, and forest management.I: Number of family forest owners completing program / percentage increase in awareness and knowledge of specific fore
3	O: Loggers increased awareness, and knowledge related to forest ecology, silviculture, and forest water quality. I: Number of loggers completing LEAP / percentage increase in loggers' awareness and knowledge related to forest ecology, silviculture and forest water quality.
4	O: Loggers planning to implement specific improved forest management practices (e.g., monitor for insect, disease, or animal damage).I: Numbers of LEAP Update participants indicating they will adopt specific improved forest management practice
5	O: Loggers earning continuing education hours that can be applied to logging credentials.I: Number of continuing education hours provided to loggers.
6	O: Loggers enrolled in the Idaho Pro Logger program.I: Number of additional loggers enrolled in the Idaho Pro-logger program.
7	O: Natural resource professionals increased knowledge related to specific science and technology.I: Number of foresters and other natural resource professionals completing Extension forestry programs / percentage increase in knowledge related to specific forest science technology.
8	O: Foresters and other natural resource professionals earn continuing education hours that can be used for forester credentials.I: Number of continuing education hours provided to foresters and other natural resource professionals.
9	O: Other scientists are aware of our research findings. I: Number of refereed scientific journal articles.
10	O: An increase in the number of trained graduate students prepared to enter the workforce. I: Number of M.S. and Ph.D. candidates relevant to this topic team.

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report

2. Associated Institution Types

3a. Outcome Type:

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

What has been done

Results

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

Other (none)

Brief Explanation

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

After Only (post program)

Retrospective (post program)

Evaluation Results

Key Items of Evaluation