# National Goal 5: To Enhance Economic Opportunities and the Quality of Life Among Families and Communities

#### Statement of Issues

Population components of Idaho are changing and new social and economic infrastructure is needed. Conflicts arise between long-term residents and newcomers with differing values and expectations. Changes in natural resource use often places limits on traditional uses and creates new demands without dollars to pay for them. Idaho rural communities are also impacted by the pressures associated with the suburbanization of rural and agricultural lands. Pressures include growing demands for housing, utility easements, agricultural production practice limits, transportation, and infrastructure development in areas previously devoted to agriculture, forestry and open space. As a result, the environment, natural resources, aesthetics and the quality of life are being impacted in ways that are unintended.

These problems accentuate the need for improved models and strategies to analyze policies, enhance leadership, improve resource management and strengthen planning processes that address challenges and at the same time sustain the long term viability of agriculture and businesses. There is increasing urgency for greater communication and cooperation among groups and individuals concerned about these issues. This requires collaborative problem solving techniques applied to locally resolving problems.

Idaho families are also facing constraints in making available resources meet family needs and wants. Families and individuals equipped with skills to maximize resources are likely to experience marital satisfaction, employment productivity, and a better quality of life. Personal bankruptcy filings have increased in Idaho and families are challenged to find affordable housing and insurance, finance education, care for children and aging parents, save and invest for retirement, and plan their estate and transfer of property. Changes in the financial marketplace increase the need for unbiased information to preserve consumer privacy, to avoid frauds and scams, and to improve consumer decisions using the Internet. Family Resource Management programs aim to help decision makers, increase savings, reduce debt, and improve family financial well-being.

Volunteerism is fundamental to delivering quality programs in many extension work areas. To have an adequate number of well-prepared volunteers it is necessary to recruit, train and support volunteers, both youth and adult, on an on-going basis. Both volunteer recruitment and retention are key factors needing to be addressed.

Being competitive in recruiting today's volunteer takes skill and knowledge. As more and more women enter the job market on a full-time basis, volunteer roles must be modified to fit the time available. This situation often leads to the need to recruit and train more volunteers for roles demanding less time from each individual. To encourage already very busy people in finding time to volunteer, there must be a high level of satisfaction gained from the volunteer activity. This means effective training, motivation and recognition of volunteers to enhance retention rates.

Trends for families in Idaho parallel those at the national level, with 64% of mothers in the work force, and families find it difficult to form support networks with friends, relatives, and neighbors after a long day at work. Families at risk are especially disadvantaged by these trends, including those below poverty level in income (18% of Idaho families), single parent families (17% of Idaho families with children), and those headed by teen parents (13% of births in 1996 were to teen mothers). However, studies show that families and communities can work effectively together to keep children on a positive course for success ahead. The Human Relationship program area is designed to increase the abilities of individuals, families, and communities to enhance the quality of life in the context of contemporary society.

Rapid changes are occurring that dramatically effect our youth and the adults who work with them. To be responsible to the current needs of youth we must be aware of change and the impact it has o the youth and

volunteers we work with through the 4-H program. 4-H carries out programs jointly with schools, such as school enrichment and school age child care programs. We must constantly strive to create diverse opportunities for volunteer leaders that will fit into their busy life schedules.

#### Stakeholder Input and Suggestions from Citizen Groups

#### Community Economic Development:

- 1. Liveable wage jobs
- 2. Business management and finance
- 3. Marketing and special niches

#### Family Resource Management:

- 1. Family budgeting and personal finance
- 2. Money and consumption education for youth and adults

#### Volunteerism and Leadership Development:

- 1. Organizational skills training
- 2. Mentoring programs
- 3. Specific skills training (Master Volunteer programs)

#### Human Relationships:

- 1. Child care provider training
- 2. Parenting education
- 3. Elder care alternatives and management

#### Youth Education:

- 1. Educating communities and citizens about 4-H programs
- 2. Work with schools developing and delivering programs
- 3. Life skills development for youth

#### Performance Goals

#### **Output Indicators**

- 1. Studies, publications, and presentations on county and regional economic linkages.
- 2. Collaborations and coalitions formed with other groups and organizations.
- 3. Problem solving, negotiation and community cooperation skills.
- 4. Web site listing of available workshops, and resources, publications and other web sites.
- 5. Educational displays and exhibits, along with marketing materials (brochures and posters).
- 6. Print and electronic media and educational materials developed.
- 7. Classes and workshops in areas specified in goals.
- 8. Development and maintenance of community coalitions relevant to goals.
- 9. Development of resource libraries and expansion of extension's role as a source of resources to others in the community working in goal areas.
- 10. Training to service providers to families and children.
- 11. Service and volunteer opportunities for children and youth.
- 12. Develop appropriate tools to gather baseline data.
- 13. Develop program IMPACT Statements (annually).

#### **Outcome Indicators**

- Satisfaction ratings, attendance, ideas/practices learned, and ideas/practices evaluated for implementation by participants in non-formal educational programs related to: community decision-making, Leadership skills, Subject matter training related to individual's volunteer, appointed and/or elected position
- 2. Increased number of participants in educational programs, and number adopting management

- practices.
- 3. Pre- and post-tests (using Family & Consumer Sciences developed Evaluation Template) documenting increased knowledge and changed behavior.
- 4. Rates of participation in program activities.
- 5. Evaluation ratings of participants on satisfaction, knowledge gained, and behaviors changed as a result of extension programming and publications.
- 6. Number of agencies, individuals, and groups to which extension provides resources.
- 7. Record of coalition outcomes with extension leadership and participation.
- 8. New services and supports to families.
- 9. Publications and media coverage of life skills, family life, and child and youth development issues
- 10. Statewide data on current status of volunteerism in Idaho
- 11. Number of CES staff trained in Volunteer Recruitment and Retention
- 12. Number of new volunteers recruited by trained staff and retention rate for newly recruited volunteers
- 13. Staff will use training evaluation and volunteer recruitment and retention data to improve skills in working with volunteers
- 14. Trained volunteers with enhanced skills in reaching and training other adults and youth
- 15. Evidence of improved collaboration & cooperative problem solving among community groups
- 16. Enhanced community functioning particularly in areas requiring trained volunteers

#### **Key Program Components**

#### **Program Goals**

- 1. Increase capacity of Idaho citizens and communities to enhance their economic well-being.
- 2. Promote sound family financial decisions.
- 3. Foster positive development and increase life skills in individuals and families throughout the life span.
- 4. Create a positive, safe, and supportive environment that fosters the development of healthy, productive individuals.
- 5. To recruit, train, build confidence, and retain an adequate number of youth and adult volunteers to help carry out community programs.
- 6. To reach a higher percentage of Idaho's youth with quality educational programs through 4-H.
- 7. Help youth acquire knowledge, life skills, and attitudes that enhance their life.

#### **Program Objectives**

- 1. Develop, analyze and interpret economic factors effecting the economic, social, and environmental conditions influencing Idaho citizens and communities.
- 2. Develop strategies to add value to agriculture and natural resource sector products including identification of alternative crops with value added or import substitution potential.
- 3. Understand and explain to local residents and decision makers the economic and social impacts of policy alternatives to be considered.
- 4. Facilitate the development and operation of small and home based businesses in rural Idaho.
- 5. Increase the number of jobs ad income generation opportunities in Idaho communities.
- 6. Increase ability to manage financial and human resources through better communication and wise decision-making.
- 7. Increase savings and decrease consumer debt.
- 8. Enhance the ability to manage personal stress.
- 9. Foster the development of positive self-esteem.
- 10. Help parents learn to balance work and family commitments.
- 11. Work with community resources to improve the quality and availability of dependent care.
- 12. Address the variety of family structure issues (e.g. grandparents as parents, single parents, two-parent involvement in separated families, etc.)
- 13. Increase and strengthen volunteer involvement in extension programs.

- 14. Diversify ways volunteers can contribute to programs.
- 15. Develop an attitude and philosophy that recognizes volunteers, youth as well as adults, as full partners in program development and delivery.
- 16. Develop skills and competencies in volunteers related to making presentations, solving problems and public issues education.
- 17. Collaborate with other groups, organizations and agencies to build leadership and volunteer capacity.
- 18. Develop a 4-H strategic marketing plan for state/county.
- 19. Identify and manage a 4-H curriculum that is high quality and relevant.
- 20. Build partnerships for positive youth development.
- 21. Increase and strengthen volunteer involvement in the Idaho 4-H program.
- 22. Enhance support for 4-H program both human and financial.

#### **Internal and External Linkages**

- Small business owners
- Volunteers, elected and appointed officials
- Non-profit organizations
- Government agencies (local, state, federal)
- Families and individuals (youth, adults, and seniors)
- Service providers (child and adult care, health care)
- WSU, OSU, other Land-Grant Institutions in the Western Region.

#### **Target Audiences**

- Families and individuals (youth, adults, and seniors)
- Volunteers, elected and appointed officials
- Small business owners
- Service providers (child and adult care, health care)
- Public and private agencies
- Non-profit organizations
- Media (electronic, voice, and print)
- Other educational organizations

#### **Program Duration**

FY000 - FY004

# Programs Already Underway to Convey Available Research Results that are Pertinent to Meeting the Issues Identified

- Money 2000
- Extension Nutrition Program (resource management component)
- Investing Basics
- Volunteer Middle Management Training
- Master Gardeners
- Master Food Preservers
- 4-H Project Training
- Youth Natural Resources Camps
- 4-H Teen Conference
- 4-H Know Your Government
- 4-H National Congress
- 4-H National Conference
- Building Economic Linkage Models

- Cross Border Commuters
- Idaho State Tax Revenue
- Social Cohesion of Rural Communities

### **Underserved Populations for this Goal**

- At-risk youth
- Low income individuals and families
- Single parents
- Hispanic and minority populations

#### **Allocated Resources**

Federal (3b,c) \$ 842,901 State General Funds \$1,919,520 County Funds \$ 417,169

# **UI-CES STATE PLAN OF WORK ACTION PLAN**

Include: County/Area/District/State Program Activity

pal: 5 To enhance economic opportunities and the quality of life among families and communities.

Contact

Resource Management Person(s): Linda Kirk Fox, Director FCS MPT No(s): 11

#### nent of issues or problems to be addressed by this program:

s are facing constraints in making available resources meet family needs amilies and individuals equipped with skills to maximize resources are rience marital satisfaction, employment productivity, and a better quality hal bankruptcy filings have increased in Idaho and families are challenged able housing and insurance, finance education, care for children and aging and invest for retirement, and plan their estate and transfer of property, he financial marketplace increase the need for unbiased information to the umer privacy, to avoid frauds and scams, and to maximize consumering the Internet. Family Resource Management programs aim to help ting, increase savings and reduced debt, and improve family financial well-

#### oal(s):

d family financial decisions.

#### Audience(s) targeted for this program:

Families and individuals; limited resource families; agency personnel; teach leaders; youth; seniors; mass media

#### Specific Program Objective(s):

- To increase ability to manage financial and human resources through to communication and wise decision-making.
- 2. To increase savings and to decrease consumer debt.
- 3. To improve financial security in later years.

#### AN: (Indicate year of occurrence)

**cators:** (Programs, activities or services to be included, expressed in a qualitative manner.)

nagement lessons in the Extension Nutrition Program (FSNEP & EFNEP

ocal coalitions for financial professionals and non-profits.

d press releases (e.g. Home Wise bi-weekly column) on financial and agement

ng of available workshops, and resources, publications and other web site

eracy Coalition programs (teacher trainings, worksite programs)

lisplays and exhibits, along with marketing materials (brochures and

d MOUs (Memorandum of Understanding)

tronic media and educational materials including but not limited to:

ork and Family: Money, Food & Family Life (life skills)

eracy Coalition

Financial Planning Program

vings and investing awareness program

ng programs

rips with Your Finances 5-part series

me buyer educational materials and/or programs

ining

d series programs and media (e.g. Women's Financial Information Financial Literacy Month)

**Outcome Indicators:** (Program results (outcomes) expected based on pagoals.)

- Increased number of participants in financial management education p and number adopting management practices.
- Increased participant level of financial knowledge; increased confident decision-making ability; increased feeling of control through confident management.
- Pre- and post-tests (using Family & Consumer Sciences developed Ev Template) documenting increased knowledge and changed behavior:
- Participants communicate, organize and plan for transfer of property
- Participants Locate and organize important documents; inform family and/or trusted friends of locations of documents
- · Participants use conflict management techniques and problems resolve
- Increased awareness of current trends in finical products and services (consumer privacy, internet consumer resources, avoiding scams and frigambling)
- Increased knowledge of participants about using credit widely
- Increase savings and investing for sort and long term goals
- Increase confidence and control over money including developing and spending plans (budgets)
- Reduced consumer debt
- Credit reports are reviewed and steps taken to maintain a positive cred

(Faculty should plan 60% of available time. 1 FTE = 140-150 days)

olved:	No. Days In-State Programming Per Faculty	No. Days Multi-State Programming Per Faculty	Program Ass	sistants:	No. Days In-State Programming Per Assistant	
omics Specialist	50	40	Vivian	Meyer	15	0
Bischoff	53	3				
Dahl	30	0				
Fox	20	10				
Garnsey	10	0				
Harris	2	0				
Healy	40	0				
Lanting	10	0				
Later	20	0				
Morales	80	0				
Nest	8	0				
Parr	15	0				
Petty	40	20				
Stimpson	20	0				
Thorpe	40	0				
Van Slyke	40	0				
Wolf	25	0				
	503	73				
			No. of Volun	teers:	No. Days In-State Programming For Volunteers	No. Da Multi- Progr For V

External Partners (Other agencies or groups with whom you will link in conducting this program, both in-state and multi-state) as program will be: (Include agency, state, and individual names involved.)

versity of Idaho College of Law faculty; UI School of Family & Consumer Sciences faculty; UI 4-H and Youth Development faculty and staff; UI Ag & stance Education specialists.

no Financial Literacy Coalition member organizations; Idaho Rural Partnership, Washington State University, Oregon State University

Needed: (Publications, A-V Aids, training, specialists etc.)	Program Duration:
spring, in-depth (potential topics listed: fraud, internet resources and areness, privacy, basic investment series, first-time home buyer, 4-H	<u>X</u> FY001
ls)	<u>X</u> FY002
ney 2000 materials in very basic terms and in Spanish	<u>x</u> FY003
nce education deliver (identified by stakeholders) of personal finance and g workshops over compressed video as well as in-service on basic	<u>X</u> FY004
or first-time home buyer education	
opment, sharing materials among county extension educators via posting age	

ension Nutrition Program (ENP) is the USDA Food Stamp Nutrition Education Program (FSNEP) and the Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program

## **UI-CES STATE PLAN OF WORK ACTION PLAN**

Include: County/Area/District/State Program Activity

**pal:** 5 To enhance economic opportunities and the quality of life among families and communities.

Contact

nn Relationships Person(s): Harriet Shaklee MPT No(s): 12

## nent of issues or problems to be addressed by this program:

milies in Idaho parallel those at the national level, with 64% of mothers in e, and families finding it difficult to form support networks with friends, neighbors after a long day at work. Families at risk are especially by these trends, including those below poverty level in income (18% of s), single parent families (17% of Idaho families with children), and those in parents (13% of births in 1996 were to teen mothers). However, studies milies and communities can work effectively together to keep children on a see for success ahead. The Human Relationship program area is designed to bilities of individuals, families, and communities to enhance the quality of atext of contemporary society.

#### oal(s):

e skills development in individuals and families throughout the life span.

tive development of children and youth.

ositive, safe, and supportive environment that fosters the development of active individuals.

#### Audience(s) targeted for this program:

Families, children and youth, individuals, parents, 4H/youth volunteers and professionals, child care providers, extension staff, businesses, public and pagencies, teachers, juvenile justice professionals, caregivers.

#### Specific Program Objective(s):

Goal 1 A) Enhance the ability to manage personal stress.

- B) Increase time management skills.
- C) Develop a sense of purpose in setting goals.
- D) Improve decision making skills.
- E) Enhance self-care and understanding.
- F) Develop skills for managing conflict and resolving problems.
- G) Improve communication skills.

Goal 2 A) Foster the development of positive self-esteem.

- B) Assist volunteers in creating positive environments for development of youth.
- C) Help children and youth accept and respect differences.
- D) Help children and youth develop skills for managing conflict and resolv

problems.

- E) Increase youth/adult partnerships and community service involvement.
- F) Expand delivery modes to reach more youth.
- G) Foster community involvement in addressing issues of children and you

Goal 3: A) Help parents learn to balance work and family commitments.

- B) Work with parents to improve parenting skills.
- C) Strengthen community supports for families.
- D) Help individuals build relationships through effective communication st
- E) Work with community resources to improve the quality and availability dependent care.
- F) Address the variety of family structure issues (e.g. grandparents as parer parents, two-parent involvement in separated families, etc.)

#### AN: (Indicate year of occurrence)

cators: (Programs, activities or services to be included, expressed in or qualitative manner.)

vorkshops in areas specified in goals.

and media releases

and maintenance of community coalitions relevant to goals.

of resource libraries and expansion of Extension's role as a source of thers in the community working in goals areas.

ervice providers to families and children.

olunteer opportunities for children and youth.

**Outcome Indicators:** (Program results (outcomes) expected based on pagoals.)

Rates of participation in program activities.

Evaluation ratings of participants on satisfaction, knowledge gained, and b changed as a result of extension programming and publications.

Number of agencies, individuals, and groups to which extension provides a

Record of outcomes of coalitions with Extension leadership and participation

New services and supports to families.

Publications and media coverage of life skills, family life, and child and yo development issues.

(Faculty should plan 60% of available time. 1 FTE = 140-150 days)

olved:		No. Days In-State Programming Per Faculty	No. Days Multi-State Programming Per Faculty	Program Assistants:	:	No. Days In-State Programming Per Assistant	
	Benesh	9	4				
	Christensen	65	0				
	Dahl	62	0				
	Edwards	7	3				
	Harris	10	0				

			No. of Volunteers:		No. Days In-State Programming For Volunteers	No. Da Multi- Progr For V
	609	32				
Wolf	15	0				
Woffinden	10	0				
Webb	39	0				
Van Slyke	20	0				
Thorpe	75	0				
Stimpson	5	0				
Shaklee	90	20				
Schmidt	78	0				
Petty	65	0				
Parr	5	0				
Nest	24	0				
Nauman	10	5				
Morales	5	0				
Later	10	0				
Lanting	5	0				

External Partners (Other agencies or groups with whom you will link in conducting this program, both in-state and multi-state) a program will be: (Include agency, state, and individual names involved.)

o Department of Health and Welfare, Private Industry Council, Head Start, faith communities, youth serving organizations (e.g. Big Brothers/Big Sisters III), juvenile corrections programs, child care facilities, businesses, the courts, libraries, Washington State University, and Oregon State University.

Needed: (Publications, A-V Aids, training, specialists	Program Duration:
etc.)	<u>X</u> FY000
leos, specialist support for program development, up-to-date videos, and printing of handouts and newsletters.	<u>X</u> FY001
and printing of nandouts and newstetters.	<u>×</u> FY002
	<u>×</u> FY003
	<u>X</u> FY004

# **UI-CES STATE PLAN OF WORK ACTION PLAN**

Include: County/Area/District/State Program Activity

oal: 5

Contact

outh Education Person(s): Arlinda Nauman MPT No(s): 13

#### nent of issues or problems to be addressed by this program:

a 80 years the Cooperative Extension Systems 4-H program has provided nowledge, effective communication, self confidence, decision-making dership experience. However, society is experiencing rapid changes that effect our youth and the adults who work with them. To be responsive to eds of youth we must be aware of change and the impact it has on the functional terms we work with through the 4-H program. 4-H currently includes as carried out jointly with schools, such as school enrichment and school a programs. We must constantly strive to create diverse opportunities for ders that will fit into their busy life schedules. We need to develop more letting those not currently involved with 4-H know about the benefits of 4-ms are available in every county and reached 38,531 youth in 1998.

#### oal(s):

h a higher percentage of Idaho's youth with quality educational programs

buth acquire knowledge, life skills, and attitudes that enhance their life. leadership and volunteerism in youth and adults.

### Audience(s) targeted for this program:

- \* 4-H members and potential members
- \* 4-H volunteer leaders and potential leaders
- \* Parents
- \* Community business and civic leaders
- \* Schools
- \* Law enforcement agencies
- \* Other youth serving agencies and organizations
- \* 4-H alumni
- \* Faculty and staff
- \* Youth coalitions
- \* Youth professionals in the community

#### Specific Program Objective(s):

- 1) Develop a strategic marketing plan for state/county
- 2) Identify and manage a curriculum that is high quality and relevant
- 3) Build partnerships for positive youth development
- 4) Increase and strengthen volunteer involvement in the Idaho 4-H program
- 5) Enhance support for 4-H program both human and financial

#### AN: (Indicate year of occurrence)

**cators:** (Programs, activities or services to be included, expressed in or qualitative manner.)

Strategic Marketing Plan for State/Counties

use media to reach potential new volunteers (adult & youth)

t displays and brochures

o 4-H Webpage

arketing plan around National 4-H Week, National Volunteer Week, th Service Day, Make a Difference Day, Safe Night, etc.

munity service as part of marketing plan

of the in developing plan(s) particularly 4-H Ambassadors

d manage a curriculum that is high quality and relevant

culum that has been approved by the National 4-H Jury Process

volunteers and judges how to use the curriculum

d adopt standard recordbook system of Idaho

o 4-H web page

nerships for positive youth development

strengths of non 4-H youth serving organizations to enhance program d delivery

rtnerships that increase opportunities for youth to participate in 4-H ograms

erships that enhance program innovation and resource development

nd strengthen volunteer involvement in the Idaho 4-H program

olunteer contributions

volunteers as key partners in program development and delivery

uth and adults as partners in volunteer roles

uth volunteer involvement through development of Idaho Technology

w leaders to ensure their knowledge of child protections and 4-H program

**Outcome Indicators:** (Programs, activities or services to be included, enquantitative or qualitative manner.)

- Enhanced image of 4-H Program
- Increased number of volunteers
- Increased membership in 4-H
- More 4-H leadership for community service projects
- Improved partnership with media
- Expanded audiences
- High-quality, well trained leaders
- Increased retention of youth/leaders
- Improved curriculum, both quality and quantity
- Increased financial support
- Increased parental support and participation
- Improved community support and involvement
- Improved community image for positive youth development
- Increased knowledge and skills among youth
- Youth carrying out more responsible and productive roles in the comm
- Increased number of coalitions and adult/youth partnerships promoting youth development
- More effective communication with 4-H Team
- Increased communication through use of technology (4H web page, co training)
  - Increased youth participation and community partnerships through sch programming

apport for 4-H Program both human and financial

ng to hire staff to work with 4-H program

rants to fund programs

nor base for 4-H

Idaho 4-H Endowment Board to increase support for 4-H

(Faculty should plan 60% of available time. 1 FTE = 140-150 days)

		No. Days In-State	No. Days Multi-State			No. Days In-State	
		Programming	Programming			Programming	
olved:		Per Faculty	Per Faculty	Program Assis	stants:	Per Assistant	
t (Volu	nteerism)	30	10	Marie	Armitage	30	0
ty FCS	Educator	48	2	Marie	Baucum	105	0
Educa	tor	27	0	Valerie	Bowen	120	0
y Educa	ntor	30	0	Jennie	Bywater	135	0
rops Ed	ucator	20	0	Nancy	Chaffin	80	0
	Abo	50	0	Tina	Dickard	120	0
	Barton	45	0	Connie	Funkhouser	50	0
	Benesh	65	40	Tracy	Graves	55	0
	Bischoff	2	0	Charmaine	Jensen	75	0
	Bohl	10	0	Teah	Jones	50	0
	Brooks	50	0	Kim	Keller	54	0
	Cheldin	65	5	Helen	Malone	50	0
	Cheyney	23	0	Dana	Miller	75	0
	Christensen	30	0	Elaine	Mussmann	110	0
	Church	20	0	Monica	Reigel	20	0
	Craig	100	30	Josette	Stellars	130	0
	Dahl	15	0	Cindy	Teuscher	25	0
	Edwards	30	20	Barbie	Vander Boegh	40	0
	Falen	40	0	Sue	Weinbrecht	105	0
	Findlay	65	5	Bob	Whitehead	30	0
	Fornshell	15	0	Kathy	Whittaker	55	0
	Garrard	30	0				
	Gibson	12	10				
	Goodwin	80	20				
	Gortsema	30	0				
	Hanson	30	0				
	Harding	15	0				
	Harris	15	1				
	Harrison	43	5				

				No. Days In-State	No. D Multi-
	2354	256			<del></del>
Wolf	70	0			
Woffinden	75	10			
Wilson	65	15			
Webb	42	0			
Wattenbarger	30	0			
Van Slyke	20	0			
Thorpe	30	0			
Thaemert	30	0			
Stimpson	30	0			
Steele	35	10			
Shank	50	0			
Shaklee	25	0			
Seyedbagheri	15	0			
Schmidt	94	0			
Roy	5	0			
Robbins	20	0			
Pike	60	10			
Petty	10	0			
Parr	20	0			
Parkinson	27	0			
Panting	30	3			
Packham	25	5			
Ohlensehlen	30	0			
Nest	16	0			
Nauman	25	15			
Nash	70	10			
Morales	15	0			
Mitchell	90	20			
Later	60	10			
Lanting	30	0			
Keetch	30	0			
Healy	60	0			
Hazen	37	0			
Hawkins	30	0			
 Hart	18	0			

program will be: (Include agency, state, and individual names involved.)	ill link in conducting this program, both in-state and multi-state)				
rs * School districts (inlcuding alternative schools) * Youth Services/Juvenile Probation * Local businesses					
ecreation Departments * Other youth serving agencies * Other local youth organizations * Libraries					
H Council * Community youth professionals * Fair boards * Churches					
, AZ, NV, MT, UT, NM, CO, WY)					
eeded: (Publications, A-V Aids, training, specialists assistance, etc.)	Program Duration:				
terials developed by National 4-H Council and Western Region	<u>x</u> FY000				
nm	<u>X</u> FY001				
iculum	<u>x</u> FY002				
	<u>x</u> FY003				

 $\underline{X}$  FY004

# **UI-CES STATE PLAN OF WORK ACTION PLAN**

Include: County/Area/District/State Program Activity

pal: #5 To Enhance Economic Opportunities and the Quality of Life Among Families and Communities

#### Contact

unity and Resource Development Person(s): Neil Meyer, Extension Economist MPT No(s): 7

#### nent of issues or problems to be addressed by this program:

n Idaho are changing and new social and economic infrastructure is licts arise between long-term residents and newcomers with differing spectations. Changes in natural resource often use are placing limits on es and creating new demands without, to dollars to pay for them.

ommunities are also impacted by the pressures associated with the on of rural and agricultural lands. Pressures include growing demands for ty easements, agricultural production practice limits, transportation, and ent of infrastructure in areas previously devoted to agriculture, forestry e.e. As a result, the environment, natural resources, aesthetics and the are being impacted in ways that are unintended.

ns accentuate the need for improved models and strategies to analyze nee leadership, improve resource management strengthen planning address challenges and at the same time sustain the long term viability of d businesses. There is increasing urgency for greater communication and mong groups and individuals concerned about these issues. This requires problem solving techniques.

on can help by providing leadership experiences and training in with other agencies and organizations.

#### Audience(s) targeted for this program:

Potential and actual small business owners.

Private business owners, managers, supervisors and employees.

Volunteer, elected and appointed community leaders.

Government, school, private, and non-profit organization staff and personn

University of Idaho and Cooperative Extension personnel.

Federal agency personnel: BLM, USFS, FSA, FWF, etc.

Local economic development personnel.

#### oal(s):

city of Idaho citizens and communities to to enhance their economic well-

#### Specific Program Objective(s):

- 7-1. Develop, analyze and interpret economic factors effecting the economic and environmental conditions influencing Idaho citizens and communities.
- 7-2. Develop strategies to add value to agriculture and natural resource sectincluding identification of alternative crops with value added or import subpotential.
- 7-3. Understand and explain to local residents and decision makers the eco social impacts of policy alternatives to be considered.
- 7-4. Facilitate the development and operation of small and home based bus rural Idaho.
- 7-5. Increase the number of jobs and income generation opportunities in Ida communities.

#### AN: (Indicate year of occurrence)

**cators:** (Programs, activities or services to be included, expressed in a qualitative manner.)

eminars, training programs on topics related to needs for:

d businesses,

farming/ranching businesses,

olications, presentations, on county and regional economic linkages.

rship training,

ns and coalitions formed with other groups and organizations.

ving, negotiation and community cooperation skills.

**Outcome Indicators:** (Program results (outcomes) expected based on pagoals.)

Satisfaction ratings, attendance, ideas/practices learned, and ideas/practices for implementation by participants in non-formal educational programs relatively.

- Community decision making,
- Leadership skills,
- Subject matter training related to individual's volunteer, appointed and/or position.

(Faculty should plan 60% of available time. 1 FTE = 140-150 days)

plved:	No. Days In-State Programming Per Faculty	No. Days Multi-State Programming Per Faculty	Program Assistants:	No. Days In-State Programming Per Assistant
Barton	10	0		
Benesh	12	8		
Brooks	2	0		
Church	10	0		
Falen	5	0		
Finnigan	15	0		
Gibson	4	0		
Gray	6	0		

	Harp	80	60	1			
	Hart	4	0	+			†
	Hawkins	10	0	†	†		
	Keetch	5	0	†			
	Later	5	0	†			
	Meyer	100	40	†		1	
	Nest	56	0	1			
	Panting	5	0	1			
	Parker-Clark	30	10	1			
	Parr	5	0	<u> </u>			
	Schmidt	12	0	<u>'</u>			
	Seyedbagheri	5	0	1			
	Steele	45	10	1			
	Taylor	80	60	1			
	Wattenbarger	5	0	1			
	Webb	18	0	1			
		529	188		<u></u> _		
				No. of Volunteers:		No. Days In-State Programming For Volunteers	No. Da Multi- Progr For V
i							+

External Partners (Other agencies or groups with whom you will link in conducting this program, both in-state and multi-state) a program will be: (Include agency, state, and individual names involved.)

CES County Faculty, UI faculty in PSAS, An & Vet Science, Bio Eng., Distance Ed,

C, IDOA, IDOL, Boise State University-Bureau of Political Affairs, Idaho State University Bus Dev Center, Idaho Rural Partnership, AIC, IAC, Wester Center, Washington State University, Oregon State University.

Needed: (Publications, A-V Aids, training, specialists	Program Duration:
etc.)	X FY000
B and Micro Bus curriclum from Nat. materials	=
Common Ground" workshops,	<u>x</u> FY001
nomic Linkages Studies in cooperation with county faculty,	X FY002
rials and conduct training in economic development,	<u>A</u> 1 1002
ing for Public Issues Education,	<u>X</u> FY003
ig on social change and assessment,	y EV004
er Program in Cooperation with Id Rural Partners and WRDC.	<u>X</u> FY004
Technology Core,	

# **UI-CES STATE PLAN OF WORK ACTION PLAN**

Include: County/Area/District/State Program Activity

oal: 5

Contact

teer & Leadership Dev Person(s): Arlinda Nauman MPT No(s): 8

#### nent of issues or problems to be addressed by this program:

is fundamental to delivering quality programs in many areas of extension e an adequate number of well-prepared volunteers it is necessary to recruit, port volunteers, both youth and adult, on an on-going basis. Both volunteer and retention are key factors needing to be addressed.

itive in recruiting today's volunteer takes skill and knowledge. As more men enter the job market on a full-time basis, volunteer roles must be to the time available. This situation often leads to the need to recruit and lunteers for roles demanding less time from each individual. To encourage busy people to find time to volunteer, there must be a high level of ained from the volunteer activity. This means effective training, motivation on of volunteers to enhance retention rates.

r volunteers seek new ways to collaborate and build partnerships. The ften on participatory or shared leadership in which the group takes for making decisions; developing a shared vision; and valuing the comes from group problem solving. Successful communities must have have the skills to share leadership and participate in community-based ang. Differences among people must be valued if we are to benefit from the erse group of people and get them actively involved in volunteerism. ys a significant role in developing volunteer leadership to address eeds. We have a statewide network of staff who recruit, train, and support work with various programs in each county. There is a constant need to vorkforce of trained volunteers.

### Audience(s) targeted for this program:

4-H adult & youth volunteers
Masters' program volunteers
Appointed and/or elected community volunteers
Volunteer leaders in community organizations
CES staff

Government, school & agency staff

#### oal(s):

train and retain an adequate number of volunteers to help carry out rograms.

onfidence and leadership capacity of volunteers.

# AN: (Indicate year of occurrence)

# cators: (Programs, activities or services to be included, expressed in or qualitative manner.)

n (Fall '99)

elop appropriate tools to gather baseline data regarding volunteerism in

ect data

yze data

ribute finds of data

raining in each district on "Volunteer Recruitment and Retention" (FY

# innovative methods of reaching public to motivate individuals to nteer

g media and technology to market opportunities to volunteer s for recruiting (job descriptions, brochures, PSA's, etc.)

gning volunteer recognition

raining in each district on "Training Volunteers" (FY 2001)

#### Specific Program Objective(s):

- 1) Increase and strengthen volunteer involvement in Extension Programs
- 2) Diversify ways volunteers can contribute to the program
- Develop an attitude and philosophy that recognizes volunteers, youth a adults, as full partners in program development and delivery
- Develop skills and competencies in volunteers related to the subject m they will work in
- Develop skills and competencies in volunteers related to making prese solving problems and public issues education
- Collaborate with other groups, organizations and agencies to build lead volunteer capacity
- Anticipate the worst case scenario with volunteers and train them to permanage risks

**Outcome Indicators:** (*Program results (outcomes) expected based on p goals.*)

Statewide data on current status of volunteerism in Idaho

Number of CES staff trained in Volunteer Recruitment and Retention

Number of new volunteers recruited by trained staff

Retention rate for newly recruited volunteers

Increased level of professionalism among volunteers

Increased role satisfaction among volunteers

Staff will use training evaluation and volunteer recruitment and retention dimprove skills in working with volunteers

Trained volunteers with enhanced skills in reaching and training other adul Increased partnerships and stronger partnerships with other agencies and o that also rely on volunteerism to carry out programs

Staff will have reputation within community as someone with expertise to sworking with volunteers and will be sought out by other groups for training Increase in number of counties and organizations using recommended methorized and manage volunteers

Evidence of improved collaboration & cooperative problem solving among groups

Volunteers who conduct programs with risk prevention plans in place

e management alistic expectations ancing mizing groups cruiting participants nducting meetings veloping leadership skills taining both participants & volunteers ding quality material copriate subject matter training for volunteer role ster gardeners ster food preservers re providers ancial facilitators hing skills derstanding developmental stages arning styles sources for teaching eies and Procedures gram requirements & guidelines k Management vel Policies porting requirements toring le modeling th/Adult partnerships workshops on Volunteer Leadership Skills at State and District 4-H Leader eynote speakers who can address specific areas of volunteer recruitment, and retention (annually)

program IMPACT Statements (annually)

trainings conducted (annually)

Enhanced community functioning particularly in areas requiring trained vo Enhanced image for University of Idaho and CES as being engaged partner community problem solving

(Faculty should plan 60% of available time. 1 FTE = 140-150 days)

olved:		No. Days In-State Programming Per Faculty	No. Days Multi-State Programming Per Faculty	Program Assi	stants:	No. Days In-State Programming Per Assistant	
ty Crop	s Educator	5	0	Marie	Armitage	10	0
ty FCS	Educator	20	0	Marie	Baucum	30	0
t (Volur	nteerism)	80	20	Val	Bowen	30	0
	Abo	67	0	Geni	Bywater	15	0
	Barton	10	0	Tina	Dickard	30	0
	Bell	65	0	Traci	Graves	20	0
	Benesh	15	5	Charmaine	Jensen	20	0
	Bischoff	5	0	Teah	Jones	20	0
	Cheldelin	65	5	Kim	Keller	54	0
	Cheyney	5	0	Dana	Kolstad	35	0
	Christensen	5	0	Vivan	Meyer	23	0
	Church	5	0	Dana	Miller	25	0
	Craig	7	3	Elaine	Mussmann	30	0
	Dahl	5	0	Monica	Reigel	25	0
	Edwards	12	8	Josette	Stellars	20	0
	Falen	5	0	Jean	Taylor	10	0
	Findlay	5	3	Cathy	Venosdel	35	0
	Garrard	5	0	Bob	Whitehead	40	0
	Gibson	18	6	Kathy	Whittiker	20	0
	Goodwin	5	5				
	Gortsema	10	0				
	Hamilton	10	0				
	Harris	11	1				
	Harrison	10	2				
	Hawkins	5	0				
	Hazen	5	0				
	Healy	10	0				
	Keetch	5	0				
	Lanting	5	0				
	Later	5	0				
	Mitchell	5	5				
	Morales	5	0				
	Nash	7	3				
	Nauman	12	8				
	Nest	24	0				
	Ohlensehlen	10	0				

Parkinson	2	0		
Panting	2	0		
Parr	30	0		
Pike	60	10		
Robbins	5	0		
Roy	5	0		
Shaklee	10	0		
Shank	90	0		
Smith	13	0		
Smith	60	5		
Stimpson	10	0		
Thaemert	5	0		
Van Slyke	20	0		
Webb	38	0		
Wilson	45	9		
Woffinden	30	0		 _
	973	98		

No. of Volunteers:		No. Days In-State Programming For Volunteers	No. Da Multi- Progr For V
Ada County	10	45	0
Kootenai County	60	75	10
Benewah County	15	20	0
Lewis County	15	20	0

External Partners (Other agencies or groups with whom you will link in conducting this program, both in-state and multi-state) a program will be: (Include agency, state, and individual names involved.)

service Agencies & Organizations

ets (public & private)

enters

Commodity Groups

sses

ce System

lfare

serving agencies

cations (Kiwanis, Rotary, Lions Optimists, etc.)

rivate Agencies

WA, OR, WY, UT, MT, NM, AZ, CO, NV, CA)

eeded: (Publications, A-V Aids, training, specialists assistance, etc.)	Program Duration:
eeded: (Publications, A-V Aids, training, specialists assistance, etc.)	i rogram Daradon.

n tools for gathering data related to volunteerism
evaluating impact of training with statewide impact indicators
te curriculum for subject-matter training
f successful strategies from other states and/or groups

- $\underline{X}$  **FY000** Tools developed to collect baseline data on Volunteerism.
- $\underline{X}$ **FY001** District Training on Volunteer Recruitment & Retention.
- $\underline{X}$  **FY002** District Training on Volunteer Training.
- $\underline{X}$  **FY003** Collect new statewide data to compare to baseline data collected in
- $\underline{X}$  **FY004** Modify techniques being used in volunteer recruitment, training & based on data findings.

# **Multi-State Programming**

The three states of the Pacific Northwest have a long well known tradition of collaboration. Idaho, Oregon, and Washington have many programs and activities that can be described as "multi-state," some formal, and many informal. Due to limited resources, programs and specialists are often shared with no exchange of dollars. Specialists distribute their newsletters to the other states, or contribute to newsletters distributed in all three states. Bordering counties plan and work together, and faculty respond to questions from each others' counties, across state boundaries. Faculty and staff training opportunities are often jointly planned, or are open to personnel from the other two states to attend. Conferences are jointly planned and open to all three states, and satellite programs developed in the three states have been down-linked nationwide with many states participating. Perhaps the most formal and well established collaboration among the threes states is the PNW Publications process where publications that are relevant to all three states are jointly written and reviewed, published by the lead state, and made available to the other two states as well. With the University of Idaho and Washington State University located only eight miles apart, many joint programs are held at one university or the other.

Idaho also collaborates in programming with several other states in the Western Region, and the nationwide Extension system. Collaborations include joint program planning and implementation, sharing of materials and faculty expertise, and joint funding of special projects. Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington jointly fund a liaison position with the regional EPA office located in Seattle, Washington. Negotiations are underway with Oregon concerning sharing county expertise across state lines. Wyoming provides funding for 20 percent of an Idaho county faculty position to serve an isolated county in Wyoming, adjacent to the Idaho border.

A survey was conducted in Idaho to identify the amount of multi-state programming occurring in FY 97. Based on the data gathered through the survey the dollar value of multi-state programming in FY 97 was \$622,752. Twenty-five percent of our FY 99 federal formula funds is \$625,310. For the new plan of work the dollar value of our multi-state programming will be \$1,985,000, significantly greater than 25%. That figure is based on the value of one FTE being \$100,000 which includes salary, fringe, and support. A listing of our Planned Multi-State Extension Programs follows. The first list is for our program/activities planned with Washington State University and Oregon State University, our primary partners in multi-state programming. The second list is for multi-state work with states in addition to Washington and Oregon.

# Planned Multi-State Programs with Washington, Oregon, and Idaho

Program/Activity	WA (FTE)	OR (FTE)	ID (FTE)
CSREES Goals 1 & 4			
Direct Seeding (no till) PNW STEEP	0.50	1.00	0.50
dryland systems of the NW			
Small Grain Variety Testing	1.25	1.00	0.50
Potato Variety Testing and Production	1.00	1.00	1.00
Grass Seed	0.05	0.50	0.50
Reduced field burning			
NW Center for Small Fruits	1.00	1.50	0.50
NW Berry & Grape information network			
Tree Fruit Production	0.30	0.50	0.25
Horticulture and Nursery Programs	0.25	0.50	0.50
Master Gardeners, ornamental seminars			
Reciprocal PAT Training Program	1.50	1.00	1.00
Livestock Production	1.50	1.00	1.50
Cow-calf management guide, Nat'l Beef handbook and database,			
intermountain cow symposium, Pork 2000, western dairy management,			
forage programs.			
Sustainable Agriculture	1.00	1.00	1.00

SARE Ext., beef marketing A to Z-Retained ownership, small acreage			
programs, riparian grazing projects, composting, IPM			
Salmon Restoration-all program areas	1.00	2.00	1.50
Forest & Ag practices, watershed stewardship, watershed councils,			
urban impacts, waste management, economic impacts			
Proper Functioning Condition	0.15	1.50	0.50
Grazing of riparian areas working group, public lands issues			
Forestry	1.00	0.50	0.75
Native hardwoods, spiral forest products, Mid-Columbia Valley			
Forestry Ext. Christmas trees, Continuing Education, Agro-Forestry			
Situation and Outlook	2.00	1.00	0.50
Farm management, marketing, policy, rural development, Agr-Credit,			
risk management			
CSREES Goal 2			
Food Safety	0.25	0.20	0.25
Master food preserver, better process control schools, training for meat			
processors			
CSREES Goal 3			
Healthy Well-Nourished Population			0.25
Improved diets for improved health			
CSREES Goal 5			
4H Youth Development Education	2.75	2.00	2.00
Curriculum development teams, leader forum, professional			
development, leader training, national development, team leadership			
comp, regional 4H marketing, WA/ID HUB group, child care network,			
impact assessment project			
Family and Consumer Sciences	1.00	0.50	1.00
High school financial planning, gerontology, parenting, welfare reform,			
community food systems			
Pacific Northwest (PNW) Publication Series	2.00	2.00	2.00
4-H Youth, IPM, FCS, Agriculture Production			
Community Development			1.00
Totals	18.50	18.70	17.00

# **Additional Multi-State Work**

Program/Activity	Goal	Collaborators	ID (FTE)
Cross Border Labor Migration	1&4	NV, WY, AZ	0.20
Data was collected from employees who work in one state			
and live in another and their employers to see where they			
purchased their life needs. This was to determine if the			
purchasing patterns of commuters was different than non-			
commuters.			
FSA Class Duck Valley Indian Reservation	1	NV	0.05
Teaching farm and financial management to families on			
the Duck Valley Indian Reservation to help them meet the			
requirements for a Farm Service Agency loan.			
Natural Resource Camps	4	WA, WY	0.20
Youth camps			
Inside Beef (Ranch to Rail)	1	NV	0.10
Retained Ownership analysis for ranchers			
Goatgrass	4	MT, WY, CO, NE, KS, WA	0.10
Jointed Goatgrass initiative technology transfer			
Livestock Ethics	1	OH, CO	0.20
National Symposiums			
Training materials			
National Livestock Ethics Council			

Training for Child Care Providers	5	Western Region	0.25
Satellite training, written materials and multi-state		Western Region	0.20
conferences			
Small Acreage Farming	1	CA	0.10
Family owned small acreage which produce \$50,000 or			
less in annual income.			
Public Land Policies	4	NM, NV, OR, WY, UT, CO	0.25
Input/Output studies comparing the economic and social			
linkages in western Public Land states. The goal is to			
evaluate the local effects of public land use policy			
alternatives.			
Nematology Program	1	OR, CA, MT, WY, CO, NE,	0.20
Sugar beet nematode management programs including		WA	
nematode diagnostic lab services (ID)			
Welfare Reform	5	WI, TX, KY, OR	0.25
Training materials for families transitioning off welfare			
Cow-Calf Management Guide	1	WA, OR, CA, NV, UT, AZ,	0.25
Western Region Publication		NM, MT, CO, HI, WY	
Farm Management Training	1	MT, UT, OR, WA, IN	0.15
Conducting educational programs on producer risk			
management.			
WIRE - Western Integrated Ranch Education	1	MT, UT, WY	0.25
Program			
Combines education in physical production management,			
resource management and financial planning and			
management for western ranch operations. A case ranch is			
used to present management choices and problem solving			
techniques to participants.			0.15
Aquaculture	1	AK, WA, OR, CA, NV, AZ,	0.15
Western Region Aquaculture Center		MT, WY, CO, NM	
Pollutant reduction, trout virus Advisory Council  EXTONET	1	CA MI OD WA	10
	1	CA, MI, OR, WA	.10
Programming in pesticide and food toxicology  CRM Plan for Cub River		LIT WY	05
		UT, WY	.05
Coordinated Resource Management Plan  Total Additional Multi-State FTEs			205
			2.85
Idaho's Planned FTEs Collaborated with OR, WA			17.00
Total Multi-State FTEs			19.85

Dollar Value based on \$100,000 per FTE = \$1,985,000. 25% of FY99 Federal formula Funds = \$625,310

# **Multi-Functional Programming**

There are three major ways in which the research and extension functions are integrated. First, there are formal Regional Research and Extension Coordinating Committees that meet regularly to plan, conduct and evaluate projects that include research and extension components. A new Overall Regional Multi-Function Committee (RCIC) will meet in the summer of 1999 to review and approve the work of these coordinating committees. Second, there are multidisciplinary working teams of faculty and staff that include both research and extension personnel. These teams form to address critical issues and provide major program thrusts. Third, many faculty are appointed with joint appointments in extension and research to provide the closest possible integration of these functions. By virtue of our program planning process, faculty with research and extension appointments come together to plan and implement programs based on identified needs.

#### **Faculty Appointments**

First	Last	Dist	County/Dept	Ext. Title	Ext	Res	Tch
Barbara	Abo	2	Ada	Extension Educator	100		

Erik	Anderson	Ag & Ext Educ	Extension Specialist	55.52	44.48	
Craig	Baird	PSES-Ent.	Extension Specialist	90	44.40	
Dan	+	PSES-Plant Sci	Extension Specialist	45	55	
David	Barney		Extension Specianst  Extension Educator	100	33	
		Latah		39.01	24.26	36.73
Dick	Battaglia	AVS	Dept Head	_	24.26	
Ed	Bechinski	PSES-Ent.	Extension Specialist	0	90.32	9.68
Susan		Ada	Extension Educator	100	100	
Carol	Benesh	State 4-H	D: CI :	0	100	1.5
Phil	Berger	PSES-Plant Path	Div. Chair	0	85	15
Marilyn		Ada	Extension Educator	100		
Bill		Bingham	Extension Educator	100		-
Keith	<del>-</del>	Clark	Extension Educator	100		
Randy	Brooks	Clearwater	Extension Educator	100		
Brad	Brown	PSES-Soils	Extension Specialist	50	50	
Katie	·	Canyon	Extension Educator	100		
Chad	_ , ,	Butte	Extension Educator	100		
Diana		Gooding	Extension Educator	100		
Jim		Idaho	Extension Educator	100		
W. Michael	Colt	PSES-Plant Sci	Extension Specialist	90		
Will	Cook 2	Gem	Extension Educator	100		
Mary Jean	Craig	State 4-H		100		
Becky	Dahl	Bannock	Extension Educator	100		
Jim	DeShazer	BAE	Dept Head	10	39.73	50.27
Ed	Duren	AVS	Extension Specialist	100		15
Janet	Edwards	State 4-H		75		
Jerry	Exon	FST	Dept Head	25	65	10
Christi	Falen 3	Minidoka	Extension Educator	100		
Dean	Falk	AVS	Extension Specialist	100		
Ed	Fiez	AVS	Extension Specialist	100		
Reed	Findlay	Bannock	Extension Educator	100		
Terry	Finnerty	Bonner	Extension Educator	100		
Brian	Finnigan 4	Bingham	Extension Educator	100		
Gary		Twin Falls	Extension Educator	100		
Bob	Forster	PSES-Plant Path	Extension Specialist	75	25	
Linda	Fox	FCS	Dept Head	51	23.33	25.67
Marlene	Fritz	Ag Communications	Extension Specialist	75	25	
John	Gallian	PSES-Plant Path	Extension Specialist	60	40	
Ann	Garnsey	Ag & Ext Educ	Extension Specialist	100		
Richard		Cassia	Extension Educator	100		
Chad		Owyhee	Extension Educator	100		
Gene		Bonner	Extension Educator	100		
Jeff	Goodwin	State 4-H	Extension Specialist	100		
Stanley		Power	Extension Educator	100		
Linda		Ada	Extension Educator	Soft	Funds	
C. Wilson	Gray	Ag Econ	Extension Educator  Extension Specialist	100	1 41143	
Joe	Guenthner	Ag Econ	Extension Specialist	70	30	
Stephen	Guy	PSES-Plant Sci	Extension Specialist	80	20	
Saad	Hafez	PSES-Plant Path	Extension Specialist	50	50	
George		Jefferson	Extension Educator	100	50	<del>                                     </del>
		Teton	Extension Educator	89.9	10.1	-
Jay				-	10.1	-
Gale		Madison	Extension Educator	100	40	-
Aaron	Harp	Ag Econ	Extension Specialist	60	40	-
Lynn		Franklin	Extension Educator	100	-	<u> </u>
Steven		Caribou	Extension Educator	100	1	1
Ken		Lewis	Extension Educator	100	1	1
James	Hawkins 3	Custer	Extension Educator	100		

Bill	Hazen	3 Gooding	Extension Educator	100		T
Beverly	Healy	2 Owyhee	Extension Educator	100		<del>                                     </del>
Dan	Hinman	AVS	Extension Specialist	50	50	<del>                                     </del>
Ronda	Hiring	PSES-Ent.	Pesticide Coordinator	80	20	<del>                                     </del>
James	Johnson	PSES-Ent.	Div. Chair	0	70.61	29.39
Wayne	Jones	4Bonneville	Extension Educator	100		
Tom	Karsky	BAE	Extension Specialist	70		30
Gordon	Keetch	2 Adams/Washington	Extension Educator	100		
Kristin	Keith	2 Ada/Canyon	Extension Specialist	Soft	Funds	
Brad	King	BAE	Extension Specialist	20	80	
Rhea	Lanting	3 Twin Falls	Extension Educator	100		
Lorie	Later	4 Jefferson	Extension Educator	100		
Gary	Lee			60	40	
Audrey	Liddil	4Bannock	Extension Educator	100	100	
Robert	Loucks	3 Lemhi	Extension Educator	100		
Bernadene	Magnuson	FST	Extension Specialist	40	60	
Bob	Mahler	PSES-Soils	Extension Specialist	45	19	36
Ron	Mahoney	Forestry	Extension Specialist	100		
Frankie	Marler	2 Ada	Extension Educator	100		
Neil	Meyer	Ag Econ	Extension Specialist	100		<u> </u>
David	Mitchell	State 4-H	Extension Specialist	100		<u> </u>
Krishna	Mohan	PSES-Plant Path	Extension Specialist	70	30	
Myron	Molnau	BAE	Extension Specialist	20		
Pat	Momont	AVS	Extension Specialist	80	20	
Bev	Montgomery	2Canyon	Extension Educator	100		
Barbara	Morales	3 Jerome	Extension Educator	100		
Don	Morishita	PSES-Plant Sci	Extension Specialist	75	25	
Scott	Nash	4Bingham	Extension Educator	100		
Arlinda	Nauman	State 4-H	Dept Head	100		
Denny	Naylor	PSES-Soils	Div. Chair	0		
Howard	Neibling	BAE	Extension Specialist	80	20	1
Jim	Nelson	Ag Econ	1	20	65	15
Judy	Nest	1 Latah	Extension Educator	100		1
Jay	Ney	1 Nez Perce	Extension Educator	100		1
Phillip	Nolte	PSES-Plant Path	Extension Specialist	90	10	1
Rick	Norell	AVS	Extension Specialist	100		
Bob	Ohlensehlen	3 Twin Falls	Extension Educator	100		
Nora	Olsen	PSES-Plant Sci	Extension Specialist	80	20	
Joel	Packham	4Bear Lake	Extension Educator	100		
Rauhn	Panting	4 Oneida	Extension Educator	100		
Vickie	Parker-Clark	1 Kootenai	Extension Educator	100		1
Stuart	Parkinson	4Franklin	Extension Educator	100		1
JoAnn	Parr	3 Cassia	Extension Educator	100		1
Paul	Patterson	Ag Econ	Extension Specialist	100		1
Barbara	Petty	4Bonneville	Extension Educator	100		1
Michele	Pike	4Bonneville	Extension Educator	100		
Martha	Raidl	FCS	Extension Specialist	80	20	
Neil	Rimbey	Ag Econ	Extension Specialist	80	20	1
JoAnn	Robbins	3Blaine	Extension Educator	100		
Larry	Robertson	PSES-Plant Sci	Extension Specialist	100		1
Kathy	Roy	2Canyon	Extension Educator	100		1
Bob	Rynk	BAE	Extension Specialist	80	20	
Ken	Sanders	Range	Extension Specialist	80.2		
Larry	Sandvol	PSES-Ent.	Extension Specialist	100		
Mary	Schmidt	1 Idaho	Extension Educator	100		
Chris	Schnepf	1 Kootenai	Extension Educator	100		

Mir-M.	Seyedbagheri	2Elmore	Extension Educator	100		
Harriet	Shaklee	FCS	Extension Specialist	100		
Stephanie	Shank	2 Gem	Extension Educator	100		
Wayne	Sharp	4Fort Hall	Extension Educator		100	
Glen	Shewmaker	PSES-Plant Sci	Extension Specialist	70	30	
Larry	Smith	1 Nez Perce	Extension Educator	100		
Rosa	Smith	2 Payette	Extension Educator	100		
Jeff	Stark	PSES-Plant Sci	Div. Chair	12	68	20
Valdasue	Steele	1 Benewah	Extension Educator	100		
Janice	Stimpson	4Clark/Fremont	Extension Educator	100		
Bob	Stoltz	PSES-Ent.	Extension Specialist	90	10	
Garth	Taylor	Ag Econ	Extension Specialist	50	50	
Ron	Thaemert	3 Lincoln	Extension Educator	100		
Shelley	Thorpe	4Caribou	Extension Educator	100		
Ruth	Van Slyke	1 Nez Perce	Extension Educator	100		
Roger	Veseth	PSES-Soils	Extension Specialist	50		
David	Wattenbarger	1 Boundary	Extension Educator	100		
Linda	Webb	2 Valley	Extension Educator	100		
Mike	Weiss	PSES	Dept Head	43	51.88	5.12
Doris	Williams	FCS	Extension Specialist	75	25	
Jim	Wilson	1 Kootenai	Extension Educator	100		
Russ	Withers	Ag Econ	Dept Head	0	73.31	26.69
Sharlene	Woffinden	4Bear Lake	Extension Educator	100		
Mary Lee	Wolf	4 Madison	Extension Educator	100		
4-H Specialist (Volunteerism)		State 4-H	Extension Specialist	100		
		FCS	Extension Specialist	100		
		FCS	Extension Specialist	65	35	
FCS Food Safety Specialist		FCS	Extension Specialist	75	25	
Jerome Crops Educator		3 Jerome	Extension Educator	100		
Lemhi County Educator		3 Lemhi	Extension Educator	100		
Twin Falls Crops Educator		3 Twin Falls	Extension Educator	100		

# **Multi-Institutional Programming**

The Idaho Cooperative Extension System collaborates with several other institutions and state agencies within Idaho. University of Idaho faculty work collaboratively with the College of Southern Idaho, Northern Idaho college, Ricks College, Idaho State University, and Boise State University to offer academic credit classes from the University of Idaho.

Several counties and the State 4-H staff have collaborative relationships with public school systems through out the state and the State Department of Education to provide high quality inexpensive school age child care programs. These programs currently serve over 5,000 youth and an increasing number of communities are requesting assistance in this program area from the Cooperative Extension System.

University of Idaho county faculty located geographically close to Idaho State University and Boise State University have collaborated with faculty from those institutions to provide college students to serve as mentors (for academic credit) to elementary and middle school youth identified as high risk by their teachers and school counselors. University of Idaho faculty have also collaborated with faculty from Boise State University and Lewis and Clark State College to provide mobile computer lab for youth in University of Idaho Cooperative Extension School Age Child Care Programs. There are joint efforts in public policy education involving collaboration with Idaho State and Boise State Universities.

Six University of Idaho faculty members work with Idaho State University to host a health conference each spring and one is involved in providing a community nutrition class in collaboration with Idaho State University. One University of Idaho faculty member cooperates with Boise State University to provide a "Health Week for Teachers," summer credit class.

Three University of Idaho faculty were involved with the Idaho Water Resources Research Institute to develop a national waste management curriculum for youth in grades 6-9.

The University of Idaho collaborated with the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare to jointly fund a person to coordinate educational programming related to welfare reform. We also collaborated to provide training to prevent teens from using tobacco.

Numerous University of Idaho faculty collaborate with the Idaho Department of Agriculture, Idaho Food Processors, Idaho Department of Water Resources, Division of Environmental Quality, Bureau of Land Management, Forest Service and other ag related institutions to provide educational programs to benefit agriculture in Idaho.

# Financial Documentation Related to Idaho

The following financial spreadsheet shows the level of federal formula funds in proportion to all other funds available at the Director of Extension level. The data also shows that all matching requirements are met and that the federal formula funds are being leveraged. Funds are being spent on human resources and operations to address critical issues of high priority to our stakeholders. More than 25% of our federal formula funds are being utilized for multi-state, multi-institutional, multi-disciplinary, and integrated activities.

### **Merit Review Process**

The merit review process for the Idaho Cooperative Extension System was conducted in conjunction with the states of Washington and Oregon. These Pacific North West (PNW) states have a long history of joint programming efforts in both extension and research. While we are collaborative states, we are also competitive in many regards. This leads to a quality programming because our efforts are frequently compared.

Idaho established a review team to review the plans of both Oregon and Washington. This team reviewed every component of each plan, making suggestions for improvement. Oregon and Washington review teams each reviewed our overall plan. Feedback was provided and incorporated into the plan hereby submitted. Idaho's team to review the plans of Washington and Oregon included:

- Associate Director Cooperative Extension System and Director Idaho State 4-H, Arlinda Nauman
- Department Head, Plant Soil and Entomological Sciences, Michael Weiss
- Department Head, Biological and Agricultural Engineering, James DeShazer
- Department Head, Food Science and Toxicology, Jerry Exon
- Department Head, Animal and Veterinarian Science, Dick Battaglia
- Director, School of Family and Consumer Sciences, Linda Fox
- Extension Nutrition Specialist, Martha Raidl
- Extension Community Development Specialist, Neil Meyer

Review comments from our review team were consolidated by the Associate Director and submitted to each state for their consideration.